GEO-ENVIRONMENTAL DESK STUDY

FOR

254 KILBURN HIGH ROAD LONDON NW6 2BS





Report Title : Geo-environmental Desk Study for 254 Kilburn High Road, NW6 2BS

Report Status : Final

Job No : P8591J338

Date : 16 September 2013

Prepared by: JOMAS ASSOCIATES LTD For: AITCH GROUP

Prepared by George Glancy BEng (hons)
Geo-environmental Engineer

Approved by Roni Savage BEng (hons), MSc, SiLC, CGeol, MCIWM, FGS

Technical Director

Should you have any queries relating to this report, please contact

Roni Savage

Jomas Associates Ltd

• www.jomasassociates.com

2 0843 289 2187

i



Page

CONTENTS

EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1	Terms of Reference	3
1.2	Objectives	3
1.3	Scope of Works	3
1.4	Limitations	3
2	SITE SETTING	5
2.1	Site Information	5
2.2	Walkover Survey	5
2.3	Historical Mapping Information	6
2.4	Previous Site Investigations	8
2.5	Local Authority Information	8
2.6	Proposed Development	8
3	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	9
3.2	Solid and Drift Geology	9
3.3	Hydrogeology	9
3.4	Hydrology	10
3.5	Sensitive Land Uses	10
3.6	Industrial and Statutory Consents	10
3.7	Radon	11
3.8	British GeologicalSurvey (BGS) Borehole Records	12
3.9	Geological Hazards	12
4	QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT	13



4.1	Legislative Framework	.13
4.2	Conceptual Site Model	.14
4.3	Qualitative Risk Estimation	.14
4.4	Outcome of Risk Assessment	.17
4.5	List of Key Contaminants	.17
5	REFERENCES	18
AP	PENDICES	
AP	PENDIX 1 – FIGURES	
AP	PENDIX 2 – GROUNDSURE REPORTS	
AP	PENDIX 3 – OS HISTORICAL MAPS	
AP	PENDIX 4 – QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	

APPENDIX 5 - BGS BOREHOLE RECORDS



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Aitch Group ('The client') commissioned Jomas Associates Ltd ('JAL') to undertake a geoenvironmental desk study at 254 Kilburn High Road, NW6 2BS. The principle objectives of the study were as follows:

- To determine the nature and where possible the extent of contaminants potentially present at the site:
- To establish the presence of significant pollutant linkages, in accordance with the procedures set out within the Environment Agency (EA) report R&D CLR11 and relevant guidance within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF);
- To obtain documentary or other information to assess whether the land appears to be contaminated land, under the definition set out in Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990:
- To assess whether the site is safe and suitable for the purpose for which it is intended, or can be made so by remedial action.

It should be noted that the table below is an executive summary of the findings of this report and is for briefing purposes only. Reference should be made to the main report for detailed information and analysis.

	Desk Study				
	·				
Site History	A review of historical maps indicates that the site was originally (1866) occupied by gardens to the rear of a row of properties on Edgware Road, with a building noted as Stanmore terrace encroaching on the south-eastern edge of the site. A further building is present in the north-eastern part of the site in 1866. Further buildings are constructed on site by 1893. The structures on site are subsequently modified over the years, with the site appearing similar to the present day by 1995. The site is labelled as a Timber yard in 1935, a Motor Units Factory in 1953, and a Warehouse from 1976. Historically, the surrounding area has been utilised for a variety of uses, with several industrial uses noted from 1871. Notable industrial uses within the surrounding area include railway lines, garage (60m SE and 220m NW), engineering works (150m N, 175m E, gas works (125m NW), etc.				
Current Site Use 2 storey offices building used as an administration centre with a warehous areas.					
Proposed Site Use	Residential development proposed. No further information has been provided at the time of this report.				
Site Setting	Information provided by the British Geological Survey indicates that the site is underlain by solid deposits of the London Clay Formation.				
	No artificial deposits and superficial deposits reported on the site.				
	The underlying solid deposits are identified as Unproductive.				
	There is no groundwater abstraction license within 500m. The nearest borehole is reported 1794m east of the site for spray irrigation sourced from Thames Groundwater.				
	There are no surface water abstractions reported within 2km of the site.				



