

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Mick Brooks	44 Cleveland Street Fitzrovia W1T 4JT W1T 4JT	18/02/2017 09:25:45	OBJ	<p>Hello,</p> <p>Having seen this application I have a number of concerns.</p> <p>If in terms of the demolishing buildings "to the rear of the workhouse building" you are referring to the two "Nightingale wings", I think that this should not go ahead as these particular features are one of only two still extant examples of this type of construction in the whole country.</p> <p>Moreover, one must take into account the fact that the grounds of the building contain a substantial number bodies of former residents of the workhouse. The fact that the last resting place of these unfortunate people is in immediate environs of the proposed development suggests that on moral, if not legal, grounds, a full exploratory examination of this area, and a dignified disinterment of all human remains, along with arrangements for reburial at a suitable site should be arranged before any major work is even contemplated.</p> <p>Finally, I think that the rich heritage of this building - it has been convincingly proposed that the Strand Union Workhouse was the model for Charles Dickens' depictions of such a place in Oliver Twist - should be respected and that adjoining high-rise developments would deform the visual aspect of the building in term of diminishing its scale and intended skyline when viewed from its exterior.</p> <p>In short, I think that this whole project needs to be rethought and replaced by more suitable proposals.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and attention re:these matters.</p> <p>Mick Brooks</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Derek Adams	3 Tottenham Street	20/02/2017 12:20:19	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Shola Timothy	3 Tottenham St Fitzrovia London W1T 2AF W1T 4JT	20/02/2017 13:18:40	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Virgie Jordan	5 St Quentin Fitzhugh Grove Wandsworth	20/02/2017 16:04:07	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Evelin Haljas	3 Tottenham street W1T 2AF	19/02/2017 19:16:02	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	James Robertson	16 Ferring close Harrow Middlesex HA2 0AR	19/02/2017 18:34:55	COMMNT	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0414/P	Dan	2 Quay View	20/02/2017 19:11:58	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Margaret Robertson	16 ferring close Harrow Middlesex HA2 0AR	19/02/2017 18:30:05	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	jenny jokela	14 fletching road london	19/02/2017 21:26:42	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Dan Worthy	24 Raymond Road WestEnd	19/02/2017 15:30:28	OBJNOT	<p>This historic building should be listed rather than altered in any way, shape or form. Shame on you Camden Council if you allow this building to be lost forever. It should be utilised as a London landmark. Just see what The National Trust have done with Southwall Workhouse in Nottinghamshire.</p> <p><a href="https://www.nationaltrust.org.uk/the-workhouse-southwell">https://www.nationaltrust.org.uk/the-workhouse-southwell</a></p> <p>Please make your decision wisely Camden. I would sorely love to attend your meeting, but am not based in London and am unable to finance a trip currently. I am passionate about history and in particular social history, which this building is an absolutely shining example of. This diamond needs polishing and not shattering as these plans will if allowed.</p>
2017/0414/P	Anna McCarrey	46 katrine avenue Bishopbriggs G64 1HA G64 1HA	21/02/2017 09:03:08	INT	I find it disturbing, to say the least, that an interment ground will be destroyed in the name of profit once again. Although I do not live in London I think it is dreadful that historic buildings will be rendered unrecognisable when "refurbished". Mammon Rules OK could be put on a new blue plaque.
2017/0414/P	Anna McCarrey	46 katrine avenue Bishopbriggs G64 1HA G64 1HA	21/02/2017 09:02:56	INT	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Dr Samantha Shave	94 Stapley Road Hove BN3 7FF	20/02/2017 12:01:42	OBJ	<p>Dear Camden Council</p> <p>The plans to turn this former workhouse and hospital into flats is outrageous. As a historian of workhouses, I understand there is immense value in preserving buildings of our past in order to remind us of why and how we live in the present. This building should be open to the public, as a museum or as a public space, preserving the rare and therefore valuable spaces where the poor were incarcerated, treated and lived many days through apparent state care. We need to keep this building in a preserved state in order to tell histories of our past to both present and future generations about how those in need were looked after at times, but also stigmatised, maltreated and sometimes neglected. The architectural history of Britain is not just all about history of country houses, museums, gardens and libraries - it is about the gritty, and hard everyday lives which the majority of people lived in the metropolis during rapid urbanisation and hardship. It is about a time when the majority of people lived on very little, and when the welfare safety net was very thin and full of hatred. By converting this building into flats you are wiping away a huge amount of history, from which progressive education should spring. The National Trust has a country workhouse on its books (Southwell in Nottinghamshire), and now it is time for an urban workhouse to be accessible to the general public too. This should be the model here, and enquiries should be made as to whether a body such as The National Trust would support it. or why can't Camden save this vital part of our history? Demolishing the internal and rare wards inside of this building is a threat to British medical, social, political and cultural history. Please do the public justice, as well as our future generations and those whose bodies lie in the ground here some justice, and save this building from development. I would like this: Preservation - not conversion and demolition. Preservation - not ripping up the graves of the dead whose lives we should and must remember.</p> <p>Dr Samantha Shave University of Southampton</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	emily frances scaife	31 Tavistock Square london	19/02/2017 21:20:41	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0414/P	R. Hussey,sec. Bristol &Clifton Dickens Society	47 Rownham Mead Bristol BS8 4YB	20/02/2017 09:46:37	COMMNT	<p>I think the plan should be refused. I feel officers should consider the historic importance to London of the site before any plans are approved.The site deserves a full archeological,architectural and social history investigation. The new buildings would impact on and dwarf the workhouse.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Angela Wedgwood	10 Milner Place N1 1TN	20/02/2017 21:26:38	OBJEMPER	I object to the proposed application. Part of the listed building should not be demolished. The graves of the dead should not be obliterated. The proposed new building is out of keeping and too big.
2017/0414/P	Virgie Jordan	5 St Quentin Fitzhugh Grove Wandsworth	20/02/2017 16:04:18	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Elisabeth Haljas	3 Tottenham street W1T 2AF	19/02/2017 19:06:42	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Charlie Jardine	429 Coldharbour Lane Flat 9 Clifton Mansions Brixton SW9 8LH	20/02/2017 16:41:01	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead because it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats. There are too many luxury apartments nearby already.</p> <p>Camden should protect this building, to preserve it's history and in providing affordable living for the lower paid people of London for whom Camden Council is famous for providing help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0414/P	Charlie Jardine	429 Coldharbour Lane Flat 9 Clifton Mansions Brixton SW9 8LH	20/02/2017 16:40:54	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	oya bullock	6a alison road london	19/02/2017 21:17:53	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

