The Planning Inspectorate

QUESTIONNAIRE (s78) and (s20) PLANNING AND LISTED BUILDING CONSENT (Online Version)

You must ensure that a copy of the completed questionnaire, together with any attachments, are sent to the appellant/agent by the date given in the start letter. You must include details of the statutory development plan, even if you intend to rely more heavily on some other emerging plan.

If notification or consultation under an Act, Order or Departmental Circular would have been necessary before granting permission and has not yet taken place, please inform the appropriate bodies of the appeal now and ask for any comments to be sent direct to us by the date your statement is due.

Appeal Reference	APP/X5210/W/16/3164577			
Appeal By	28 REDINGTON ROAD LLP			
Site Address	28 Redington Road LONDON NW3 7RB			
PART 1				
Note: If the written procedure is	written representation procedure to be suitable? s agreed, the Inspector will visit the site unaccompanied by either party or other public land, or it is essential for the Inspector to enter the site to			
2.a. If the written procedure is agreed, can the relevant part of the appeal site be seen from a road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?			□ No	₫
2.b. Is it essential for the Inspector to enter the site to assess the impact of the proposal? Please explain			☑ No	
Erection of 4 storey plus basement building (with accommodation at 4th floor level within the roof) to provide 8 flats (1 \times 1 bed, 5 \times 2 bed, 1 \times 3 bed and 1 \times 4 bed) including front balcony and rear roof terraces, hard and soft landscaping and 7 basement car parking spaces with car lift, following demolition of the existing building (Class C3).				
2.c. Are there any known health and safety issues that would affect the conduct of the site inspection?		Yes	□ No	₫
3.a. Are there any other appeals or matters relating to the same site still being considered by us or the Secretary of State?		Yes	□ No	
3.b. Are there any other appeals or matters adjacent or close to the site still being considered by us or the Secretary of State?			□ No	Ø
PART 2				
4. Does the appeal relate to an application for approval of reserved matters?		Yes	□ No	Ø
5. Was a site ownership certificate submitted with the application?		Yes	□ No	
6. Did you give publicity to the application in accordance with either Article 15 of			☑ No	

the DMPO 2015, Section 67/73 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 or Regulation 5 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Regulations 1990?			
6.a. Please send a copy of the notice published			
✓ see 'Questionnaire Documents' section			
6.b. Please send any representations received as a result of that notice			
✓ see 'Questionnaire Documents' section			
7. Does the appeal relate to a county matter?	Yes	□ No	
8. Please indicate the development type for the application to which the a	рреат г	eiates.	
Major Developments			
Minor Developments			
Other Developments			
8.b. Minor Developments			
Dwellings			
Offices/R and D/light industry			
General industry/storage/warehousing			
Retail and services			
Traveller caravan pitches			
All other minor developments			
Is the appeal site within:			
9.a. A Green Belt?	Yes	□ No	√
9.b. An Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?	Yes	□ No	☑
, ,	165	LI NO	
10. Is there a known surface or underground mineral interest at or within 400 metres of the appeal site which is likely to be a material consideration in determining the appeal?	Yes	□ No	Ø
DART 2			
PART 3			
11. Would the development require the stopping up or diverting of a public right of way?	Yes	□ No	
12.a. Is the site in a Conservation Area?	Yes	☑ No	
Please attach a plan of the Conservation Area			
✓ see 'Questionnaire Documents' section			
12.b. Is the site adjacent to a Conservation Area?	Yes	□ No	
12.c. Does the appeal proposal include the demolition of a non-listed building within a conservation area?	Yes	□ No	
13.a. Does the proposed development involve the demolition, alteration or extension of a Grade I / $\rm II^*$ / $\rm II$ listed building?	Yes	□ No	
13.b. Would the proposed development affect the setting of a listed building?	Yes	□ No	
14. Has a grant been made under s3A or s4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act 1953?	Yes	□ No	Ø
15.a. Would the proposals affect an Ancient Monument (whether scheduled or	Yes	□ No	

