

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Tomas Vaclavek	64 Granger avenue Leagrave Luton LU49AS	17/02/2017 15:27:40	COMMNT	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to put on the site. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to loose even one unit from what they need to provide. This in itself should be a totally final argument. - Very few people attended the public consultation in the summer: the owners are saying they only got feedback for a little more than a dozen people: that is NOT enough to think the neighbourhood is in favour of development as the session was very brief and poorly advertised, therefore not sufficiently attended. Any comment in favour of the development should be scrapped and numbers of comments arriving to Camden should be counted, instead. - the proposed development include a deep car park: Camden does not need more space for cars and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be allowed on the premises! - It is disgraceful that the listed workhouse will be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only house for the poor, will become the uninhabited home of the very rich. This should not be allowed - It is also wrong that this building, which has been public since its construction, is now moving into the private realm. As we all know, the public sphere in Britain is loosing ground every minute , with libraries and NHS facilities closing down constantly. This building should remain within the public sphere, instead than going through the usual privatisation. - There is no plan for a dedicated historical excavation in the documents: surely a historical building like this one warrants one? Permission should not be given until not only promises but commitment for a full historical evaluation (with no expenses spared) is given - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of hundreds of poor souls who have had in the workhouse ground their permanent resting place for centuries! This should never be allowed, especially as it would be a final disrespect act towards those paupers whom, as a society, we have already wronged so much. - the proposed 8 floor development is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building and such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listing building should be preserved in its environment and not overshadowed by a tower which is more than twice its height! - the rest of the development is equally not sensitive to the nature of the listed portion of the building (balconies? and it is just one example), and therefore should not be allowed. Any development surrounding a listed building should be sympathetic to it, by law. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had wings attached at the rear even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear like the proposal suggests is effectively a historical false which cannot be allowed. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time this stops! - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the dead: the deep basement will effectively replace the graveyard. This is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed, as it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens and times - The development of the workhouse building proposes to replace the windows: some of the glass is

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>definitely antique and some of it could even be original. As one of the very few large scale Georgian properties of this type, the glass and windows should be restored to their original state and definitely not replaced.</p> <p>- in case the workhouse was to be renovated, the original porch should be reinstated. There are photographs of it in existence: again, deleting the porch entirely would again create a historical false which goes against the nature of this property as a listed building.</p> <p>- Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, a group of people which Camden council is famous for proving help and support for. Allowing the proposed development means to negate the values which Camden council has always stood for and to which it owns its election.</p> <p>The inclusion of portions of the north and south house and front gate in the proposed development is welcome, although by far not enough to be satisfactory.</p>
2017/0415/L	Julia Stapleton	The Old Saddlers Mere Mere castle Street Ba12 6JF Ba12 6JF	18/02/2017 17:32:53	COMMNT	<p>This is an important and unique site.</p> <p>I object to greed being more important than respect for the dead and our histories.</p>
2017/0415/L	Bea Moyes	243 Bethnal Green Road E2 6AB E2 6AB	16/02/2017 14:53:52	OBJ	<p>I would like to comment on these abhorrent plans to destroy this important listed building. A building which has such a significant history as a hospital and workhouse, with a documented history back to the 1770s referenced in Charles Dicken's famous novel 'Oliver Twist'. These plans show so little attention to conserving the history of this important building and site, which could be considered much more sensitively in a development plan which retains the key elements of the building, and continues to give visibility to it's history. I would like to register my criticism of the plans themselves, and apply to the committee to please reject the application.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Derellanne Knowles	2 Foley Street W1W 6DL	15/02/2017 17:32:43	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for providing help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	Julia Stapleton	The Old Saddlers Mere Mere castle Street Ba12 6JF Ba12 6JF	18/02/2017 17:33:09	COMMNT	<p>This is an important and unique site. I object to greed being more important than respect for the dead and our histories.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Mick Brooks	44 Cleveland Street Fitzrovia W1T 4JT W1T 4JT	18/02/2017 09:46:58	OBJ	<p>Hello,</p> <p>Although this application is more appropriate than application 2017/0414/P, I still have a number of concerns.</p> <p>If in terms of the demolishing buildings "to the rear of the workhouse building" you are referring to the two "Nightingale wings", I think that this should not go ahead as these particular features are one of only two still extant examples of this type of construction in the whole country.</p> <p>Moreover, one must take into account the fact that the grounds of the building contain a substantial number bodies of former residents of the workhouse. The fact that the last resting place of these unfortunate people is in immediate environs of the proposed development suggests that on moral, if not legal, grounds, a full exploratory examination of this area, and a dignified disinterment of all human remains, along with arrangements for reburial at a suitable site should be arranged before any major work is even contemplated.</p> <p>In addition, I am also concerned about the lack of affordable housing that this development will include. Surely this should be a priority in terms of the distinct lack of this commodity in the area. We already have enough empty "investment" properties around here!</p> <p>In short, I think that this whole project needs to be rethought and replaced by more suitable proposals.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and attention re:these matters.</p> <p>Mick Brooks</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Derek Adams	3 Tottenham Street	20/02/2017 12:21:33	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Natalie	3 Tottenham Street Fitzrovia W1T 2AF	20/02/2017 12:14:22	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	Evelin Haljas	3 Tottenham street W1T 2AF	19/02/2017 19:16:35	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Skene Fletcher	74 Hampstead Road Nw1 2nt	19/02/2017 18:52:58	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	James Robertson	16 Ferring Close Harrow Middlesex HA2 0AR	19/02/2017 18:37:14	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Dan	2 Quay View	20/02/2017 19:12:42	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	Margaret Robertson	16 Ferring close Harrow Middlesex HA2 0AR	19/02/2017 18:31:54	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	jenny jokela	14 fletching road london	19/02/2017 21:27:23	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Amy	8 MacKenzie House	20/02/2017 07:48:33	COMMNT	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!