---

2017/0414/P	Julia Hornak	105 southmoor road oxford OX2 6RE OX2 6RE	19/02/2017 21:16:46	OBJ
-------------	--------------	---	---------------------	-----

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Julia Hornak	105 southmoor road oxford OX2 6RE OX2 6RE	19/02/2017 21:16:31	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

---

2017/0414/P	Julia Hornak	105 southmoor road oxford OX2 6RE OX2 6RE	19/02/2017 21:16:23	COMMNT	
-------------	--------------	--	---------------------	--------	--

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	jessica scaife	134 cricket road oxford	19/02/2017 21:12:26	COMMNT	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Liisu Roger	1 Foley street W1W 6DL	19/02/2017 23:39:57	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Natalie	3 Tottenham Street Fitzrovia W1T 2AF	20/02/2017 12:10:49	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Daniel	3 Tottenham Street Fitzrovia W1T 2AF	20/02/2017 12:10:42	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	PALIERNE DAMIEN	EARLY NEWS	18/02/2017 18:39:24	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Paddy O'Farrell	2 Foley Street W1W 6D7	18/02/2017 15:17:42	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for providing help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Kelly Smith	4a Erskine street AB24 3NQ	19/02/2017 23:36:34	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Daniel Martinelli	6 Tottenham Street W1T 2AF	20/02/2017 12:24:32	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Monica Lawrence	104 Brockham Lane Brockham Betchworth RH3 7EQ	19/02/2017 08:58:43	OBJ	<p>This application shows a total disregard for the unique history of an important and currently remarkably intact site. Originally it was intended that the main workhouse building itself should be part of a proposed wholesale demolition and only a hard fought battle by a few far-sighted people secured its listing status. Yet, despite this listing, its security is under distinct threat by this revised application. There appears to be no effort made to preserve anything other than an outer shell - no heart, no soul, no hint of its former existence. Ask almost any visitor to London what has brought them to the city and they will include its long and rich history; yet instead of celebrating this history by preserving our buildings and their environs we are systematically destroying them and with them this irreplaceable evidence of our history. London is awash with blocks of characterless housing, but how many remaining workhouses can it boast in such completeness as evidence of this specific thread of its rich history? At a time when the Mayor of London himself is very publicly introducing ways to reduce the number of cars in the capital, how can the construction of a car park be of greater significance than respecting the final resting place of countless numbers of our dead? If permitted, this application would result in the complete obliteration of a significant slice of London's history for future generations - please prevent this happening.</p>
2017/0414/P	Louise Honey	48 Fairholme Road West Croydon CR0 3PD	20/02/2017 16:27:12	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead because it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats. There are too many luxury apartments nearby already.</p> <p>Camden should protect this building, to preserve it's history and in providing affordable living for the lower paid people of London for whom Camden Council is famous for providing help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Elisabeth Hallas	70 Charlotte St W1T 4QG	19/02/2017 19:23:40	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0414/P	Simon Whitlock	119 Shacklewell Lane	19/02/2017 20:44:06	OBJ	<p>38 Affordable Units is not enough. There is a huge area within the workhouse and grounds. I'm not opposed to development of the site, but it's full potential to create affordable and predominately social housing should be fulfilled.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Ants Viikholm	28 Maple St W1T 6HP	19/02/2017 19:21:30	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0414/P	Annie Green	2 Albany Row Main Street Menston West Yorks LS29 6HA LS29 6HA	18/02/2017 20:02:37	OBJ	<p>Please take time to reconsider this ill-advised plan. This is a site of historical importance and the replacement of an ex-workhouse with over-priced residential accommodation is distasteful in the extreme. There is more to living in London than gutting its history and selling the family silver to the highest bidder.</p>

