A	C	Committee Address	Danisa da	C	Printed on: 08/03/2017 09:05:07
Application No: 2016/6782/P	Consultees Name: Sarah Brown	Consultees Addr: 12 Kendal Court	Received: 07/03/2017 11:40:11	Comment: COMMEM AIL	Response: I OBJECT OWING TO THE FOLLOWING REASONS; Loss of light and privacy of neighbours. Owing to the proximity and height of the new car park relative to the windows of flats 17 and 19. Impact of safety. Without a significant new retaining wall or crash barrier or both, there is a serious and significant risk of damage to people and property if a car were to go over the edge of the 10ft drop as a result of driver misjudgement. The entry point for vehicles to the enlarged car park is very close to the traffic lights at the end of Mill Lane such that vehicles turning into the car park will have to slow before entry and vehicles close behind a car entering the car park may have to break unexpectedly increasing the risk of nose to tail collision at the Mill Lane Shoot up Hill junction.
2016/6782/P	anastazija skender	FLAT 36 WARWICK LODGE SHOOT UP HILL NW2 3PE NW2 3PE	07/03/2017 17:29:54	COMMNT	Moving rubbish area to the front of the back entrance would affect us in the worst possible way. Our flat -36 is on the back entrance, on the ground floor-so the new proposed rubbish area will be opposite of our leaving room and the kitchen. It will be very noisy and also any one from the street can drop of rubbish in that area. The most concerns we do have that this would have a bad impact on the price of our property. We did not buy the property next to the rubbish area and we are not happy about it. This particular matter has to be rejected. Also this means that they will cut a lots of greenery and it is not the best idea, as potential buyers a looking also for the nice green space to live. We appreciate that some works will be done. We are not sure with regards the new built house next to the garages. Another question is -how this works would affect our service charges? Is any gates will be built? If yes- which way? New flats-how they would affect the original looking of the building?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/6782/P	Stewart abel	28 kendal court Shoot up hill London NW2 3pd	07/03/2017 03:09:20	INT	I object to the loss of streetscape, amenity and safety indicated by the planned traffic scheme facing shoot up hill.
					The drawings show no levels for the site, or an elevation from the pavement to shoot up hill, yet the building access road level is approx 1 story above pavement level at the north end, slightly less at the south end.
					Currently there is a low wall at the pavement and a privet hedge behind, with a grass bank sloping up to the building access road.
					If the eschelon parking shown was built to be level with the access road, this would need a massive retaining wall to the pavement with crash barriers on top to stop cars falling down on top of pedestrians.
					What on earth would this look like, also looking up at the underside of cars! A massive blot on the streetscape, so please don't approve!
					On the change in direction of traffic on the site and the entry point moved to near the main road, this could also cause traffic and pedestrian chaos as any vehicles indicating a left turn while crossing from mapesbury road would be assumed to be going down shoot up hill. If they then carried on over, then turned immediately, would their automatic indicator light still be working or had it already cut off after the first turn, as on most cars?
					Confusion for pedestrians and a pile up possibly for cars at an already difficult intersection!
					This should be rejected!

Printed on: 08/03/2017

09:05:07

					Printed on: 08/03/2017 09:05:07
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/6782/P	Nicoleta Antemie	41 Warwick Lodge nw23pe nw23pe	07/03/2017 19:42:19	COMNOT	While I do not generally disagree with the plans, I believe they would result in significant green space loss, which will be a shame. There are also a few points that need clarifying before any planning permission is granted.
					1. Should improvement works be required for the 3 residential units (after completion), will residents (owning here previous to this development project) be required to participate in costs? I am not happy to share in costs for maintenance for any future residential units being developed on the grounds of Warwick Lodge.
					2. Who will pay for the parking works? Is it the residents or the residential developer? If there are charges to the residents then cheaper, more cost effective options should be considered first, such as better management of existing parking spaces.
					3. How long would the construction works take and what are the implications on access to the building both on foot and by car during this period?
					4. Where are residents parking their cars for the duration of the works? Will there be parking provision or are residents expected to pay for a parking permit with the council? One of the reasons we bought this flat was that parking is available.
					5. No specification has been made on how the new parking will be managed. The current parking is not managed at all which means it is congested with non-resident cars and some residents parking multiple cars. How do we know that these problems would be avoided with the new parking spaces. I believe the issue is not the number of spaces but how this is managed.
					Thank you for considering the above.
					Nicoleta Antemie Flat 41 Warwick Lodge

A 10 40 NT	G k N		D	C .	Printed on: 08/03/2017 09:05:07
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/6782/P	P Crowther	48 Warwick Lodge	06/03/2017 11:15:43	SUPC	I generally support this application which will contribute much needed housing to the area. The utilization of redundant floorspace to create both two 1 person flats, and a family sized dwelling is appropriate given the existing mix of units and the location. I strongly support the proposed glazing to the rear elevations to create a new secure entryway, and the rationalising of car parking which will help improve the sites safety.
					It is unclear from the Plans where replacement bin storage will go, I assume this will be re-provided as part of the proposed flat on the site of the existing storage area. If not, adequate re-provision must be included.
					There also may be issues with regards to the proposed changes to parking on the northern part of the site adjacent to Kendal Court, given the change in levels between sites. Further details may need to be provided to ensure what is proposed can actually be delivered.
					Subject to the above, I would support the granting of this application.