an alta la mat lilitta ma Anna O ar Zana O flandalain					
The site is not within a Zone 2 or Zone 3 floodplain.					
The Groundsure report indicates that 'no radon protective measures are necessary' at the site.					
Potential Made Ground associated with previous developments – on and off-site (S1) Potential for asbestos in soil from demolition of previous buildings (S2) Former timber yard – on site (S3) Former Motor Units Factory – on site (S4) Current industrial site use – on site (S5) Current and previous industrial sites and consents/depot/works – off site (S6)					
onstruction and maintenance workers, neighbouring and future site users, buried undations and services					
esidential development proposed. No further information has been provided at the time if this report. The risk estimation matrix indicates a moderate to low risk as shown in able 4.3. It is recommended that an intrusive investigation is undertaken to clarify potential risks to the identified receptors. The investigation should assess the thickness of any made round soils, and allow samples of made ground and natural soils to be taken for boratory analysis. Repending on ground conditions encountered i.e., thickness of made ground and depth of London clay deposits, a programme of soil gas monitoring may be required in accordance with CIRIA C665:2007. Repossible contamination implications for both on-site and off-site sources have been assessed based on the information presented in the report. This has been achieved sing guidance publications by the Environment Agency, together with other sources. * Metals: cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, zinc; * Semi-metals and non-metals: arsenic, boron, sulphur; * Inorganic chemicals: cyanide, nitrate, sulphate and sulphide; * Organic chemicals: aromatic hydrocarbons, aliphatic hydrocarbons, petroleum hydrocarbons, phenol, polyaromatic hydrocarbon;					



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Terms of Reference

- 1.1.1 Aitch Group ("The Client") has commissioned Jomas Associates Ltd ('JAL'), to assess the risk of contamination posed by the ground conditions at a site referred to as 254 Kilburn High Road, NW6 2BS.
- 1.1.2 To this end, a desk based review has been undertaken in accordance with JAL's email proposal dated 16 September 2013.

1.2 Objectives

- 1.2.1 The objectives of JAL's investigation were as follows:
 - To present a description of the present site status, based upon the published geology, hydrogeology and hydrology of the site and surrounding area;
 - To review readily available historical information (i.e., Ordnance Survey maps and database search information) for the site and surrounding areas, with respect to potentially contaminative land uses;
 - To provide an assessment of the environmental sensitivity at the site and the surrounding area, in relation to any suspected or known contamination which may significantly affect the site and the proposed development;
 - To assess the potential presence of significant pollutant linkages, in accordance with the procedures set out within Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990, associated statutory guidance and current best practice including the EA report R&D CLR 11.

1.3 Scope of Works

- 1.3.1 The following tasks were undertaken to achieve the objectives listed above:
 - A walkover survey of the site;
 - A desk study, which included the review of a database search report (Envirolnsight Report, attached in Appendix 2) and historical Ordnance Survey maps (attached in Appendix 3);
 - The compilation of this report, which collects and discusses the above data, and presents an assessment of the site conditions, conclusions and recommendations.

1.4 Limitations

- 1.4.1 Jomas Associates Ltd ('JAL') has prepared this report for the sole use of Aitch Group in accordance with the generally accepted consulting practices and for the intended purposes as stated in the agreement under which this work was completed. This report may not be relied upon by any other party without the explicit written agreement of JAL. No other third party warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report. This report must be used in its entirety.
- 1.4.2 The records search was limited to information available from public sources; this information is changing continually and frequently incomplete. Unless JAL has actual knowledge to the contrary, information obtained from public sources or provided to

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION



JAL by site personnel and other information sources, have been assumed to be correct. JAL does not assume any liability for the misinterpretation of information or for items not visible, accessible or present on the subject property at the time of this study.