not)?			
16. Is any part of the site subject to a Tree Preservation Order?		☑ No	
Please send a plan showing the extent of the Order and any relevant details.			
17. Have you made a Local Development Order under s61A to 61C of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as inserted by s40 of the Planning & Compulsory		□ No	 ✓
Purchase Act 2004) relating to the application site?			
18. Does the appeal involve persons claiming Gypsy/Traveller status, whether or not this is accepted by the planning authority?	Yes	□ No	$ \mathbf{Z} $
19.a. Is the appeal site in or adjacent to or likely to affect an SSSI or an Internationally designated site (ie. cSAC, SAC, pSPA, SPA Ramsar)?	Yes	□ No	ď
19.b. Are any protected species likely to be affected by the proposals?		□ No	✓
PART 4			
Environmental Impact Assessment - Schedule 1			
20 a : To the proposed development Cabadula 1 development as described in			
20.a.i. Is the proposed development Schedule 1 development as described in Schedule 1 of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact	Yes	□ No	\checkmark
Assessment) Regulations 2011?			
Environmental Impact Assessment - Schedule 2			
20.b.i. Is the proposed development Schedule 2 development as described in			
Column 1, Schedule 2 of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2011?	Yes	□ No	✓
20.c.i. Have you issued a screening opinion (SO)	Yes	□ No	✓
Environmental Impact Assessment - Environmental Statement (ES)			
20.d. Has the appellant supplied an environmental statement?	Yes	□ No	ď
Environmental Impact Assessment - Publicity			
20.e. If applicable, please attach a copy of the site notice and local advertisement published as required for EIA development.	Applies	□ N/.	A 🗹
21. Have all notifications or consultations under any Act, Order or Departmental Circular, necessary before granting permission, taken place?		☑ No	
Please attach copies of any comments that you have received in response.			
PART 5			
22. Do you wish to attach your statement of case?	Yes	□ No	
For appeals dealt with by written representations only			
23. If this appeal is not following the written representations expedited	Yes	✓ No	

procedure, do you intend to send a statement of case about this appeal?				
Copies of the following documents must, if appropriate, be attached to this questionnaire				
24.a. a copy of the letter with which you notified people about the appeal;		\checkmark		
24.b. a list of the people you notified and the deadline you gave for their comments to be sent to us;				
Deadline	05/05/2017			
24.c. all representations received from interested parties about the origina	l application;			
24.d. the planning officer's report to committee or delegated report on the relevant documents/minutes;	application and any other			
24.e. any representations received as a result of a service of a site owners	hip notification;			
24.f. extracts from any relevant statutory development plan policies (even heavily on the emerging plan);	if you intend to rely more	\checkmark		
You must include the front page, the title and date of the approval/adoption, please give the sta should include the relevant supporting text. You must provide this even if the appeal is against		es		
List of policies CS Cover, CS1,5,6,11,13,14,15,16,18&19 & DP DP2,5,6,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,2				
24.g. extracts of any relevant policies which have been 'saved' by way of a	Direction;			
24.h. extracts from any supplementary planning guidance, that you consid with its status, whether it was the subject of public consultation and conse whether it was formally adopted and if so, when;	, ,	$ \checkmark $		
24.i. extracts from any supplementary planning document that you conside with the date of its adoption;	er necessary, together			
In the case of emerging documents, please state what stage they have reached.				
24.j. a comprehensive list of conditions which you consider should be imporpermission is granted;	sed if planning			
You need not attach this to the other questionnaire papers, but it should reach us by the date you submitted separately from your appeal statement.	our statement is due. This list must	be		
24.k. if any Development Plan Document (DPD) or Neighbourhood Plan rel been examined and found sound/met the basic conditions and passed a re DPD or Neighbourhood Plan is likely to be adopted and, if you consider this Inspector's decision on this appeal is issued, an explanation of the Council' respect of this appeal upon its adoption. You should also include an explan existing policies and plans, as they relate to this appeal, upon adoption and superseded;	ferendum, the date the state will be before the s policy position in ation of the status of			
24.I. if any DPD or Neighbourhood Plan relevant to this appeal has been suor in the case of a Neighbourhood Plan has been examined and is awaiting explanation of any substantive changes in the progress of the emerging plathis appeal if it is considered that the plan will not be adopted before the I appeal is issued;	a referendum, an an, and their relevance to			

