Application No: Consultees Name: Consultees Addr: Received: Comment: Response:	Printed on:	27/02/2017	09:05:07
2016/6994/P Mr and Mrs Bull 4 Inverforth Close NW3 7EX 84 Planning application 2016/6994/P (2 Inverforth Close, I condon N Dear Mr. Diver, We are owners and occupiers of no.3 Inverforth Close and owners of We would like to make some comments regarding the planning applial retrations to the adjacent property at no.2, including creation of root storey rear extension, etc. Firstly, we would like to express our satisfaction with the general in the owners of no.2 in the scope of statisfying their needs to enlarge the of no.4 and no.3, we must file to express our satisfaction with the general in the owners of no.2 in the scope of statisfying their needs to enlarge the of no.4 and no.3, we must be expressed to enlarge the of no.4 and no.3, we must be expressed to enlarge the of no.4 and no.3, we must be expressed to enlarge the of no.4 and no.3, we will alk the oxpressed statisfying their needs to enlarge the of no.4 and no.3, we will alk the oxpresses our satisfaction with the general in the owners of no.2 in the scope of statisfying their needs to enlarge the of no.4 and no.3, we will alk the oxpresses our satisfaction with the general in the owners of no.2 in the scope of statisfying their needs to enlarge the of no.4 and no.3, we will alk the oxpresses our satisfaction with the general in the owners of no.2 in the scope points included in the cameral to express our satisfaction with the general interest of no.4 and no.3, we will alk the point at 25 Parkew development standards and the cameral to express our satisfaction of overceives will be looking of light to adjoining properties." as well as the point 4.25 Parkew development and to neighbouring properties both in terms of bulk and design loss of light due to adjoining properties both in terms of bulk and design loss of light due to adjoining properties both in terms of bulk and design loss of light due to adjoining properties both in terms of bulk and design loss of light due to deditional height." - Regarding no.4 Inverted the Close Firstly, we are concerne	f no.4 Inverforth cation submitted featensions & pention of the solution of the solution of the solution of the property. However to undermine anning Guidance of the point 4 Reld maximise subject neighbors and security and security of following generates and amenity of the point 5 Roof following generates and amenity of the poin	d for the proposed art single, part two meme proposed by owever, as owners our property's e (CPG). At CPG sidential hight and daylight overshadowing or crity, which says: abours to e.g. The considerable side of our ge patio doors to ere are two ers in length and or as into the master of the rand we would the goes against our the first floor of FP118_PL021,	2

					Printed on:	27/02/2017	09:05:07
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
					Please see attached the mentioned proposed elevation with the addition of our property and the indication of the possible overview. As no sunlight/daylight report has been found within the submitted documents, we consider that thi issue has not been assessed. We would like to kindly request that our comments are taken into account prior to the assessment o this planning application.		

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 27/02/2017 09:05:07 Response:		
2016/6994/P	Mr and Mrs Bull	3 Inverforth Close NW3 7EX	24/02/2017 12:07:02	OBJEMPER	21st February 2017		
					Re: Planning application 2016/6994/P (2 Inverforth Close, London NW3 7EX)		
					Dear Mr. Diver,		
					We are owners and occupiers of no.3 Inverforth Close. We would like to make some comments regarding the planning application submitted for the proposed alterations to the adjacent property at no.2, including erection of roof extensions & part single, part two storey rear extension; etc.		
					Firstly, we would like to express our satisfaction with the general intention of the scheme proposed by the owners of no.2 in the scope of satisfying their needs to enlarge their property. However, as owners of no.4 and no.3, we would like to raise some points which we believe to undermine our property's privacy and natural lighting.		
					We would like to point out several points included in the Camden Planning Guidance (CPG). At CPG 2 Housing, at its point 4.20 Daylight, sun light and privacy, included in the point 4 Residential development standards, which says: "Residential developments should maximise sunlight and daylight, both within the new development and to neighbouring properties whilst minimising overshadowing or blocking of light to adjoining properties.", as well as the point 4.25 Privacy and security, which says: "New development, extensions, alterations and conversions should not subject neighbours to unacceptable noise disturbance, overlooking or loss of security".		

At CPG 1 Design, at its point 5.20 Other roof additions, included in the point 5 Roofs, terraces and balconies we can read: "... proposals should still have regard for the following general principles:

- The visual prominence, scale and bulk of the extension;
- Use of high quality materials and details;
- Impact on adjoining properties both in terms of bulk and design and amenity of neighbours, e.g. loss of light due to additional height."

As you are already aware, we have submitted an application where we are proposing in part a south facing patio area on our roof level (situated on the roof of the existing garages). This little open patio area will be concealed in the sloping roof and it will not overlook other properties as we have added a screen up to 1.80 meters high south facing, as per your request.