1.4.3 Whilst every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data supplied, and any analysis derived from it, there may be conditions at the site that have not been disclosed by the investigation, and could not therefore be taken into account. As with any site, there may be differences in soil conditions between exploratory hole positions. Furthermore, it should be noted that groundwater conditions may vary due to seasonal and other effects and may at times be significantly different from those measured by the investigation. No liability can be accepted for any such variations in these conditions.



2 SITE SETTING

2.1 Site Information

2.1.1 The site location plan is appended to this report as Figure 1.

Table 2.1: Site Information

Name of Site	-		
Address of Site	254 Kilburn High Road, NW6 2BS		
Approx. National Grid Ref.	524975,184276		
Site Ownership	Unknown		
Site Occupation	Office building with associated Warehouse and parking areas.		
Local Authority	Camden London Borough Council		
Proposed Site Use	Residential development proposed. No further information has been provided at the time of this report.		

2.2 Walkover Survey

- 2.2.1 A site walkover survey was conducted by JAL on 16 September 2013.
- 2.2.2 At the time of the walkover, the site comprised several one storey brick warehouses and an office building of 2 No. storey red brick construction. The warehouse comprised storage accommodation and the 2 storey building was utilised as an office administration centre. Access was only possible to a limited part of the building.
- 2.2.3 The interior of the building (where viewed) was underlain by a concrete floor which was observed to be in generally good condition, with no significant cracking or staining observed.
- 2.2.4 The Interior of the warehouses (where viewed) was underlain by a concrete floor which was observed to be in poor condition, with cracking apparent in certain areas.
- 2.2.5 The remainder of the site was occupied by made ground; concrete hardstanding that appeared to be in poor condition, with significant cracking, uneven surfaces with holes in various parts.
- 2.2.6 There is very limited vegetation; some places have spots that have overgrown. Along the east corner, there was overgrown vegetation protruding around the warehouses. A storage unit was present at the end of the east side, an unknown barrel with a possible vent pipe attached was noted. The barrel was observed from a distance owing to stored material see photos 15 and 1.
- 2.2.7 The site was generally level with a number of pot holes throughout the concrete.
- 2.2.8 The front entrance on the northern side (main road) has been subject to fly tipping.
- 2.2.9 There were no visible tanks above ground noted.
- 2.2.10 The presence of asbestos within the building footprint could not be discounted.



2.2.11 The surrounding buildings comprised;

North - Main road with shops and residential flats;

East - Small unidentifiable building a car park and entrance to the park;

South- Large park area, with a few overhanging trees;

West - Shops.

2.2.12 Photos taken during the site walkover are provided in Appendix 1.

2.3 Historical Mapping Information

2.3.1 The historical development of the site and its surrounding areas was evaluated following the review of a number of Ordnance Survey historic maps, procured from GroundSure, and provided in Appendix 3 of this report.

2.3.2 A summary produced from the review of the historical map is given in Table 2.2 below. Distances are taken from the site boundary.

Table 2.2: Historical Development

Table 2.2. Historical Development					
Dates and	Relevant Historical Information				
Scale of Map	On Site	Off Site			
1866 1:1,056	The site appears to comprise residential gardens to the rear of a row of properties on Edgware Road. One of the properties encroaches on the southeastern edge of the site.	The surrounding area generally comprises agricultural and residential use, with some industry such as Edgware Road Station railway (approx 125m North West) and Brewery (approx 125m West) A nursery is present on the eastern boundary.			
	A further building is present in the north-eastern part of the site	A road (Edgware Road) runs North West to South East approximately 25m west of the site.			
1871 1:2,500	No significant development noted	Edgware road labelled as Watling street Railway cuttings apparent 250m North West of the site.			
1893 1:1,056	Further buildings are present on the site	No significant development discernible			
1896 1:2,500	No significant development discernible	Further development apparent within 250m. Watling Street is now labelled High road. The Brewery is now Kilburn Brewery. The station is still labelled A saw mill is visible (approx 175m) East.			
1915 1:2,500	A large building has been erected on site; A Picture Theatre is shown on the south western boundary has and may encroach on the site.	The saw mill is now a school. Palmerton works is visible (approx 225m) South.			



