

Diver, John

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 March 2017 12:53
To: Diver, John
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Planning Application 2016/7122/P - roof extension at offices, 89-91 Bayham Street

Dear John,
Thank you for your letter. We apologise for the delay in responding.

We understand your point about situation b) not being applicable at present.

In conclusion:

We do not object to the terrace provided its use is during normal working hours on weekdays only.

This would be reasonable and would protect the amenity of local residents from day 1 of its use by office staff and anyone else in future.

It would be a clear state of affairs now and if ever the property changes hands or to residential use. Implementing usage conditions today would save local residents, terrace users and the Council days of doubt and wrangling and legal and enforcement action in future.

As noted above if 'use of terrace conditions' cannot be applied now we object to the creation of the roof terrace.

Yours sincerely

Gordon Macqueen and Margaret Richardson

Margaret Richardson & Gordon Macqueen, Co-Chairs of Camden Town CAAC

On 27 February 2017 at 11:23 [REDACTED]

Dear Margaret & Gordon,

Thank you for email and comments regarding this application.

I share your concerns regarding the potential impact upon residents by way of noise and disturbance but was of the view that (as you suggest) if the hours of use for the proposed terrace were conditioned then this would overcome this issue. Unfortunately I would not be able to apply your suggested condition (b) as it is not directly related to this proposed development and would therefore fail the test for conditions set out in the NPPF. I would however like to note that if a conversion application for the site were to ever be submitted, the use of the terrace would be fully assessed/conditioned/possibly fully restricted at this point.

As I would be unable to secure your suggested condition (b), I just wished to ask if you still wanted to object on this basis?

Kind regards,

John Diver
Planning Officer
Development Management
Supporting Communities
London Borough of Camden

Web: camden.gov.uk

2nd Floor
5 Pancras Square
London N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Gordon Macqueen [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 February 2017 12:16
To: Diver, John
Subject: Planning Application 2016/7122/P - roof extension at offices, 89-91 Bayham Street

Dear John,

We are writing on behalf of the Camden Town CAAC.

We object to this application if the roof terrace can be used for parties or other noisy activities out of office hours. We object because it would disturb the amenity of residential dwellings on Bayham Street and Camden High Street. Both these streets are busy and noisy on the street side all day until at least midnight, therefore the rear space between the buildings has a special need for peace and quiet.

We would not object if permission is granted subject to:

- a: the terrace being used only in office hours and on weekdays.
- b: the granted permission becoming null/void automatically if 89-91's office use is changed to residential

Yours sincerely,

Margaret Richardson & Gordon Macqueen, Co- Chairs of the Camden Town CAAC,

31 Oval Road,

London NW1 7EA

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.