

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1230/P	Mr Michal Jan Dymek	58 Reachview Close Camden Town London NW1 0TY	18/03/2017 12:05:35	OBJ	I consider the 6 storeys building to be an excess in the canal context. It would create a wall, closing the canal and harming the wildlife and the heritage asset, which is against the Council's statements. It would affect the sunlight in the Reachview flats, there not being yet any real projection of how the building would affect this. The previous application stated least than 2 hours of direct sunlight, which is clearly unacceptable. This lack of sunlight in the building and the closed experience in the canal would probably affect the canal experience and even the health of the residents. It would affect the wildlife, losing old trees and shrubs and probably affecting the animal life due to lack of sunlight. The developer, One Housing, had the floating platforms for nesting birds removed so that waterfowl would no longer visit this area and hinder their future plans. The landscape would be hard due to the paved over courtyard. Furthermore, I have not been consulted by the developer, even when the dramatic change of the landscape, health conditions, canal experience and wildlife would made this consult mandatory. Therefore, I strongly oppose this plan and ask it to be denied.
2017/1230/P	Dr Francisco Jose Rivas Garrote	58 Reachview Close Camden Town London NW1 0TY	18/03/2017 12:03:16	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1230/P	Dee Searle	63 Willes Road Kentish Town NW5 3DN NW5 3DN	19/03/2017 16:48:16	COMMNT	<p>Dear Camden Council</p> <p>I request that you reject the revised application 2017/1230/P for Bangor Wharf, Georgiana Street, NW1 0QS on the following grounds.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) The new plans are very similar to the proposal for the site that was rejected last year. They have not addressed fundamental weaknesses with the original scheme, such as its scale and impact on the canal. Therefore there are no grounds for lifting the rejection.2) The plans are incomplete and do not provide a sound basis for granting planning permission.3) The buildings proposed are six storeys high - two storeys higher than any nearby buildings - yet hardly set back from the canal, which means they will deprive the canal of essential sunlight.4) The development would be intrusively close to the back windows of the Georgian terrace housing at 118-134 Royal College Street.5) One Housing has ignored Camden's wish to "conserve and enhance the existing character of the canal" and its intention that "designs for new buildings... should respect the character and appearance of the local area and neighbourhood".6) While Camden undeniably needs more housing, it equally needs to preserve and increase space for industrial use to create jobs for local people. The proposal would amount to a loss and missed opportunity for Camden. <p>I urge the Council to reject this revised application.</p>