Table 2.2: Historical Development

Dates and Relevant Historical Information					
Scale of Map	On Site	Off Site			
1935 1:2,500	A further building is present in the centre of the site. The site is now labelled - Timber Yard.	Kilburn Grange Park is present on eastern boundary. A timber yard is shown west (approx 90m) a cinema south (approx 100m) and engineering works north west (approx 200m). Picture theatre is now shown as a hall.			
1953-55 1:2,500	The site is now labelled Motor Units Factory.	A gas works depot is visible north west (approx 125m) A pond (approx 50m southeast) and paddling pool is visible north east (150m), garage (approx 60m south east and approx 220m northwest), Engineering works approx 175m east, with another approx 150m north. Electricity substation approx 150m southeast Edgware Road Station is now called Brondesbury Station (approx 250m)			
1970 1:2,500	No map coverage	No map coverage			
1976 1:2,500	The building within the site has been extended and is now identified as Warehouse.	No Significant changes noted.			
1981 1:2,500	No significant changes noted to the site.	Partial map coverage – no changes discernible.			
1985 1:2,500	No map coverage	Partial map coverage – no changes discernible.			
1991 1:1,250	No significant changes noted to the site.	No significant changes noted. The gas works depot is no longer shown.			
1992 1:1,250	No map coverage	Partial map coverage – no changes discernible.			
1994 1:1,250	No map coverage	Partial map coverage – no changes discernible.			
1995 1:1,250	A further building is apparent, appearing to connect the central warehouse building to one of the buildings to the west of the site.	Gas works, timber yard and engineering works are no longer visible.			
2012 1:1,250	No significant changes noted to the site.	Partial map coverage – no changes discernible.			



2.4	Previous Site Investigations
2.4.1	No previous site investigation reports were made available for review.
2.5	Local Authority Information
2.5.1	Any consultation with the local authority was outside the scope of this report.
2.6	Proposed Development
2.6 2.6.1	Proposed Development It is understood that the site is to be redeveloped to provide residential development proposed. No further information has been provided at the time of this report.



3 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

3.1.1 The following section summarises the principal environmental resources (geological, hydrogeological and hydrological) of the site and its surroundings. The data discussed herein is generally based on the information given within the Envirolnsight Report (reproduced as Appendix 2) and published information provided by the Environment Agency and British Geological Survey.

3.2 Solid and Drift Geology

- 3.2.1 Information provided by the British Geological Survey indicates that the site is underlain by solid deposits of the London Clay Formation.
- 3.2.2 No artificial deposits and superficial deposits reported on the site.

3.3 Hydrogeology

3.3.1 General information about the hydrogeology of the site was obtained from the Envirolnsight Report.

Groundwater Vulnerability

- The EA operates a classification system to categorise the importance of groundwater resources (aquifers) and their sensitivity to contamination. Aquifers were formerly classified as major, minor and non-aquifers, based on the amenity value of the resource. A major aquifer is a significant resource capable of producing large quantities of water suitable for potable supply. Minor aquifers produce water in varying quantities or qualities, and if utilised are of local importance. Non aquifers are low permeability strata, which contain no significant exploitable groundwater and have very limited capacity to transmit contaminants.
- 3.3.3 Since 1 April 2010, the EA's Groundwater Protection Policy uses aquifer designations that are consistent with the Water Framework Directive. This comprises;
 - Secondary A permeable layers capable of supporting water supplies at a local rather than strategic scale, and in some cases forming an important source of base flow to rivers. These are generally aquifers formerly classified as minor aquifers;
 - Secondary B predominantly lower permeability layers which may store and yield limited amounts of groundwater due to localised features such as fissures, thin permeable horizons and weathering. These are generally the water-bearing parts of the former non-aquifers.
 - Secondary Undifferentiated has been assigned in cases where it has not been possible to attribute either category A or B to a rock type. In most cases, this means that the layer in question has previously been designated as both minor and non-aquifer in different locations due to the variable characteristics of the rock type.
 - Principal Aquifer this is a formation with a high primary permeability, supplying large quantities of water for public supply abstraction.



