Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 03/04/2017 09:05:07 Response:
2017/1093/P	Adam Kenwright	2 Cranfield House 97-107 Southampton Row London	28/03/2017 12:44:04		I am a resident at Cranfield House, 97-107 Southampton Row. I strongly oppose this application. A phone box is not a necessity nowadays when 95% of the population have mobile phones. Instead, phone boxes in central London end up covered with unsavoury adverts for sexual services or being used as an urinal. This application will create an eyesore, a smell, it will be an embarrassment rather than a public service and is unnecessary. I strongly oppose this application and believe it would bring down the tone of the area and create unpleasantness for local residents such as myself and my neighbours. Please reconsider the location.
2017/1093/P	Jeff Claxton	18 Russell Square Mansions, 122 Southampton Row WC1B 5AE WC1B 5AE	26/03/2017 14:21:33	ОВЈ	I wish to object to this application on the grounds of public safety primarily but also because I do not believe that this change is necessary. The pavements on Southampton Row are cluttered with a variety of phone boxes already which are a significant impediment to pedestrian and wheelchair traffic. To add another unnecessary phone box will simply add to that proliferation without providing any public benefit. There are 5 phone boxes already within a couple of hundred metres of the proposed new box. The
					existing phone boxes are any within a couple of intinded metres of the proposed new box. The existing phone boxes seem vary rarely to be used for anything other than litter and as urinals. I do not believe that this new box is required and that it works against public safety and I object on those grounds.