2017/0415/L	Amy	8 MacKenzie House	20/02/2017 07:48:14	COMMNT
-------------	-----	-------------------	---------------------	--------

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Dan Worthy	24 Raymond Road WestEnd Leicester	19/02/2017 15:28:46	OBJNOT	<p>This historic building should be listed rather than altered in any way, shape or form. Shame on you Camden Council if you allow this building to be lost forever. It should be utilised as a London landmark. Just see what The National Trust have done with Southwall Workhouse in Nottinghamshire.</p> <p>https://www.nationaltrust.org.uk/the-workhouse-southwell</p> <p>Please make your decision wisely Camden. I would sorely love to attend your meeting, but am not based in London and am unable to finance a trip currently. I am passionate about history and in particular social history, which this building is an absolutely shining example of. This diamond needs polishing and not shattering as these plans will if allowed.</p>
2017/0415/L	R. Hussey, sec Bristol & Clifton Dickens Society	47 Rownham Mead Bristol BS8 4YB	20/02/2017 09:56:50	COMMNT	I think the plan should be refused as this important historic site should be subject to extensive architectural , archeological and historical investigation due to its importance to the history of London and UK, before any plan for development is passed.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	emily frances scaife	31 Tavistock Square london WC1H 9EZ WC1H 9EZ	19/02/2017 21:20:25	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	Angela Wedgwood	10 Milner Place	20/02/2017 21:18:05	OBJEMAIL	<p>This historic building should be preserved. We do not need any more expensive housing in the neighbourhood, perhaps more affordable housing would be useful. The historic environment can still be used in the 21st century with sympathetic planning this proposal lacks community and social benefits. A grave yard of the paupers who lived in the work house must not be destroyed. The proposed building behind the work house is out of keeping and too dominating for the surrounding area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Angela Wedgwood	10 Milner Place	20/02/2017 21:18:01	OBJEMAIL	This historic building should be preserved. We do not need any more expensive housing in the neighbourhood, perhaps more affordable housing would be useful. The historic environment can still be used in the 21st century with sympathetic planning this proposal lacks community and social benefits. A grave yard of the paupers who lived in the work house must not be destroyed. The proposed building behind the work house is out of keeping and too dominating for the surrounding area.
2017/0415/L	Elisabeth Haljas	3 Tottenham street W1T 2AF	19/02/2017 19:07:54	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	chris bennie	one america street SE1 0NE	20/02/2017 13:59:40	INT	i have tried repeatedly today to view the planning submission documents via your planning portal but access to these documents is not possible due to a system fault at your end. please investigate and make access to these documents possible and consider whether you need to extend the period within which comments can be made as access to the application has been denied.
2017/0415/L	Alan Leaman	Princess Royal Hospital Telford TF1 6TF	19/02/2017 21:50:01	COMMNT	Please do not destroy this fascinating part of the history of Fitzrovia and the Middlesex Hospital - as a student I saw patients in the clinics here and it deserves to be managed much more sensitively than this