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2017/0414/P	Mrs Fiona Hamblin	4 Lauderdale Drive Richmond Tw107BT	18/02/2017 14:46:16	COMMEMP ER	What is the point of us having a LISTED BUILDING designation, to protect our important history , if making money overrides this valuable example of our past.? I disagree completely with this application and hope it will be prohibited .
2017/0414/P	Mrs Fiona Hamblin	4 Lauderdale Drive Richmond Tw107BT	18/02/2017 14:46:03	COMMEMP ER	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Claire Donaldson	6 Athelney Street SE6 3LE	16/02/2017 10:38:41	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to put on the site. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to loose even one unit from what they need to provide. This in itself should be a totally final argument.</li> <li>- Very few people attended the public consultation in the summer: the owners are saying they only got feedback for a little more than a dozen people: that is NOT enough to think the neighbourhood is in favour of development as the session was very brief and poorly advertised, therefore not sufficiently attended. Any comment in favour of the development should be scrapped and numbers of comments arriving to Camden should be counted, instead.</li> <li>- the proposed development include a deep car park: Camden does not need more space for cars and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be allowed on the premises!</li> <li>- It is disgraceful that the listed workhouse will be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only house for the poor, will become the uninhabited home of the very rich. This should not be allowed</li> <li>- It is also wrong that this building, which has been public since its construction, is now moving into the private realm. As we all know, the public sphere in Britain is loosing ground every minute , with libraries and NHS facilities closing down constantly. This building should remain within the public sphere, instead than going through the usual privatisation.</li> <li>- There is no plan for a dedicated historical excavation in the documents: surely a historical building like this one warrants one? Permission should not be given until not only promises but commitment for a full historical evaluation (with no expenses spared) is given</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of hundreds of poor souls who have had in the workhouse ground their permanent resting place for centuries! This should never be allowed, especially as it would be a final disrespect act towards those paupers whom, as a society, we have already wronged so much.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building and such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listing building should be preserved in its environment and not overshadowed by a tower which is more than twice its height!</li> <li>- the rest of the development is equally not sensitive to the nature of the listed portion of the building (balconies? and it is just one example), and therefore should not be allowed. Any development surrounding a listed building should be sympathetic to it, by law.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had wings attached at the rear even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear like the proposal suggests is effectively a historical false which cannot be allowed. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time this stops!</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the dead: the deep basement will effectively replace the graveyard. This is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed, as it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens and times</li> <li>- The development of the workhouse building proposes to replace the windows: some of the glass is</li> </ul>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>definitely antique and some of it could even be original. As one of the very few large scale Georgian properties of this type, the glass and windows should be restored to their original state and definitely not replaced.</p> <p>- in case the workhouse was to be renovated, the original porch should be reinstated. There are photographs of it in existence: again, deleting the porch entirely would again create a historical false which goes against the nature of this property as a listed building.</p> <p>- Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, a group of people which Camden council is famous for proving help and support for. Allowing the proposed development means to negate the values which Camden council has always stood for and to which it owns its election.</p> <p>The inclusion of portions of the north and south house and front gate in the proposed development is welcome, although by far not enough to be satisfactory.</p>
2017/0414/P	David Jones	197 Winns Avenue E17 5LR	16/02/2017 10:58:52	OBJEMPER	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I implore you to see sense and not destroy this area of huge historical significance. London does not need yet another soulless homogenised development of unaffordable flats. Coupled with the Crossrail works, the heart of the West End, Fitzrovia and St Giles is being obliterated in what is tantamount to cultural vandalism.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>David Jones</p>
2017/0414/P	Annelise Goodsir	51 Davis Road London W37SF	16/02/2017 09:55:18	OBJ	<p>It is appalling that anyone could consider developing these buildings and destroying a graveyard for the purposes proposed. I strongly oppose this shameful application!</p>
