Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 06/03/2017 09:05:07 Response:
2017/0487/P	Dr L. K. O'Connor	118 Bedford Court Mansions Bedford Ave London WC1B 3AG	03/03/2017 22:31:31	OBJEMAIL	-
					I have lived here since 1977. Over the last forty years various pumps, extractors and units have been placed on this site without planning permission, resulting in noise and air pollution that have become intolerable to local residents. Sometimes retrospective applications have been made, but usually the installations go up in small installments, without planning permission being sought or given.
					Although planning has been sought in this case, it has not been well thought out and impacts negatively on the many people who live adjacent to the site.
					The application states that noise levels will be acceptable, but we dispute this.
					If you would take readings from Bedford Court Mansions, you would find much higher levels than those put forward in the application, to a level that is unacceptable.
					The number of condensors it is proposed to install is not clear - you cannot make a decision based on such imprecise information.
					Installations like the one proposed are very noisy and are usually sited on the tops of buildings, it is not acceptable that these units should be placed at a low level, causing maximum noise and air pollution and loss of amenity to local residents.
					This proposal has been drawn up without any regard to the local residents who will be direct affected and the council should reject it
2017/0487/P	David Judd	104a Bedford Court Mansions Bedford Avenue WC1B 3AG	03/03/2017 10:18:27	OBJ	I am writing to object to the above application. I don't see any reference to the noise currently being made from existing equipment and therefore it is not clear if the proposed new equipment is louder or not, irrespective of the readings taken and also the council regulations. Cumulative noise has also not been taken into consideration. Any new equipment should be quieter than existing or no louder.
					I also mention that the residential buildings opposite have single pain windows, the recently demolished and rebuilt building (new building not shown on the plan) has double glazed windows, thus more able to deal with any increase in noise.
					I note that the noise survey was taken when there was major building works going on directly opposite the survey site, therefore readings could be misleading. For this reason alone it must be denied.
					My objection is also the hours of use. I do not know what the current hours are, however 23hours is too late especially considering residences opposite. Offices are empty at night, residences are full, and more consideration needs to be made concerning this