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	nadia thornhill	69 hawthorne road bristol	19/02/2017 21:09:36	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	oya bullock	6a alison road london	19/02/2017 21:18:24	OBJ	
2017/0415/L	Julia Hornak	105 southmoor road oxford OX2 6RE	19/02/2017 21:15:30	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Natalie Reilly	6 Sunningwell road oxford OX1 4SX OX1 4SX	19/02/2017 21:14:23	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Liisu Roger	1 Foley Street W1W 6DL	19/02/2017 23:40:14	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Daniel	3 Tottenham Street	20/02/2017 12:13:00	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	jessica scaife	134 cricket road oxford	19/02/2017 21:10:56	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Kelly Smith	4a Erskine street AB24 3NQ	19/02/2017 23:36:52	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	DAMIEN PALIERNE	early mews	18/02/2017 18:41:03	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Dr Samantha Shave	94 Stapley Road Hove BN3 7FF	20/02/2017 12:00:05	OBJ	<p>Dear Camden Council</p> <p>The plans to turn this former workhouse and hospital into flats is outrageous. As a historian of workhouses, I understand there is immense value in preserving buildings of our past in order to remind us of why and how we live in the present. This building should be open to the public, as a museum or as a public space, preserving the rare and therefore valuable spaces where the poor were incarcerated, treated and lived many days through apparent state care. We need to keep this building in a preserved state in order to tell histories of our past to both present and future generations about how those in need were looked after at times, but also stigmatised, maltreated and sometimes neglected. The architectural history of Britain is not just all about history of country houses, museums, gardens and libraries - it is about the gritty, and hard everyday lives which the majority of people lived in the metropolis during rapid urbanisation and hardship. It is about a time when the majority of people lived on very little, and when the welfare safety net was very thin and full of hatred. By converting this building into flats you are wiping away a huge amount of history, from which progressive education should spring. The National Trust has a country workhouse on its books (Southwell in Nottinghamshire), and now it is time for an urban workhouse to be accessible to the general public too. This should be the model here, and enquiries should be made as to whether a body such as The National Trust would support it. or why can't Camden save this vital part of our history? Demolishing the internal and rare wards inside of this building is a threat to British medical, social, political and cultural history. Please do the public justice, as well as our future generations and those whose bodies lie in the ground here some justice, and save this building from development. I would like this: Preservation - not conversion and demolition. Preservation - not ripping up the graves of the dead whose lives we should and must remember.</p> <p>Dr Samantha Shave University of Southampton</p>
2017/0415/L	Maggie Gormley	23 Ruffin House Roseberry Place E8 3GB E8 3GB	20/02/2017 23:04:02	APP	<p>This is a complete disregard of history and the fact that this is a listed building makes these plans astonishing. How can we need another car park when the mayor has organised such good public transport that the use of the car is unnecessary? A car park only encourages more cars into central London. Pollution is at terrifying levels, so surely another reason to not encourage cars? This building has been a sore in this area for years. How sad that when it is eventually to be changed that the graveyard within is to be utterly disregarded.</p>