- Unproductive Strata These are rock layers or drift deposits with low permeability that have negligible significance for water supply or river base flow.
- 3.3.4 The underlying solid deposits are identified as Unproductive.

Source Protection Zones (SPZ)

- 3.3.5 In terms of aquifer protection, the EA generally adopts a three-fold classification of SPZs for public water supply abstraction wells.
 - Zone I or 'Inner Protection Zone' is located immediately adjacent to the groundwater source and is based on a 50-day travel time. It is designed to protect against the effects of human activity and biological/chemical contaminants that may have an immediate effect on the source.
 - Zone II or 'Outer Protection Zone' is defined by a 400-day travel time to the source. The travel time is designed to provide delay and attenuation of slowly degrading pollutants.
 - Zone III or 'Total Catchment' is the total area needed to support removal of water from the borehole, and to support any discharge from the borehole.
- 3.3.6 A review of the Envirolnsight Report indicates that the site is within the inner catchment (Zone 1) of a Source Protection Zone.

3.4 Hydrology

- 3.4.1 There are no groundwater abstraction licenses reported within 500m. The nearest borehole is reported 1794m east of the site for spray irrigation sourced from Thames Groundwater.
- 3.4.2 There are no surface water abstractions reported within 2km of the site.
- 3.4.3 There are no detailed river entries reported within 500m of the site. There are no surface water features within 250m of the site.
- 3.4.4 The site is not within a Zone 2 or Zone 3 floodplain.

3.5 Sensitive Land Uses

3.5.1 There are no sensitive land uses within 1km of the site. The nearest record relates to a Local Nature Reserve 1071m North West of the site named Westbere Copse.

3.6 Industrial and Statutory Consents

3.6.1 The Groundsure Envirolnsight Report also provides information on various statutory and industrial consents on and in the vicinity of the site. The following section summarises the information collected from the available sources.



Table 3.1: Industrial and Statutory Consents

Type of Consent/Authorisation	On site	Off-site	Potential to Impact on
otherwise)		,	Site from a land contamination perspective
Industrial Sites holding licences and/or authorisations.	None	7 Nr. records of Part A(2) and Part B activities and enforcements reported within 500m of the site. Closest at 136m south and 155m south relating to respraying process and cement processing respectively. A record at 431m North West relates to a petrol station	•
Discharge Consents.	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X
Water Industry Act Referrals	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X
List 1 Dangerous Substances Inventory Sites	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X
List 2 Dangerous Substances Inventory Sites	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X
Control of Major Accident Hazards (COMAH) and Notification of Installations Handling Hazardous Substances (NIHHS) Sites.	None	None reported within 500m of the site	X
Category 3 or 4 Radioactive substances Authorisations	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X
Planning Hazardous Substance Consents and Enforcements	None	None reported within 500m of the site	X
List 1 Pollution Incidents	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X
List 2 Pollution Incidents	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X
Contaminated Land Register Entries and Notices.	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X
Registered Landfill Sites.	None	None reported within 500m of the site. EA historic landfill reported at 1152m northeast	X
Waste Treatment and/or Transfer Sites.	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X
Fuel Station Entries	None	3 No. reported 331m south of the site, identified as Obsolete.	X
Current Industrial Site Data.	1 No. Warehouse for container and storage	21 No. reported within 250m of the site. Nearest reported 8m South East for stone quarrying and preparation. Other uses include waste storage, unspecified works or factories and electrical features.	✓
Underground high pressure oil and gas pipelines	None	None reported within 500m of the site.	X

3.7 Radon

- 3.7.1 As shown in the Geolnsight Report, the site is not in a Radon affected area, as less than 1% of properties are above the Action level.
- 3.7.2 Consequently, no radon protective measures are necessary in the construction of new dwellings or extensions as described in publication BR211 (BRE, 2007).



