



Dear Michael Cassidy,

We oppose the development of the 100 Avenue Road Plan and please refuse the application.
Kind regards.

Dr Maz Afridi
Mrs R. Afridi
Mr. Zalan Afridi
49 Goldhurst Terrace
London NW6 3HB



Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

YOUR OPINIONS

JOIN THE DEBATE: Email letters@hamhigh.co.uk

A second chance over tower block

Janine Sachs, E. Chambers, from Save Swiss Cottage and Peter Symonds, from CRASH, write:

In breach of requirements by the Secretary of State and the Inspector under "Condition 31", Camden Council were, apparently, all set to agree to offshore property developers Essential Living's plans for a controversial tower block above Swiss Cottage Underground Station, before Essential Living's foundation plans had received London Underground Ltd's "full approval." London Underground's most recent letter to Camden offers the planning department – and the travelling public – little reassurance: it merely states that LU's engineers are "in communication" with Essential Living, with "no comment to make on the application except that the developer should continue to work with LU engineers." [20.02.2017] Concerned for the many millions who use the Jubilee Line, local groups commissioned and presented Camden's planning department with an external and independent structural engineer's report 24 hours before Camden's consultation



View from the street

Janine Sachs

period formally ended. It is abundantly clear from our report by SDStructures Ltd. (SDS) that there are many serious omissions throughout Essential Living's plans:

"The information provided in the application is in our view far short in terms of content and detail as to what is properly required in terms of discharging Condition 31 as drafted" [<http://tinycc/2016-6699-P-08/02/2014>].

In particular, SDS draws attention to how Essential Living's own report admits that the "High Hazard" potential impact from HS2 works to the 100 Avenue Road building and its foundations is yet to be resolved.

Suspensions that Camden's planning team had failed to comply with



■ Protesters outside 100 Avenue Road

Condition 31 during the consultation period of this controversial Planning Application were confirmed when the planning department immediately passed SDS's damning report to London Underground before posting it on its own website.

Remarkably, Camden have so far made no effort to produce a report of their own.

In 2014 Camden's planning committee rejected this development in support of massive objection from Camden's residents. The secretary of state overruled that decision in February 2016.

It beggars belief that Camden

“It beggars belief that Camden should abdicate its duty of care to the public like this

should abdicate its duty of care to the public like this – when the demolition process alone could cause severe damage to a major Underground line, as well as numerous public buildings and amenities.

Now that Camden has extended the consultation period to allow London Underground to comment on our report, there remains a window of opportunity

Please let Camden know that, *"In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Application no.2016/6699/P."*

■ Please email the above asap to: michael.cassidy@camden.gov.uk, with Ref. 2016/6699/P