

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1159/L	Samantha Wright	14 the mount square london nw36sx	02/03/2017 22:43:40	COMNOT	<p>I WOULD LIKE TO OPPOSE THIS APPLICATION ,FIRSTLY BECAUSE LIVING IN A CONSERVATION AREA AND IN A LISTED BUILDING TO DIVIDE A SMALL PLOT SHARED BY 14 AND 15 WOULD MAKE THIS LOOK RIDICULOUSLY SMALLER AND NOT IN KEEPING WITH ITS ORIGINAL FORM.SECONDLY IN PUTTING THIS HIGH STRUCTURE IT WOULD DIMINISH ANY LIGHT ENTERING MY GARDEN.I NEED TO GET MORE VITAMIN D AND SUNLIGHT IS IMPORTANT FOR MY HEALTH AND WELL BEING WHICH WILL BE VERIFIED BY MY DOCTOR.I LIVED ALONGSIDE MY PREVIOUS NEIGHBOUR WITHOUT THE NEED FOR A SEPERATION.HOWEVER MR MEADEN AND HIS WIFE HAVE BEEN TOTALLY OBJECTIONABLE TO ME WITHOUT JUSTIFICATION.THEY CONSTRUCTED THIS FENCE ORIGINALLY ON MY LAND INITIALLY IN JULY WITHOUT CONSENT FROM CAMDEN AND WITHOUT TALKING TO ME OF HIS INTENTION.MY OBJECTIONS WERE MET BY INSULTING WORDS FROM HIS WIFE AS TO MY APTITUDE AS TO WHETHER HE TOOK MY LAND OR NOT.SHE TOLD ME THAT HE WAS MORE QUALIFIED IN DEEMING THE CORRECT LINING OF THIS FENCE AS HE IS A QUALIFIED SURVEYOR.I KNEW THIS TO BE INCORRECT AND I WAS FORCED INTO THE EXPENSE OF EMPLOYING AN INDEPENDENT SURVEYOR WHO DEEMED MR MEADEN HAD INCORRECTLY TAKEN A SUBSTANTIAL AMMOUNT OF MY LAND.HE WAS ASKED BY MY SURVEYOR TO CORRECT THE MISTAKE BY REMOVING THE OBSTRUCTION WHICH HE REFUSED OVER A PERIOD OF 9 MONTHS.I HAD THE ADDITIONAL EXPENSE OF EMPLOYING MY BUILDERS TO REMOVE THE FENCE FROM MY LAND AND REPLACE IT ON HIS LAND.I HAVE TRIED TO BE NEIGHBOURLY TO A NEW MEMBER OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD AND I HAVE LETTERS WRITTEN TO THE MEADENS IN TRYING TO RESOLVE ANY PROBLEMS.I ALSO HAVE PICTURE EVIDENCE OF HOW THE GARDEN WAS AND THE DAMAGE TO LISTED BRICKWORK ON MY LAND.AT THE MOMENT I AM IN A COURT PROCEDURE AGAINST THE MEADENS REGARDING THIS PROCEDURE.</p>

Total: 34