2017/0414/P	Nick Bailey	59 Goodge Street London W1T1TJ	15/02/2017 20:57:12	INT	<p>After several abortive schemes for this site, I think on balance a reasonable solution has been found which provides an acceptable mix of housing, restores the listed building and opens up Bedford Passage. A suggestion was made at the public consultation that part of the office space should be used to house the UCLH/Middlesex archive and to provide a public exhibition area. This should be included in the S106 agreement. The UCLH Trustees have the resources to fund this and would make a very positive contribution to the locality which has a long medical history going back to the first Middlesex Hospital in Windmill Street in the 18th century. The workhouse is also associated with the reforming medical officer of the 19th century, Dr Joseph Rogers. Its amazing its taken since 2004 for the UCLH Trust and Hospital to deliver on the legal agreement!</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Alistair Ashe	6A Geoffrey Road Brockley	15/02/2017 11:33:03	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to put on the site. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to loose even one unit from what they need to provide. This in itself should be a totally final argument.</li> <li>- Very few people attended the public consultation in the summer: the owners are saying they only got feedback for a little more than a dozen people: that is NOT enough to think the neighbourhood is in favour of development as the session was very brief and poorly advertised, therefore not sufficiently attended. Any comment in favour of the development should be scrapped and numbers of comments arriving to Camden should be counted, instead.</li> <li>- the proposed development include a deep car park: Camden does not need more space for cars and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be allowed on the premises!</li> <li>- It is disgraceful that the listed workhouse will be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only house for the poor, will become the uninhabited home of the very rich. This should not be allowed</li> <li>- It is also wrong that this building, which has been public since its construction, is now moving into the private realm. As we all know, the public sphere in Britain is loosing ground every minute , with libraries and NHS facilities closing down constantly. This building should remain within the public sphere, instead than going through the usual privatisation.</li> <li>- There is no plan for a dedicated historical excavation in the documents: surely a historical building like this one warrants one? Permission should not be given until not only promises but commitment for a full historical evaluation (with no expenses spared) is given</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of hundreds of poor souls who have had in the workhouse ground their permanent resting place for centuries! This should never be allowed, especially as it would be a final disrespect act towards those paupers whom, as a society, we have already wronged so much.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building and such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listing building should be preserved in its environment and not overshadowed by a tower which is more than twice its height!</li> <li>- the rest of the development is equally not sensitive to the nature of the listed portion of the building (balconies? and it is just one example), and therefore should not be allowed. Any development surrounding a listed building should be sympathetic to it, by law.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had wings attached at the rear even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear like the proposal suggests is effectively a historical false which cannot be allowed. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time this stops!</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the dead: the deep basement will effectively replace the graveyard. This is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed, as it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens and times</li> <li>- The development of the workhouse building proposes to replace the windows: some of the glass is</li> </ul>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>definitely antique and some of it could even be original. As one of the very few large scale Georgian properties of this type, the glass and windows should be restored to their original state and definitely not replaced.</p> <p>- in case the workhouse was to be renovated, the original porch should be reinstated. There are photographs of it in existence: again, deleting the porch entirely would again create a historical false which goes against the nature of this property as a listed building.</p> <p>- Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, a group of people which Camden council is famous for proving help and support for. Allowing the proposed development means to negate the values which Camden council has always stood for and to which it owns its election.</p> <p>The inclusion of portions of the north and south house and front gate in the proposed development is welcome, although by far not enough to be satisfactory.</p>
2017/0414/P	Claire Bevan	Brook Cottage Stone Allerton Axbridge Somerset	15/02/2017 14:00:58	COMMEMP ER	This important building must be saved . It's links with the old Middlesex hospital and Charles dickens are surely sufficient for it to be kept.
2017/0414/P	Jennifer Woolf	17 Canfield Gardens NW6 3JP NW6 3JP	17/02/2017 08:38:11	COMMNT	This is a historic site and burial ground, which needs archaeological investigation. It should not be obliterated with no decent respect given to the deceased. More attention needs to be paid to the historic nature of the building which is the origin of Oliver Twist's workhouse in Charles Dickens. Properly managed this could be a tourist attraction of value to the area. If it is made into apartments all its history will be lost.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	antonella chinaglia	quaker court banner street 24	15/02/2017 12:02:25	PETITNSU PP	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