The proposed northernmost dormer would directly overlook to this roof patio, the only open private space our property would have as our existing house does not have any kind of garden. This makes this roof patio especially important for us and it is an essential request. Please see attached the proposed courtyard south elevation of no.2's proposal with our proposed balcony incorporated in the drawing and the combined roof plan.

We are setting our retirement house as a peaceful and tranquil space in this beautiful area, and this roof patio would allow us to enjoy the sunlight directly from our quarters. We consider that it would be

					Printed on: 27/02/2017 09:05:07
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					difficult for an elderly couple to produce noise at the proposed patio area and cause any disturbance to adjoining properties which benefit of a much larger amenity areas with younger people's activities. In addition, this proposed dormer windows would not only mean a loss in our proposed first floor privacy but it would also be a loss in our security. In addition the proposed dormer windows would overlook through our existing skylights, compromising our privacy further, as well as the security of our property. In addition the northernmost proposed dormer with a glazed window of 2.00 meters in length and of 1.20 in height would be overlooking directly into our proposed roof patio depriving us of the privacy and light. The additional volume created by the proposed roof and additional side dormers would restrict the best part of the morning sunlight to our proposed patio area and the skylights of the lounge. We believe that the proposed scheme is overlooking into our property and overshadowing the source of direct sunlight and we would comment that small conservation skylights could replace the proposed dormers of No 2 Inverforth Close.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 27/02/2017 09:05:07 Response:
Application No: 2016/6994/P	Consultees Name: Mr. and Mrs. Bull	Consultees Addr: 3 Inverforth Close NW3 7EX	Received: 24/02/2017 12:16:07		Response: 21st February 2017 Re: Planning application 2016/6994/P (2 Inverforth Close, London NW3 7EX) Dear Mr. Diver, We are owners and occupiers of no.3 Inverforth Close and owners of no.4 Inverforth Close. We would like to make some comments regarding the planning application submitted for the proposed alterations to the adjacent property at no.2, including erection of roof extensions & part single, part two storey rear extension; etc. Firstly, we would like to express our satisfaction with the general intention of the scheme proposed by the owners of no.2 in the scope of satisfying their needs to enlarge their property. However, as owners of no.4 and no.3, we would like to raise some points which we believe to undermine our property's privacy and natural lighting. We would like to point out several points included in the Camden Planning Guidance (CPG). At CPG 2 Housing, at its point 4.20 Daylight, sun light and privacy, included in the point 4 Residential development standards, which says: "Residential developments should maximise sunlight and daylight, both within the new development and to neighbouring properties whilst minimising overshadowing or blocking of light to adjoining properties.", as well as the point 4.25 Privacy and security, which says: "New development, extensions, alterations and conversions should not subject neighbours to unacceptable noise disturbance, overlooking or loss of security". At CPG 1 Design, at its point 5.20 Other roof additions, included in the point 5 Roofs, terraces and balconies we can read: " proposals should still have regard for the following general principles: The visual prominence, scale and bulk of the extension; Use of high quality materials and details; Impact on adjoining properties both in terms of bulk and design and amenity of neighbours, e.g. loss of light due to additional height."
			- Regarding no.3 Inverforth Close As you are already aware, we have submitted an application where we are proposing in part a south facing patio area on our roof level (situated on the roof of the existing garages). This little open patio area will be concealed in the sloping roof and it will not overlook other properties as we have added a screen up to 1.80 meters high south facing, as per your request. The proposed northernmost dormer would directly overlook to this roof patio, the only open private space our property would have as our existing house does not have any kind of garden. This makes this roof patio especially important for us and it is an essential request. Please see attached the proposed courtyard south elevation of no.2's proposal with our proposed balcony incorporated in the drawing and the combined roof plan. We are setting our retirement house as a peaceful and tranquil space in this beautiful area, and this roof patio would allow us to enjoy the sunlight directly from our quarters. We consider that it would be difficult for an elderly couple to produce noise at the proposed patio area and cause any disturbance to adjoining properties which benefit of a much larger amenity areas with younger people's activities. In addition, this proposed dormer windows would not only mean a loss in our proposed first floor		

privacy but it would also be a loss in our security. In addition the proposed dormer windows would overlook through our existing skylights, compromising our privacy further, as well as the security of

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: Response:	27/02/2017	09:05:07
					our property. In addition the northernmost proposed dormer with a glazed window of 2.00 meters 1.20 in height would be overlooking directly into our proposed roof patio depriving and light. The additional volume created by the proposed roof and additional side dormers we part of the morning sunlight to our proposed patio area and the skylights of the loun We believe that the proposed scheme is overlooking into our property and overshad direct sunlight and we would comment that small conservation skylights could replatedormers of No 2 Inverforth Close.	us of the privacy uld restrict the bege. owing the source	st