



Dear Michael,

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P.

I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

Kind Regards
Andrea Melegaro



S
subject: Application no. 2016/6699/P – 100 Avenue Road

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P. I very strongly urge that the Application be rejected forthwith. Please take our concerns into consideration.

Many thanks,

Mrs. Andrea Resek
27 NW3 4NT



Dear Sir,

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P.

I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

Kind regards,

Madhvi



Dear Sir;

In light of the report by SDS Structures we believe that you should reject Essential Living's request to demolish 100 Avenue Road. The Swiss Cottage entrance to the Jubilee line is of key importance to the thousands of working people who use it every day. Not only is demolition a hazard to its efficient operation, the whole issue of the impact of the building of HS2 has not been addressed. That is an entire chapter of impending doom and destruction in its own right.


Please reject this application until a full and comprehensive and careful analysis of the impact of the demolition has been carried out.

Thank you,

Bonnie Alter
61 Eton Avenue,
London NW33ET



Following the article in this week's Ham High and in the light of the report by SD Structures Ltd, having so far failed to comply to Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can justify approval of Essential Living's Application No 2016/6699/P which I urge the Council to reject forthwith. Jacqueline Richardson




Dear Mr Cassidy

To be frank it beggars belief that it can even be contemplated to start demolition near Swiss Cottage station without care of process! I have been against this development from the beginning as it is SO out of proportion. However, the decision by Essential Living to attempt to start work now is ludicrous and unsafe. As a Camden council payer I instruct you to refuse permission. I am also upset that if it turns out that Camden has been negligent, it will be my taxes which pay for Camden's defence.

"In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P. I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith."

Yours sincerely

Alison Holmes



There is serious concern locally that the safety risks involved in the demolition of this building have not been fully investigated. In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P.

I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

Muriel Hall
5 Glenmore Road
NW3 4BY



Dear Mr Cassidy

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P. I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

yours sincerely

Richard Weston
Flat 3 Falmer House
35 Belsize Park
London NW3 4DY



It is apparent that Essential Living and London Underground have not reached a complete and detailed agreement about the construction of foundations at 100 Avenue Road. Moreover in the light of the HS2 Act and the location of the hazard zone for the HS2 tunnel it is important that there should be full, complete, and detailed agreement between HS2 and Essential Living about the design and construction of the foundations. It is clear that the Secretary of State's condition 31 has not been fulfilled. Neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd are in a position to approve of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P. The Application must be rejected forthwith.
Yours faithfully

Anne Stevens
Honorary Membership Secretary
Belsize Residents' Association





Dear Mr Cassidy

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd, and having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no.2016/6699/P. I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

Yours sincerely
Helena Djurkovic

19 Adamson Road, London NW3 3HU




Dear Mr Cassidy

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd, and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no: 2016/6699/P.

I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

yours sincerely

Ms C Hubbard
Local Resident



In light of the report by S D Structures Ltd, and having failed to comply with condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can, with any justice, approve of Essential Living's application 2016/6699/P.

I strenuously urge that the application be rejected forthwith.

Best wishes

Jane Johnson
27 Adamson Rd
NW3 3HT



Dear Michael Cassidy,

Considering the report by SDStructures Ltd having to date failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd can possibly justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P.

I therefore urge that the Application be rejected totally. Camden objected to this entire scheme originally.

Best regards,

David Percy

1 Belsize Avenue NW3