2017/0415/L	brian lake	46 Great Russell Street	20/02/2017 11:52:54	OBJ	<p>I would like to object to this application. Instead of using this historic building as it, and refurbishing it, the applicant wishes to obliterate any historic elements in favour of modern flats within an historic shell.</p> <p>There is simply no point in allowing this, except to making money for the developer.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	David Ralphs	18 Church Street Wymondham Norfolk NR18 0PH	19/02/2017 09:14:53	JUST	<p>I object to the present proposals for the development of the "Workhouse" site in Cleveland Street on two grounds.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It is not clear that the historic importance of the building has been adequately considered. Not only is the building itself listed but it is an important element in the development of social and medical care with perhaps a unique example of an extant early Nightingale ward.2. The scale of the development will undoubtedly detract from the aspect and amenity of Astor College.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Daniel M	6 Tottenham Street	20/02/2017 12:26:17	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Jonathan Hazell	The Granary Tower Rd Burnham Overy Staithe Norfolk PE31 8JB	19/02/2017 18:26:07	OBJ	I am absolutely disgusted at the proposal to put a car park and other structures on this historical site. As a Christian the excavation of a cemetery of this type is an anathema. As a doctor who has practiced as a consultant in the building shown, and being a medical student of the old Middlesex Hospital I completely oppose these plans. They are madness, and indulgence to make money out of inhumanity.
2017/0415/L	Monica Lawrence	104 Brockham Lane Brockham Betchworth RH3 7EQ	19/02/2017 09:11:57	OBJ	This application shows a total disregard for the unique history of an important and currently remarkably intact workhouse building. Originally it was intended that this main workhouse building itself should be part of a proposed wholesale demolition and only a hard fought battle by a few far-sighted people secured its listing status. Yet, despite this listing, its security is under distinct threat by this revised application. There appears to be no effort made to preserve anything other than an outer shell - no heart, no soul, no hint of its former existence. Ask almost any visitor to London what has brought them to the city and they will include its long and rich history; yet instead of celebrating this history by preserving our buildings (and their environs) we are systematically destroying them and with them this irreplaceable evidence of our history. London is awash with luxury housing, but how many remaining workhouses can it boast in such completeness as evidence of this specific thread of its rich history? If permitted, this application would result in the complete obliteration of a significant slice of London's history for future generations - please prevent this happening.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Shola Timothy	3 Tottenham St Fitzrovia London W1T 2AF	20/02/2017 13:20:10	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	Elisabeth Hallas	70 Charlotte St W1T 4QG	19/02/2017 19:23:42	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Paddy O'Farrell	2 Foley Street W1W 6D7	18/02/2017 15:23:42	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for providing help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Ants Viikholm	28 Maple St W1T 6HP	19/02/2017 19:21:48	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	Jasper Rees	69 Sulgrave Gardesn London W6 7RA	15/02/2017 13:20:09	OBJ	<p>The building whose defacement is proposed was the model for the workhouse in Olivier Twist. London derives much of its sense of history and sense of self from its connection with its greatest author, Charles Dickens. Oliver Twist is perhaps the most famous novel about the poor of London, made even more celebrated by the musical and the film. The workhouse is the building in which Olivier uttered the heartbreaking request for "more please, sir". To remove this vital connection to London's cultural and literary history is an act of the grossest vandalism which Camden Council should be ashamed even to contemplate. Please reconsider.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	David Jones	197 Winns Avenue	16/02/2017 10:57:38	OBJEMPER	To whom it may concern,