3.8 British GeologicalSurvey (BGS) Borehole Records

- 3.8.1 As part of the desk study, a number of BGS borehole records from the surrounding area were obtained and reviewed.
- 3.8.2 The nearest available borehole record is located 37m west of the site. Dated 1845, the borehole record indicated the presence of blue London clay to 235ft (71.6m bgl) underlain by mottled clay, pebbles and sand exposed for 45ft (13.7m).
- 3.8.3 The BGS borehole records are presented as Appendix 5.

3.9 Geological Hazards

3.9.1 The following are brief findings extracted from the Envirolnsight Report that relate to factors that may have a potential impact upon the engineering of the proposed development.

Table 3.2 - Geological Hazards

Potential Hazard	GroundSure Hazard Rating
Shrink swell	Moderate
Landslides	Very Low
Ground dissolution soluble rocks	Null
Compressible deposits	Negligible
Collapsible Rock	Very Low
Running sand	Negligible
Coal mining	None
Shallow mine workings	Negligible
Brine affected areas	No

3.9.2 In addition, the GeoInsight Report notes the following:

- 6 No. historical surface ground workings are reported within 250m of the site.
 These relate to the same feature 105m north east of the site, identified as a pond (1920 to 1973)
- No historical underground workings are reported within 1km of the site.
- No BGS current ground workings are reported within 1km of the site.



4 QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT

4.1 Legislative Framework

- 4.1.1 A qualitative risk assessment has been prepared for the site, based on the information collated. This highlights the potential sources, pathways and receptors. Intrusive investigations will be required to confirm the actual site conditions and risks.
- 4.1.2 Under Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990, the statutory definition of contaminated land is:
 - "land which appears to the local authority in whose area it is situated to be in such a condition, by reason of substances in, on or under the land, that:
 - (a) significant harm is being caused or there is a significant possibility of such harm being caused; or
 - (b) pollution of controlled waters is being, or is likely to be, caused."
- 4.1.3 The Statutory Guidance provided in the DEFRA Circular 01/2006 lists the following categories of significant harm:
 - death, disease, serious injury, genetic mutation, birth defects or the impairment of reproduction functions in human beings;
 - irreversible adverse change, or threat to endangered species, affecting an ecosystem in a protected area (i.e. site of special scientific interest);
 - death, serious disease or serious physical damage to pets, livestock, game animals or fish;
 - a substantial loss in yield or value of crops, timber or produce; and
 - structural failure, substantial damage or substantial interference with right of occupation to any building.
- 4.1.4 Contaminated land will only be identified when a 'pollutant linkage' has been established.
- 4.1.5 A 'pollutant linkage' is defined in Part IIA as:
 - "A linkage between a contaminant Source and a Receptor by means of a Pathway".
- 4.1.6 Therefore, this report presents an assessment of the potential pollutant linkages that may be associated with the site, in order to determine whether additional investigations are required to assess their significance.
- 4.1.7 In accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework, where development is proposed, the developer is responsible for ensuring that the development is safe and suitable for use for the purpose for which it is intended, or can be made so by remedial action. In particular, the developer should carry out an adequate investigation to inform a risk assessment to determine:
 - whether the land in question is already affected by contamination through source – pathway – receptor pollutant linkages and how those linkages are represented in a conceptual model;
 - whether the development proposed will create new linkages, e.g. new pathways by which existing contaminants might reach existing or proposed receptors and whether it will introduce new vulnerable receptors; and
 - what action is needed to break those linkages and avoid new ones, deal with any unacceptable risks and enable development and future occupancy of the site and neighbouring land.



4.1.8 A potential developer will need to satisfy the Local Authority that unacceptable risk from contamination will be successfully addressed through remediation without undue environmental impact during and following the development.

