					Printed on: 17/03/2017 09:0
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/6782/P	Claudio Morrison	27 Kendal Court Shoot up Hill NW2 3PD	15/03/2017 11:39:51	OBJ	 I object to the proposal as board director of Kendal Court Residents Association and as owner of flat 27 in Kendal Court, the adjacent property. The proposed parking arrangements are not acceptable for the following 6 reasons. I. Due to the fall of the land from Warwick Lodge (WL) towards Kendal Court(KC) the ground level of the new proposed parking lots between them will have to be built up by ~1.5m adjacent to the KC boundary wall such that the wheels of the parked cars will be ~4 m above the KC ground level. This will impinge unacceptably on the privacy of the occupiers of the 2 adjacent ground and first floor Kendal Court flats as the eye level of the car drivers on leaving or entering their cars will be some 6m above the ground level on the KC side. The cars will be parked only 4m from these flats adding to noise and pollution levels associated with cars. 2. Creation of these 5 parking places will require the removal of bushes and shrubs and the reduction or removal of two trees which will further reduce the privacy the Kendal Court flats. The removal of greenery and replacing with hard-standing is surely a retrograde move in the eyes of the public as well as the occupiers of the KC flats. 3. A new wall on Warwick Lodge land will be required to retain the infill as the existing boundary wall owned by Kendal Court is not high and probably not strong enough to carry the infill and weight of the cars parked above it. The new wall must also be strong enough to carry the infill and weight of the cars parked above it. The new wall must also be card, driver and property 4. A similar objection to that above applies to the echelon parking proposed in front of Warwick Lodge. In that case the risk to be considered is to the public on the pavement some 2-2.5m below the parked cars. The visual degradation that would result from the construction of the required ~3m high retaining wall adjacent to the pavement is to be deplored. 5. The proposal to enter the car park