24.m. your Authority's CIL charging schedule is being/has been examined;					
24.n. your Authority's CIL charging schedule has been/is likely to be adopted;					
24.o. any other relevant information or corre	espondence you consider we should know about.	V			
For the Mayor of London cases only					
25.a. Was it necessary to notify the Mayor of London about the application? Yes No					
25.b. Did the Mayor of London issue a direct	ion to refuse planning permission? Yes \Box No	$ \checkmark $			
LPA Details					
I certify that a copy of this appeal questionnaire and any enclosures will be sent to the appellant or agent today.					
LPA's reference	2016/2997/P				
Completed by	Michelle Panteli				
On behalf of	London Borough of Camden				
Please provide the details of the officer we can contact for this appeal, if different from the Planning Inspectorate's usual contact for this type of appeal.					
Name	Michelle Panteli				
Phone no (including dialling code)	0207 974 4205				
Email	PlanningAppeals@camden.gov.uk				
Please advise the case officer of any changes in circumstances occurring after the return of the questionnaire.					

QUESTIONNAIRE DOCUMENTS

Appeal Reference APP/X5210/W/16/3164577

Appeal By 28 REDINGTON ROAD LLP

Site Address

28 Redington Road

LONDON NW3 7RB

The documents listed below were uploaded with this form:

Relates to Section: PART 2

Document Description: 6.a. A copy of the notice published.

File name: PRESS ADVERT.doc
File name: Site Notice.doc

Relates to Section: PART 2

Document Description: 6.b. Any representations received as a result of that notice.

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Bat recordings prepared by The Ecology

Network.PDF

File name: Energy and Sustainability Statement-Rev C.PDF

File name: 1 x Comment.PDF

File name: 1 x response - 28RR Comment on BIA Audit Reply.PDF **File name:** 1 x response - Red Frog Neighbourhood Forum.PDF

File name: JCA-RR-PR-010 Lower ground floor.PDF

File name:1 x response (Redacted).....PDFFile name:1 x response (Redacted)...PDFFile name:1 x response (Redacted)--.PDF

File name: 1 x response (The Conservation Studio rebuttal of heritage statement on

behalf of Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum).PDF

File name: 1 x response Ashmount Management Company Ltd.PDF

File name: 1 x response (Redacted)......PDF

File name: 1x response - Report for No.26 prepared by Eldreds-geo

G1615-RP-01-E1.PDF

File name: 1x response - Report for No.30 prepared by

Eldreds-geoG1615-RP-02-E1(2).PDF

File name: 2 x responses (Redacted).PDF **File name:** 4 x Comments (Redacted).PDF

File name: 1 x response.PDF **File name:** 1 x response---.PDF

File name: Redfog Assn objection 1.9.16 (revised).PDF **File name:** Red Frog Neighbourhood Forum.PDF

File name: Reptile survey.PDF

File name: 1 x Comment (Redacted)-.PDF

File name: The Conservation Studio rebuttal (revised) - 1 September 16 (Redacted).PDF

File name: Victorian Society response.PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Appraisal prepared by Dr. Andrew Parish

(Redacted).PDF

File name: Sketch vehicle crossover entry.PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd - attachment 3.PDF

File name: Response from CAAC.PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) The Value of Different Tree Species for

Invertebrates and Lichens.PDF

File name: 6 x Comments (Redacted).PDF

File name: The Conservation Studio rebuttal (revised) - 1 September 16.PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Appraisal prepared by Dr. Andrew Parish.PDF **File name:** (Redfrog Assoc submission) Appraisal prepared by Harriet Devlin Birmingham