4.2 Conceptual Site Model

- 4.2.1 On the basis of the information summarised above, a conceptual site model (CSM) has been developed for the site. The CSM is used to guide the investigation activities at the site and identifies potential contamination sources, receptors (both on and offsite) and exposure pathways that may be present. The identification of such potential "pollutant linkages" is a key aspect of the evaluation of potentially contaminated land.
- 4.2.2 The site investigation is then undertaken in order to prove or disprove the presence of these potential source-pathway-receptor linkages. Under current legislation an environmental risk is only deemed to exist if there are proven linkages between all three elements (source, pathway and receptor).
- 4.2.3 This part of the report lists the potential sources, pathways and receptors at the site, and assesses based on current and future land use, whether pollution linkages are possible.
- 4.2.4 Potential pollutant linkages identified at the site are detailed below:

Table 4.1: Potential Sources, Pathways and Receptors

Source(s)	Pathway(s)	Receptor(s)
 Potential Made Ground associated with previous developments – on and off-site (S1) Potential for asbestos in soil from demolition of previous buildings (S2) Former timber yard – on site (S3) Former Motor Units Factory – on site (S4) Current industrial site use – on site (S5) Current and previous industrial sites and consents/depot/works – off site (S6) 	 Ingestion and dermal contact with contaminated soil (P1) Inhalation or contact with potentially contaminated dust and vapours (P2) Leaching through permeable soils, migration within the vadose zone (i.e., unsaturated soil above the water table) and/or lateral migration within surface water, as a result of cracked hardstanding or via service pipe/corridors and surface water runoff. (P3) Horizontal and vertical migration of contaminants within groundwater (P4) Accumulation and Migration of Soil Gases (P5) 	 Construction workers (R1) Maintenance workers (R2) Neighbouring site users (R3) Future site users (R4) Building foundations and on site buried services (water mains, electricity and sewer) (R5)

4.3 Qualitative Risk Estimation

- 4.3.1 Based on information previously presented in this report, a qualitative risk estimation was undertaken.
- 4.3.2 For each potential pollutant linkage identified in the conceptual model, the potential risk can be evaluated, based on the following principle:



Overall contamination risk = Probability of event occurring x Consequence of event occurring

- 4.3.3 In accordance with CIRIA C552, the consequence of a risk occurring has been classified into the following categories:
 - Severe
 - Medium
 - Mild
 - Minor
- 4.3.4 The probability of a risk occurring has been classified into the following categories:
 - High Likelihood
 - Medium/Likely
 - Low Likelihood
 - Unlikely
- 4.3.5 This relationship can be represented graphically as a matrix (Table 4.2).

Table 4.2: Overall Contamination Risk Matrix

		Consequence			
		Severe	Medium	Mild	Minor
	High Likelihood	Very high risk	High risk	Moderate risk	Low risk
Drobobility	Medium/Likely	High risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Low risk
Probability	Low Likelihood	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Very low risk
	Unlikely	Low risk	Low risk	Very low risk	Very low risk

- 4.3.6 The risk assessment process is based on guidance provided in CIRIA C552 (2001) Contaminated Land Risk Assessment A Guide to Good Practice. Further information including definitions of descriptive terms used in the risk assessment process is included in Appendix 4.
- 4.3.7 The degree of risk is based on a combination of the potential sources and the sensitivity of the environment. The risk classifications can be cross checked with reference to Table A4.4 in Appendix 4.
- 4.3.8 Hazard assessment was also carried out, the outcome of which could be:
 - Urgent Action (UA) required to break existing source-pathway-receptor link.
 - Ground Investigation (GI) required to gather more information
 - No action required (NA)
- 4.3.9 The preliminary risk assessment for the site is presented in Table 4.3 below.