I implore you to see sense and not destroy this area of huge historical significance. London does not need yet another soulless homogenised development of unaffordable flats. Coupled with the Crossrail works, the heart of the West End, Fitzrovia and St Giles is being obliterated in what is tantamount to cultural vandalism.

Regards,

David Jones

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Claire Donaldson	6 Athelney Street SE6 3LE	16/02/2017 10:37:50	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to put on the site. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to loose even one unit from what they need to provide. This in itself should be a totally final argument. - Very few people attended the public consultation in the summer: the owners are saying they only got feedback for a little more than a dozen people: that is NOT enough to think the neighbourhood is in favour of development as the session was very brief and poorly advertised, therefore not sufficiently attended. Any comment in favour of the development should be scrapped and numbers of comments arriving to Camden should be counted, instead. - the proposed development include a deep car park: Camden does not need more space for cars and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be allowed on the premises! - It is disgraceful that the listed workhouse will be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only house for the poor, will become the uninhabited home of the very rich. This should not be allowed - It is also wrong that this building, which has been public since its construction, is now moving into the private realm. As we all know, the public sphere in Britain is loosing ground every minute , with libraries and NHS facilities closing down constantly. This building should remain within the public sphere, instead than going through the usual privatisation. - There is no plan for a dedicated historical excavation in the documents: surely a historical building like this one warrants one? Permission should not be given until not only promises but commitment for a full historical evaluation (with no expenses spared) is given - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of hundreds of poor souls who have had in the workhouse ground their permanent resting place for centuries! This should never be allowed, especially as it would be a final disrespect act towards those paupers whom, as a society, we have already wronged so much. - the proposed 8 floor development is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building and such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listing building should be preserved in its environment and not overshadowed by a tower which is more than twice its height! - the rest of the development is equally not sensitive to the nature of the listed portion of the building (balconies? and it is just one example), and therefore should not be allowed. Any development surrounding a listed building should be sympathetic to it, by law. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had wings attached at the rear even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear like the proposal suggests is effectively a historical false which cannot be allowed. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time this stops! - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the dead: the deep basement will effectively replace the graveyard. This is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed, as it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens and times - The development of the workhouse building proposes to replace the windows: some of the glass is

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>definitely antique and some of it could even be original. As one of the very few large scale Georgian properties of this type, the glass and windows should be restored to their original state and definitely not replaced.</p> <p>- in case the workhouse was to be renovated, the original porch should be reinstated. There are photographs of it in existence: again, deleting the porch entirely would again create a historical false which goes against the nature of this property as a listed building.</p> <p>- Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, a group of people which Camden council is famous for proving help and support for. Allowing the proposed development means to negate the values which Camden council has always stood for and to which it owns its election.</p> <p>The inclusion of portions of the north and south house and front gate in the proposed development is welcome, although by far not enough to be satisfactory.</p>
2017/0415/L	Leana Pooley	60A Rothchild Road London W4 5HT	16/02/2017 10:51:04	OBJ	I am opposed to the alterations proposed to this rare and interesting building. Scholarly restoration is needed rather than these over-commercial alterations. We should respect London's history (and in this case the Dickensian links).
2017/0415/L	Alison Homewood	31 Colebrooke Avenue Ealing London W13 8JZ	15/02/2017 19:19:43	COMMEMP ER	I can't believe that these insensitive plans have been submitted so many years after we fought to save this building. There are some monstrous buildings being erected in beautiful Fitzrovia in the name of 'progress'. All too quickly this unique part of London is becoming faceless and characterless, sold off to greedy and philistine developers So be it - but in return, protect the truly historic fabric of the area, completely, not with hideous hypocritical facading. Step up, Camden.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Alistair Ashe	6A Geoffrey Road Brockley	15/02/2017 11:33:38	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to put on the site. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to loose even one unit from what they need to provide. This in itself should be a totally final argument. - Very few people attended the public consultation in the summer: the owners are saying they only got feedback for a little more than a dozen people: that is NOT enough to think the neighbourhood is in favour of development as the session was very brief and poorly advertised, therefore not sufficiently attended. Any comment in favour of the development should be scrapped and numbers of comments arriving to Camden should be counted, instead. - the proposed development include a deep car park: Camden does not need more space for cars and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be allowed on the premises! - It is disgraceful that the listed workhouse will be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only house for the poor, will become the uninhabited home of the very rich. This should not be allowed - It is also wrong that this building, which has been public since its construction, is now moving into the private realm. As we all know, the public sphere in Britain is loosing ground every minute , with libraries and NHS facilities closing down constantly. This building should remain within the public sphere, instead than going through the usual privatisation. - There is no plan for a dedicated historical excavation in the documents: surely a historical building like this one warrants one? Permission should not be given until not only promises but commitment for a full historical evaluation (with no expenses spared) is given - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of hundreds of poor souls who have had in the workhouse ground their permanent resting place for centuries! This should never be allowed, especially as it would be a final disrespect act towards those paupers whom, as a society, we have already wronged so much. - the proposed 8 floor development is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building and such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listing building should be preserved in its environment and not overshadowed by a tower which is more than twice its height! - the rest of the development is equally not sensitive to the nature of the listed portion of the building (balconies? and it is just one example), and therefore should not be allowed. Any development surrounding a listed building should be sympathetic to it, by law. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had wings attached at the rear even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear like the proposal suggests is effectively a historical false which cannot be allowed. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time this stops! - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the dead: the deep basement will effectively replace the graveyard. This is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed, as it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens and times - The development of the workhouse building proposes to replace the windows: some of the glass is