City University.PDF

File name: 1 x response Redfog Assn objection 31.8.16.PDF

File name: 1 x response..PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd - Light report part 3.PDF **File name:** (Redfrog Assoc submission) AECOM - Contribution of Trees.PDF **File name:** Ashmount Management Company Ltd - Light report part 1.PDF

File name: 1 x response (Redacted)-.PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd - Light report part 2.PDF **File name:** Ashmount Management Company Ltd attachement 1.PDF

File name: 1 x response (Redacted).PDF

Relates to Section: PART 3

Document Description: 12.a. A plan of the Conservation Area. **File name:** Redington Frognal Conservation Area.pdf

File name: Redington and Frognal.pdf

File name: Redington Frognal Conservation Area.doc

Relates to Section: PART 3

Document Description: 16. A plan showing the extent of the Order and any relevant details.

File name: C847 2009.pdf File name: H5 1964.pdf

Relates to Section: PART 4

Document Description: 21. Copies of any comments that you have received in response.

File name: 1 x response (Redacted)..PDF **File name:** 1 x response (Redacted)--.PDF

File name: 1 x response (The Conservation Studio rebuttal of heritage statement on

behalf of Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum).PDF

File name: 1 x response Ashmount Management Company Ltd.PDF

File name: 1 x response Redfog Assn objection 31.8.16.PDF

File name: 1 x response.PDF

File name: 1x response - Report for No.30 prepared by

Eldreds-geoG1615-RP-02-E1(2).PDF

File name: 2 x responses (Redacted).PDF **File name:** 4 x Comments (Redacted).PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Appraisal prepared by Harriet Devlin Birmingham

City University.PDF

File name: Red Frog Neighbourhood Forum.PDF

File name: Redfog Assn objection 1.9.16 (revised).PDF

File name: The Conservation Studio rebuttal (revised) - 1 September 16 (Redacted).PDF

File name: Reptile survey.PDF

File name:

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) The Value of Different Tree Species for

Invertebrates and Lichens.PDF Victorian Society response.PDF

File name:1 x Comment (Redacted)-.PDFFile name:1 x response (Redacted)......PDF

File name: 1 x response - Red Frog Neighbourhood Forum.PDF

File name:1 x response (Redacted).....PDFFile name:Sketch vehicle crossover entry.PDFFile name:1 x response (Redacted).PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) AECOM - Contribution of Trees.PDF

File name: 1 x response (Redacted)-.PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd attachement 1.PDF

File name: 1 x Comment.PDF

File name: JCA-RR-PR-010 Lower ground floor.PDF

File name: 1x response - Report for No.26 prepared by Eldreds-geo

G1615-RP-01-E1.PDF

File name: 6 x Comments (Redacted).PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Appraisal prepared by Dr. Andrew Parish

(Redacted).PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd - attachment 3.PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Bat recordings prepared by The Ecology

Network.PDF

File name: 1 x response..PDF

File name: 1 x response - 28RR Comment on BIA Audit Reply.PDF

File name: Energy and Sustainability Statement-Rev C.PDF

File name: Response from CAAC.PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd - Light report part 1.PDF

File name: 1 x response---.PDF

File name: The Conservation Studio rebuttal (revised) - 1 September 16.PDF **File name:** Ashmount Management Company Ltd - Light report part 2.PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Appraisal prepared by Dr. Andrew Parish.PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd - Light report part 3.PDF

Relates to Section: PART 5

Document Description: 24.a. A copy of the letter with which you notified people about the appeal.

File name: Notification of an Appeal.doc

Relates to Section: PART 5

Document Description: 24.b. A document containing a list of the people you notified of the appeal.

File name: appealcorrespondence.pdf

Relates to Section: PART 5

Document Description: 24.c. Copies of all representations received from interested parties about the

original application.