Table 4.3: Preliminary Risk Assessment for the Site

rable 4.3. I feliminary Risk Assessment for the Site						
Sources	Pathways (P)	Receptors	Consequence	Probability of pollutant linkage	Risk Estimation	Hazard Assessment
 Potential Made Ground associated with previous developments – on and off-site (S1) Potential for asbestos in soil from demolition of previous buildings (S2) Former timber yard – on site (S3) Former Motor Units Factory – on site (S4) Current industrial site use – on site (S5) Current and previous industrial sites and consents/depot/work s – off site (S6) 	 Ingestion and dermal contact with contaminated soil (P1) Inhalation or contact with potentially contaminated dust and vapours (P2) 	Construction workers (R1)Maintenance workers (R2)Future site users (R4)	Medium	Likely	Moderate	GI – ground investigation Depending on ground conditions encountered i.e., thickness of made ground and depth to London clay deposits, a programme of soil gas monitoring in accordance with CIRIA C665 may be required.
	Accumulation and migration of soil gases (P5)	 Construction workers (R1) Maintenance workers (R2) Neighbouring site users (R3) Future site users (R4) 	Medium	Likely	Moderate	
	 Leaching through permeable soils, migration within the vadose zone (i.e., unsaturated soil above the water table) and/or lateral migration within surface water, as a result of cracked hardstanding or via service pipe/corridors and surface water runoff. (P3) Horizontal and vertical migration of contaminants within groundwater (P4) 	 Building foundations and on site buried services (water mains, electricity and sewer) (R5) Neighbouring site users (R3) 	Medium	Unlikely (unproductive strata anticipated)	Low	



4.3.10 It should be noted that the identification of potential pollutant linkages does not necessarily signify that the site is unsuitable for its current or proposed land use. It does however act as a way of focussing data collection at the site in accordance with regulatory guidance in CLR 11.

4.4 Outcome of Risk Assessment

- 4.4.1 Residential development proposed. No further information has been provided at the time of this report. The risk estimation matrix indicates a moderate to low risk as shown in Table 4.3.
- 4.4.2 It is recommended that an intrusive investigation is undertaken to clarify potential risks to the identified receptors. The investigation should assess the thickness of any made ground soils, and allow samples of made ground and natural soils to be taken for laboratory analysis.
- 4.4.3 Depending on ground conditions encountered i.e., thickness of made ground and depth to London clay deposits, a programme of soil gas monitoring may be required in accordance with CIRIA C665:2007.
- 4.4.4 No controlled water receptors have been identified.

4.5 List of Key Contaminants

- 4.5.1 The possible contamination implications for both on-site and off-site sources have been assessed based on the information presented in the report. This has been achieved using guidance publications by the Environment Agency, together with other sources.
- 4.5.2 Based on recommendations within the guidance publications, an initial soil chemical testing suite would need to consider a range of contaminants as follows:
 - Metals: cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, zinc;
 - Semi-metals and non-metals: arsenic, boron, sulphur;
 - Inorganic chemicals: cyanide, nitrate, sulphate and sulphide;
 - Organic chemicals: aromatic hydrocarbons, aliphatic hydrocarbons, petroleum hydrocarbons, phenol, polyaromatic hydrocarbon;
 - · Others: pH, Asbestos



5 REFERENCES

BRE Report BR211 ;Radon: Protective measures for new dwellings, 2007

Code of Practice for Site Investigations BS5930: 1999

Groundsure Envirolnsight HMD-377 – 1073606 – 13 September 2013

Groundsure Geolnsight HMD-377-1073607 – 13 September 2013

Groundsure HMD-377-1073605 - Small Scale OS Historical Maps

Groundsure HMD-377-1073605 - Large Scale OS Historical Maps

Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites - Code of Practice BS10175: 2011

Model Procedures for the Management of Contaminated Land, Contaminated Land Report 11, (CLR11) Environment Agency, September 2004



APPENDICES



APPENDIX 1 – FIGURES



APPENDIX 2 - GROUNDSURE REPORTS



APPENDIX 3 - OS HISTORICAL MAPS



APPENDIX 4 – QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY



APPENDIX 5 – BGS BOREHOLE RECORDS