

s

ubject: Application no. 2016/6699/P - 100 Avenue Road

Dear Michael Cassidy

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P. We urge that the Application be rejected forthwith. Yours sincerely,

Alice Lovell David Lovell (Dr)



"In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P. I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith."

Anneliese Simeloff 86 Goldhurst Terrace NW6 3HS



In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd, and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P.

I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

Kind regards,

Steven Garner



Dear Mr Cassidy,

The latest application by Essential Living to demolish 100 Avenue Road before their foundation plans are complete and without the considered approval of London Underground Ltd must be rejected.

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd and having failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can justify approval of Essential Living's latest application. There are extremely serious safety issues at stake.

Yours sincerely,

Anne Charvet 60 Compayne Gardens NW6 3RY



Dear Mr Cassidy,

I understand that Essential Living have again applied to demolish 100 Avenue Road before their foundation plans are in place and approved by London Underground.

I write, as a frequent user of Swiss Cottage Underground station, to oppose this application. I understand that once demolition gets underway, the two entrances to the station on the west side of Finchley Road will be closed. This means that tube passengers wanting access to the library, doctor's surgery in Winchester Mews, Leisure Centre, Community Centre, Farmers' Market on Wednesdays, Hampstead Theatre, Eton Avenue etc will have to emerge from the entrance to the east (or the one near the Swiss Cottage pub) and cross the Finchley Road to reach their destination. Most will dutifully wait for the traffic lights to be in their favour before crossing the road. Some will not. There is the real possibility of people being run over. All these passengers will be delayed.

So it is clearly desirable that there should be the shortest possible delay between the start of the works (i.e. demolition) and the completion of the construction of the tower block, when, I trust, the station entrances will reopen. Allowing Essential Living to demolish the current building, then sit back and await the final go-ahead for construction of the new building would simply add to the problems of those of us who regularly use the underground station.

Please therefore reject it - or encourage Essential Living to withdraw the application - as they did last time they applied.

Yours sincerely, Barbara Luke



Dear Mr Cassidy

I am writing to repeat my objection to the application by Essential Living (Swiss Cottage) Ltd to discharge condition 31 [Application no.2016/6699/P] relating to the proposed works to demolish the existing building and to the redevelopment of a 24 storey building including a basement, 184 residential units and flexible commercial and community use spaces [Application no.2014/1617/P].

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd and of Essential Living (Swiss Cottage) Ltd having failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can justify approval of the latest application by Essential Living (Swiss Cottage) Ltd.

Yours sincerely

Diarmuid O'Hegarty



Dear Sir,

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and with both Camden Council and London Underground Ltd., having so far failed to comply with the Inspector's Condition 31, approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P cannot be justified. We urge the Council to reject this Application forthwith.

Yours faithfully, Sarah Courtin and Chuck Despins

In light of the report by SD Structures LTD, & having so far failed to comply with condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground LTD, can justify approval of Essential Living's Application No 2016/6699/P, We urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

Kiran Ahuja Viren Ahuja

Sent from my iPhone



Roland Grimm 22a Hilltop Rd London NW6 2PY

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with **Condition 31,**

neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Application no. **2016/6699/P** Essential Land/Living

I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

Signed: Roland Grimm



In the light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can justify approval of this Application. I urge that Application no. 2016/6699/P - 100 Avenue Road - be rejected forthwith.

Judith Nasatyr 26 Belsize Crescent, NW3 5QT

Sent from my iPad

Dear Sir or Madam,

RE: Application No: 2016/6699/P; Development at 100 Avenue Road, Swiss Cottage.

We are once again obliged to email you to remind you that in the light of the Independent Structural Engineer's report by SDS Structures Ltd (full notice of which you have had) and by virtue of Essential Living's breach of Condition 31 should they be allowed to proceed at this stage, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can justify any approval of essential Living's above numbered Application.

Please ensure that this is rejected. Not to do so would not only be unlawful in these circumstances but in total breach of public safety in this area.

Yours faithfully

Catherine and Ralph Freeman

Dear Michael,

I am writing to object to the early demolition work at 100 Avenue Road.

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd and having failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can justify approval of Essential Living's latest application.

I live at 16, Aberdare Gardens, & use the Jubilee Line every day to commute.

Regards

Michael Spencer



Dear sir/Madam,

Camden council nor London Underground can possibly approve Essential living's latest application in light of the report by SD Structures in addition to Essential Living having having failed to comply with Condition 31. It beggars belief that Essential Living, in breach of safety requirements (Condition 31) applied by the Secretary of State, are once more attempting to demolish the existing building **before** all their foundation plans are complete, in place, and approved by London Underground Ltd - which is what Condition 31 demands of them.

I expect the council to consider the safety of its residents and as such reject the application.

Yours sincerely Siobhan Perth 33 Winchester Rd NW3 3NR