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>definitely antique and some of it could even be original. As one of the very few large scale Georgian properties of this type, the glass and windows should be restored to their original state and definitely not replaced.</p> <p>- in case the workhouse was to be renovated, the original porch should be reinstated. There are photographs of it in existence: again, deleting the porch entirely would again create a historical false which goes against the nature of this property as a listed building.</p> <p>- Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, a group of people which Camden council is famous for proving help and support for. Allowing the proposed development means to negate the values which Camden council has always stood for and to which it owns its election.</p> <p>The inclusion of portions of the north and south house and front gate in the proposed development is welcome, although by far not enough to be satisfactory.</p>
2017/0415/L	J Flanders	9 St Anns Gardens	15/02/2017 11:12:56	COMMNT	This is a disgraceful attempt once more to knock down a building of historic and literary importance. This was rejected before, and should be rejected again.
2017/0415/L	Annelise Goodsir	51 Davis Road London W37SF	16/02/2017 09:52:28	OBJ	Please leave this amazing historic building alone. We have enough bland luxury apartments in London and should be preserving this building as it is for its historical importance - as well as the fact that it is far more attractive and suitable for the area than any modern replacement could ever be!

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	antonella chinaglia	quaker court banner street 24	15/02/2017 12:04:24	COMMNT	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	Celia Stevens	1 Church Hill Close Blackawton Totnes TQ9 7BQ	15/02/2017 20:41:51	COMMNT	I object. We need to protect and preserve our historical sites