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Appraisal prepared by Dr. Andrew Parish.PDF **File name:** (Redfrog Assoc submission) Appraisal prepared by Harriet Devlin Birmingham

City University.PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Bat recordings prepared by The Ecology

Network.PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) The Value of Different Tree Species for

Invertebrates and Lichens.PDF

File name: Energy and Sustainability Statement-Rev C.PDF **File name:** 1 x response - Red Frog Neighbourhood Forum.PDF

File name: 1 x response (Redacted)......PDF

File name: 1 x response.PDF

File name:Ashmount Management Company Ltd - Light report part 1.PDFFile name:Ashmount Management Company Ltd attachement 1.PDFFile name:1 x response Ashmount Management Company Ltd.PDF

File name: 4 x Comments (Redacted).PDF **File name:** Red Frog Neighbourhood Forum.PDF

File name: Reptile survey.PDF

File name: JCA-RR-PR-010 Lower ground floor.PDF **File name:** Sketch vehicle crossover entry.PDF

File name: The Conservation Studio rebuttal (revised) - 1 September 16.PDF

File name: Response from CAAC.PDF

File name: The Conservation Studio rebuttal (revised) - 1 September 16 (Redacted).PDF

File name: 1 x response (Redacted)-.PDF

File name: 1 x response..PDF **File name:** 1 x response---.PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd - attachment 3.PDF

File name: 6 x Comments (Redacted).PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) Appraisal prepared by Dr. Andrew Parish

(Redacted).PDF

File name: 1 x response Redfog Assn objection 31.8.16.PDF

File name: Victorian Society response.PDF

File name: 1 x response - 28RR Comment on BIA Audit Reply.PDF

File name: 1 x response (Redacted).PDF **File name:** 2 x responses (Redacted).PDF

File name: 1 x Comment.PDF

File name: (Redfrog Assoc submission) AECOM - Contribution of Trees.PDF

File name: 1 x Comment (Redacted)-.PDF **File name:** 1 x response (Redacted)--.PDF

File name: 1x response - Report for No.26 prepared by Eldreds-geo

G1615-RP-01-E1.PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd - Light report part 3.PDF

File name: 1x response - Report for No.30 prepared by

Eldreds-geoG1615-RP-02-E1(2).PDF

File name: 1 x response (Redacted)..PDF

File name: Ashmount Management Company Ltd - Light report part 2.PDF

File name: 1 x response (The Conservation Studio rebuttal of heritage statement on

behalf of Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum).PDF

File name: Redfog Assn objection 1.9.16 (revised).PDF

File name: 1 x response (Redacted).....PDF

Relates to Section: PART 5

Document Description: 24.f. Copies of extracts from any relevant statutory development plan

policies.

File name: CS1,5,6,11,13,14,15,16,18&19.pdf

Relates to Section: PART 5

Document Description: 24.f. Copies of extracts from any relevant statutory development plan

policies.

File name: DP2,5,6,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28&29.pdf

Relates to Section: PART 5

Document Description: 24.h. Copies of extracts from any supplementary planning guidance, that you

consider necessary, together with its status, whether it was the subject of public consultation and consequent modification, whether it was formally

adopted and if so, when.

File name:CPG6 - Chapters 6,7 & 8.pdfFile name:CPG3 - Chapters 11 & 13.pdfFile name:CPG8 - Chapters 5,7 & 10.pdfFile name:CPG7 - Chapters 5,6 & 9.pdf

File name: CPG2 - Chapter 4.pdf

File name: CPG1 - Chapters 2,3 & 6.pdf **File name:** CPG4 - Chapters 2 & 3.pdf

Relates to Section: PART 5

Document Description: 24.o. Copies of any other relevant information or correspondence you

consider we should know about.

File name: Camden Local Plan Submission Draft 2016 - Part 2.pdf **File name:** Camden Local Plan Submission Draft 2016 - Part 1.pdf

PLEASE ENSURE THAT A COPY OF THIS SHEET IS ENCLOSED WHEN POSTING THE ABOVE DOCUMENTS TO US

Completed by Not Set

Date 07/04/2017 13:48:35

LPA London Borough of Camden