---

2017/0414/P	antonella chinaglia	quaker court banner street 24	15/02/2017 12:02:21	PETITNSU PP
-------------	---------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------	----------------

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Joanna Wilkinson	139 Maidstone Road London N11 2JT	16/02/2017 19:35:20	OBJ	<p>This is a site of considerable, indeed unique historical interest. The medical work of Dr Joseph Rogers, the social work of Louisa Twining, the connections with Charles Dickens indicate its place in history. The workhouse building itself is a unique survival and should not simply be gutted. There is the historical and moral question of the presence of the burial ground. At the very least there needs to be a full archaeological survey before any decisions are taken.</p> <p>This application should be rejected.</p>
2017/0414/P	antonella chinaglia	quaker court banner street 24	15/02/2017 12:02:20	PETITNSU PP	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Ann Smith	3 Avenue Villas Claybrooke Parva Lutterworth Leics. LE17 5AE	16/02/2017 09:01:52	COMMNT	The plans for these buildings and attached consecrated burial ground represent the loss of an historic site with links to many London stories and lines of research. I understand that in a city like London, sites are constantly razed and rebuilt, but the rich heritage of this particular plot sets it apart. Once destroyed, the area itself and the knowledge it contains can never be restored.
2017/0414/P	Robert Tracy	2611 Derby St Berkeley California 94705 USA	16/02/2017 04:28:48	OBJEMAIL	I oppose both schemes for the strand Workhouse ?Middlesex hospital annexe, noting the inevitable loss of the historic pauper burial ground (consecrated 1790, in use until 1953) intended as a resting place "free form all indignity". The proposed commercial development will strip this historic area of meaning and should be opposed
2017/0414/P	J Flanders	9 St Anns Gardens	15/02/2017 11:16:48	COMMNT	I cannot oppose this strongly enough. The prime historical importance of the present building has been entirely overlooked. The fact that the car park will cover a burial ground, with no concern for the dead beneath, is disgraceful. This was already rejected once, on perfectly good grounds. This is a shabby attempt to try and slide it past again.
2017/0414/P	Michael Bundy	115 Matilda House St Katharines way E1W1LF E1W1LF	17/02/2017 16:55:27	COMMNT	This development would destroy the character of the building and its surroundings, an makes no provision for the dead who are resting here.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Derellanne Knowles	2 foley street W1W 6DL	15/02/2017 17:19:54	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for providing help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Susan Mayer	81 Warburton Road Poole Dorset BH17 8SD	16/02/2017 14:48:40	OBJEMPER	<p>I was born and lived in London until my late teens. Many of my ancestors were Londoners and some were in this workhouse. I am not happy that historical buildings are being destroyed for upmarket flats.</p> <p>I am very worried about the graveyard. What will happen to all those buried there? I object strongly to them being covered in concrete. This is a burial ground full of history.</p> <p>Will there be any archaeology studies done on this site?</p> <p>I feel that more could be done to make this an interesting place for visitors to see and learn. It has connections with Charles Dickens.</p> <p>Please don't destroy yet more of our history and turn another part of London into an anonymous concrete jungle.</p>
2017/0414/P	David Brown	44 KING HENRYS ROAD LONDON NW3 3RP	17/02/2017 23:08:56	OBJEMAIL	<p>This is a key historic site. The only complete Workhouse in the centre of London, and it is extremely sad that the council is thinking of building over the complex and the associated burial ground. All the buildings should be preserved, and converted for use. The idea of adding an 8 story building in the centre is unbelievably crass. Completely out of scale with the existing buildings. And overbuilding a cemetery should only be done in exceptional purposes. This development has no redeeming features for the local community and should be turned down.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Tomas Vaclavek	Granger avenue 64 Leagrave, Luton Lu49as	17/02/2017 15:27:48	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to put on the site. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to loose even one unit from what they need to provide. This in itself should be a totally final argument.</li> <li>- Very few people attended the public consultation in the summer: the owners are saying they only got feedback for a little more than a dozen people: that is NOT enough to think the neighbourhood is in favour of development as the session was very brief and poorly advertised, therefore not sufficiently attended. Any comment in favour of the development should be scrapped and numbers of comments arriving to Camden should be counted, instead.</li> <li>- the proposed development include a deep car park: Camden does not need more space for cars and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be allowed on the premises!</li> <li>- It is disgraceful that the listed workhouse will be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only house for the poor, will become the uninhabited home of the very rich. This should not be allowed</li> <li>- It is also wrong that this building, which has been public since its construction, is now moving into the private realm. As we all know, the public sphere in Britain is loosing ground every minute , with libraries and NHS facilities closing down constantly. This building should remain within the public sphere, instead than going through the usual privatisation.</li> <li>- There is no plan for a dedicated historical excavation in the documents: surely a historical building like this one warrants one? Permission should not be given until not only promises but commitment for a full historical evaluation (with no expenses spared) is given</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of hundreds of poor souls who have had in the workhouse ground their permanent resting place for centuries! This should never be allowed, especially as it would be a final disrespect act towards those paupers whom, as a society, we have already wronged so much.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building and such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listing building should be preserved in its environment and not overshadowed by a tower which is more than twice its height!</li> <li>- the rest of the development is equally not sensitive to the nature of the listed portion of the building (balconies? and it is just one example), and therefore should not be allowed. Any development surrounding a listed building should be sympathetic to it, by law.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had wings attached at the rear even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear like the proposal suggests is effectively a historical false which cannot be allowed. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time this stops!</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the dead: the deep basement will effectively replace the graveyard. This is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed, as it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens and times</li> <li>- The development of the workhouse building proposes to replace the windows: some of the glass is</li> </ul>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>definitely antique and some of it could even be original. As one of the very few large scale Georgian properties of this type, the glass and windows should be restored to their original state and definitely not replaced.</p> <p>- in case the workhouse was to be renovated, the original porch should be reinstated. There are photographs of it in existence: again, deleting the porch entirely would again create a historical false which goes against the nature of this property as a listed building.</p> <p>- Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, a group of people which Camden council is famous for proving help and support for. Allowing the proposed development means to negate the values which Camden council has always stood for and to which it owns its election.</p> <p>The inclusion of portions of the north and south house and front gate in the proposed development is welcome, although by far not enough to be satisfactory.</p>

