

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0774/P	SAMANTHA WRIGHT	14 THE MOUNT SQUARE LONDON NW36SX	23/03/2017 17:52:35	OBJNOT	<p>Sirs,I AM AGAINST THE APPLICATION BECAUSE THE LINE OF THE FENCE HAS BEEN PLACED ON MY LAND WITHOUT MY AGREEMENT AS STATED BY WEBB ARCHITECTS. THERE HAVE BEEN PREVIOUS ATTEMPTS BY MR MEADEN AS A QUALIFIED SURVEYOR TO DETERMINE THE BOUNDARY LINE AND ON HIS SECOND ATTEMPT HE ERECTED THE FENCE WITHOUT PLANNING PERMISSION OR MY AGREEMENT AND LEFT IT FOR 9 MONTHS BEFORE I REMOVED IT AS IT WAS ON MY LAND.</p> <p>WEBB ARCHITECTS STATE WRONGLY THAT THERE WAS A FENCE THERE AND IN RECENT TIMES HAD FALLEN INTO DISREPAIR AND WAS REMOVED WHICH IS ABSOLUTELY UNTRUE THERE WAS NEVER A FENCE HISTORICALLY OR OTHERWISE AS MY NEIGHBOUR WHO HAS SINCE SOLD TO THE MEADENS CAN STATE CATEGORICALLY.HE HAD RESIDED AT NO15 FOR OVER 20 YEARS. MY SURVEYOR HAD WORKED ON THIS PROPERTY IN 1958 AND CANNOT RECALL A FENCE BEING THERE.</p> <p>I EMPLOYED A SURVEYOR TO DETERMINE THE BOUNDARY LINE WHO DISCOVERED THE FENCE TO BE WELL AND TRULY OUT AND IN MY REMOVAL OF THE FENCE THAT THE MEADENS HAD DAMAGED MY BRICK WALL AND ON LOOKING AT THE PROPOSED FENCE LINE I CAN ONLY DETERMINE THAT THE FENCE WILL BE REINSTALLED IN MORE OR LESS AT THE SAME LINE AGAIN NOT ON NO 15'S LAND BUT ON MINE NO 14 .BECAUSE OF MY RECENT DISPUTES WITH THE MEADENS I HAVE FOUND THEM TO BE AGGRESSIVE TOWARD ME AND VERBAL DISCOURSE IS IMPOSSIBLE .I HAVE A TRANSCRIPT OF A CONVERSATION BETWEEN BOTH SURVEYORS AGREEING THAT THE BOUNDARY LINE WAS WRONG.</p> <p>AT PRESENT I AM GOING THROUGH A SMALL CLAIMS COURT RELEVANT TO THIS DISPUTE AND WOULD APPEAL TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE TO POSTPONE THEIR DECISION UNTIL AFTER THE COURT CASE RULING.</p>