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Clara Lowy	44 A Rosemont Road London	18/02/2017 11:16:34	COMMEMP ER	The listed building will effectively be destroyed by surrounding it by an 8 story building. Like the flats on the Middlesex Hospital site the proposed properties will not house those most in need. Profit is the motive for this development burying a mass of archaeology & history. I spent many hours as a junior doctor in the out patient clinics.
2017/0415/L	Robert Tracy	2611 Derby St Berkeley California 94705 USA	16/02/2017 04:20:14	OBJEMAIL	Writing to support the Dickens Society in its recommendation (2014) not to allow the demolition of the Cleveland Street workhouse site. The solidly built Victorian structure offers opportunities for sensitive re-use that preserve the character of the area. Nodemolition!
2017/0415/L	Adam Dant	15 Club Row E2 7EY	16/02/2017 17:50:50	APP	<p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>I would like to object to the application 2017?0415/L which seeks to destroy the interior of an historic central London building whilst converting said into apartments.</p> <p>Important historic structures such as 44 Cleveland St are finite ,and when destroyed take crucial evidence as to the history of London with them.</p> <p>I believe that this application should be refused on the grounds that such a plan is a clear example of pointless desecration for short term financial benefit.</p> <p>This is exactly the type of activity Camden council should be discouraging as the historic fabric of new London becomes even more of a focus of an international community drawn to the capital by said.</p> <p>Without such tangible examples of the fabric of Camden's past the unique appeal of the borough will slowly be lost for the short term benefit of parties who do not appear to have an enlightened vision for the future of the community.</p>
2017/0415/L	Penny Senior	Southmead hospital Westbury on Trym Bristol Bs10 5nb	15/02/2017 21:59:43	OBJ	Please do not pull down or 'rearrange' this beautiful listed building from its current status. Too many historic buildings relating to University College Hospital and the Middlesex Hospital have been pulled down or been redesigned into ugly modern buildings. Please do not destroy a historic working house with a valuable history. Dont keep destroying the beautiful historic buildings in our city of London. Please, please let London keep intact this historic building. Too much damage has already been done to historic buildings in this area. Modern architecture doesnt last and has no meaning. Dont destroy the history of such a beautiful city.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Susannah Herbert	1 Applegarth Road W14 0HY W14 0HY	15/02/2017 18:28:09	OBJEMAIL	<p>I object to the proposed changes to the workhouse. This is a site of historical and literary value and should be treated with respect rather than turned into luxury flats - of which London has more than enough already.</p> <p>The workhouse is among the few surviving 18th-c. workhouses altogether, the only surviving one in London.</p> <p>It is also a site of great literary importance, in that Dickens lived a few doors away, and used much of its routines and details in Oliver Twist.</p> <p>Furthermore, the second site is in part a burial ground, but there are no plans to do more than bulldoze the site, with no concern for the bodies.</p> <p>And, finally, this site embodies London's health care history since the 1770s. The two sites together deserve a full forensic archaeological investigation before any new plans are made for it. The assemblage of buildings and the graveyard are like a time-capsule, sealed in 2006 when the hospital closed. It also embodies London's industrial heritage, because we know (for example) that there was a pin-making manufactory employing children there in the early 19th century. There will certainly be all sorts of other evidence and artefacts discovered if the whole site is recognised as a heritage asset worthy of proper investigation.</p> <p>Susannah Herbert Forward Arts Foundation Somerset House London</p>

2017/0415/L	John Mellor	Oakley Barn	18/02/2017 09:51:58	COMMNT	Very little regard to historical aspects of site encroachment and demolition of important historically significant areas still . Preservation of Londons heritage deserves more than this , as aDr aware of the medical history of the site I feel this should be reviewed
-------------	-------------	-------------	---------------------	--------	--

2017/0415/L	Gregory Hubbard	10411 Oso Avenue 91311 91311	17/02/2017 22:38:38	COMMEMP ER	<p>It is difficult to believe that the casual demolition of this impressively historic site might proceed. When they finish, only a thin veneer of brick from one building will survive. All architectural and historical details on the site and the public view of it will have been obliterated.</p> <p>This site could be an economically viable development without the destruction involved in this proposal. It is notable that no real impact on the neighborhood is discussed.</p> <p>We recycle cans and bottles, but throw away whole buildings. Very clever.</p>
-------------	-----------------	------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------	--

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	David Trillo	45 Ellington st Islington n78pn	17/02/2017 16:26:50	OBJ	this and the associated planning application if successful will continue the destruction of London's past built and social history. London will become a mono City of high rise residences affordable only to wealthy most foreigners who leave them empty. I hope now Boris has gone planners can stand up to developers who are only driven by greed at the expense of all else.
2017/0415/L	Alison Homewood	31 Colebrooke Avenue Ealing London W13 8JZ	15/02/2017 19:19:40	COMMEMP ER	I can't believe that these insensitive plans have been submitted so many years after we fought to save this building. There are some monstrous buildings being erected in beautiful Fitzrovia in the name of 'progress'. All too quickly this unique part of London is becoming faceless and characterless, sold off to greedy and philistine developers So be it - but in return, protect the truly historic fabric of the area, completely, not with hideous hypocritical facading. Step up, Camden.
2017/0415/L	Gregory Hubbard	10411 Oso Avenue Los Angeles California 91311 91311	17/02/2017 22:49:23	COMMEMP ER	Very soon, London will look just like Los Angeles. An admirable goal after several thousand years.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	emily scaife	105 Southmoor Rd OX2 6RE OX2 6RE	16/02/2017 12:14:29	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>