---

2017/0414/P	Vanessa Woolf	10 Bewick mews Se15 1qr	17/02/2017 09:00:00	COMMNT	<p>I would like to register my strong objections to the proposal which will be highly detrimental to the character of the area. Property values, visual appeal and standard of life in London are enhanced by characterful historical buildings. Londons new housing should not be at the expense of characterful buildings full of historical importance.</p>
-------------	---------------	-------------------------------	---------------------	--------	--

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	emily scaife	105 Southmoor Rd OX2 6RE OX2 6RE	16/02/2017 12:13:34	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0414/P	Alison Homewood	31 Colebrooke Avenue Ealing London W13 8JZ	15/02/2017 19:25:44	COMMEMP ER	<p>For heavens sake, stop this awful destruction of one of the most historic buildings in beautiful Fitzrovia. I was working on Tottenham Court Road (in the grim, ugly building that is Maple House) when I became one of the original campaigners to save Cleveland Workhouse. I can't believe this audaciously destructive scheme is being submitted to the council - a scheme which defiles a paupers grave!! Please - see sense, Camden Council.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Nick Pope	35A Kenworthy Road London E9 5RB	16/02/2017 11:01:58	OBJEMAIL	<p>I strongly object to the demolition of the nightingale wards and other staff buildings behind the main house and they must be incorporated into any scheme on the site. All historic elements should be preserved and restored.</p> <p>It would be another sad casualty in the trend of the 'facade' of a historic London, when in fact it's important to preserve all the elements that form this historic site in central London. Keeping just the main building isn't the point when the other parts can easily be restored and put back into use.</p> <p>The site has such an important story for the area and it would be a huge loss to lose parts of it.</p> <p>Best wishes Nick</p>
2017/0414/P	J L Foster	24 Lewes Crescent Brighton BN2 1GB	16/02/2017 18:21:10	COMMNT	I understand from the media that no information has been supplied regarding the treatment of the Paupers' Cemetary on this site. This is important both historically and commemoratively for these people who have no other memorial. Tis should be addressed.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0414/P	Gerard Hughes	2 Foley Street W1W 6DL	15/02/2017 20:52:29	OBJEMPER	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.</li> <li>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.</li> <li>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.</li> <li>- the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.</li> <li>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!</li> </ul> <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for providing help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>