

[REDACTED]

From: Hilary Blume [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 March 2017 16:17
To: Planning
Cc: Cooke, Mike
Subject: 2017-0183-p 6 Streatley Place

Dear Rob Tulloch,
I am concerned that the planned building work for the development of 4 flats on the site at 6 Streatley Place will make our lives a misery.
Giving a choice of Boades Mews or Back Lane as potential builders sites does not address the central issue, which is that the proposed building up to the boundary wall
Will necessitate scaffolding along Streatley Place, rendering it impassible for those with push chairs etc.
I live in Mansfield Place and work in offices in Back Lane. I am well into my 70's and would find having to take a long detour via Boades Mews increasingly difficult.
Back Lane is often jammed with over-large vans and having a skip there and other building material would aggravate the problem.

The architects should re-consider their plans, and come up with something which takes account of the inaccessibility of the site.
Note there is no public benefit to this scheme, and indeed a great deal of inconvenience to the local citizenry.
Dame Hilary Blume
Resident Mansfield Place

Offices Radius Works,
Back Lane

[REDACTED]

From: Martyn Harrison [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 April 2017 10:51
To: Planning; rob.tullochj@camden.gov.uk
Subject: Application Comment. 2017/0183/P Tulloch- 6 Streatley Place

Dear Rob,

I recently gained further knowledge of the application to develop 6 Streatley place. I had knowledge that it had been sold and the developers had consulted local residents via a presentation at Pizza express. However, I never received anything in the mail from Camden notifying me of the proposed development. Further, now I read through the construction management plan I can see that they are considering using the layby directly opposite my house at One Back Lane as a site office and skip placement.

First of all, Back Lane is a very narrow road and access to Back lane via Flask Walk for a skip hire truck would also be very difficult. The refugee collection trucks and deliveries to the Flask pub often struggle to get up flask walk and onto back lane. As I am sure you are aware, Back lane is a very busy through road, especially during the school runs with traffic often backed up right down the lane. I use the layby to drop off deliveries and park for a few moments whilst entering and leaving my house, without the layby access it would make these tasks impossible. Furthermore, the width of the layby and the disruption to oncoming traffic by moving workman would cause the traffic to back up further, leaving idle engines and more pollution in a family road in an area that is already struggling with higher levels of pollution (as I am sure you are aware of from the recent local councillors studies) .

The construction management plan calls this layby a parking bay. This isn't a parking bay. I cannot use my resident parking permit to park there and any delivery van that overstays their welcome is quickly ticketed.

I hope you will use the above information wisely and not allow the developer to use this widened section of Back lane as a site office and skip site.

Sincerely

Martyn Harrison

[REDACTED]

From: Martyn Harrison [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 April 2017 10:53
To: Planning; Tulloch, Rob
Subject: Application Comment. 2017/0183/P Tulloch- 6 Streatley Place

Dear Rob,

I recently gained further knowledge of the application to develop 6 Streatley place. I had knowledge that it had been sold and the developers had consulted local residents via a presentation at Pizza express. However, I never received anything in the mail from Camden notifying me of the proposed development. Further, now I read through the construction management plan I can see that they are considering using the layby directly opposite my house at One Back Lane as a site office and skip placement.

First of all, Back Lane is a very narrow road and access to Back lane via Flask Walk for a skip hire truck would also be very difficult. The refugee collection trucks and deliveries to the Flask pub often struggle to get up flask walk and onto back lane. As I am sure you are aware, Back lane is a very busy through road, especially during the school runs with traffic often backed up right down the lane. I use the layby to drop off deliveries and park for a few moments whilst entering and leaving my house, without the layby access It would make these tasks impossible. Furthermore, the width of the layby and the disruption to oncoming traffic by moving workman would cause the traffic to back up further, leaving idle engines and more pollution in a family road in an area that is already struggling with higher levels of pollution (as I am sure you are aware of from the recent local councillors studies) .

The construction management plan calls this layby a parking bay. This isn't a parking bay. I cannot use my resident parking permit to park there and any delivery van that overstays their welcome is quickly ticketed.

I hope you will use the above information wisely and not allow the developer to use this widened section of Back lane as a site office and skip site.

Sincerely

Martyn Harrison

[REDACTED]

From: Tulloch, Rob
Sent: 31 March 2017 10:20
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Application Comment. 2017/0183/P Tulloch- 6 Streatley Place

Rob Tulloch
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 2516

-----Original Message-----

From: David Loewi [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 30 March 2017 09:23
To: Planning; Tulloch, Rob
Subject: Application Comment. 2017/0183/P Tulloch- 6 Streatley Place

Dear Mr Tulloch,

I have been informed by the developers of their plans to use the layby in front of my home 5, Back Lane as a site office and skip placement.

I do hope that Camden would not allow that. That section of Back Lane that widens out is used by the residents to gain access to their homes,

I have a young child and it's already a dangerous area with all the congestion, lorries unloading, etc etc

I am sure you are aware of huge numbers of children on their way to school cross back lane in the vicinity of the bay and putting a construction site in the bay would make the vicinity highly dangerous.

Putting a site office and skip there for a year would deny me the ability to unload our children and babies from the car to our home.

Our 9 month young child's bedroom is directly in front of the proposed site office and skip position, the noise and general disruption to us and other families would make life in Back Lane intolerable.

The construction management plan calls this layby parking bays. They are not. They are often used as such by delivery vehicles but they are ticketed when caught.

I would ask you to not allow the developer to use this widened section of Back Lane as a site office and skip site.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

David Loewi

