

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	James newey	187 ferme park road London N8 9BS	14/02/2017 15:15:26	COMMNT	<p>- 38 affordable units is below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to put on the site. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to loose even one unit from what they need to provide. This in itself should be a totally final argument.</p> <p>- Very few people attended the public consultation in the summer: the owners are saying they only got feedback for a little more than a dozen people: that is NOT enough to think the neighbourhood is in favour of development as the session was very brief and poorly advertised, therefore not sufficiently attended. Any comment in favour of the development should be scrapped and numbers of comments arriving to Camden should be counted, instead.</p> <p>- the proposed development include a deep car park: Camden does not need more space for cars and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be allowed on the premises!</p> <p>- It is disgraceful that the listed workhouse will be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only house for the poor, will become the uninhabited home of the very rich. This should not be allowed</p> <p>- It is also wrong that this building, which has been public since its construction, is now moving into the private realm. As we all know, the public sphere in Britain is loosing ground every minute , with libraries and NHS facilities closing down constantly. This building should remain within the public sphere, instead than going through the usual privatisation.</p> <p>- There is no plan for a dedicated historical excavation in the documents: surely a historical building like this one warrants one? Permission should not be given until not only promises but commitment for a full historical evaluation (with no expenses spared) is given</p> <p>- There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of hundreds of poor souls who have had in the workhouse ground their permanent resting place for centuries! This should never be allowed, especially as it would be a final disrespect act towards those paupers whom, as a society, we have already wronged so much.</p> <p>- the proposed 8 floor development is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building and such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listing building should be preserved in its environment and not overshadowed by a tower which is more than twice its height!</p> <p>- the rest of the development is equally not sensitive to the nature of the listed portion of the building (balconies? and it is just one example), and therefore should not be allowed. Any development surrounding a listed building should be sympathetic to it, by law.</p> <p>- The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had wings attached at the rear even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear like the proposal suggests is effectively a historical false which cannot be allowed. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time this stops!</p> <p>- The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the dead: the deep basement will effectively replace the graveyard. This is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed, as it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens and times</p> <p>- The development of the workhouse building proposes to replace the windows: some of the glass is definitely antique and some of it could even be original. As one of the very few large scale Georgian</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>properties of this type, the glass and windows should be restored to their original state and definitely not replaced.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- in case the workhouse was to be renovated, the original porch should be reinstated. There are photographs of it in existence: again, deleting the porch entirely would again create a historical false which goes against the nature of this property as a listed building.- Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, a group of people which Camden council is famous for proving help and support for. Allowing the proposed development means to negate the values which Camden council has always stood for and to which it owns its election. <p>The inclusion of portions of the north and south house and front gate in the proposed development is welcome, although by far not enough to be satisfactory.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Laura overy	2 Foley St W1w 6dl	15/02/2017 08:36:18	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for providing help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

2017/0415/L	Hayley Crawford	2 Foley Street W1W 6DL	14/02/2017 22:09:58	COMMENT	
-------------	-----------------	---------------------------	---------------------	---------	--

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Cassandra Lincoln	3 Tottenham Street London W1T 2AF	14/02/2017 13:40:52	OBJNOT	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Jennifer McGrath	1 Foley Street	14/02/2017 20:16:45	OBJ	<p>writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Rebecca Woolston	2 Foley Street W1W 6DL	14/02/2017 21:21:50	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for providing help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	Rohan	2 Foley Street W1W 6DL	14/02/2017 21:31:08	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0415/L	Aonghus Rathbone	70 Cleveland Street	14/02/2017 20:24:57	OBJ	<p>I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide. - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times. - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it. - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop! <p>FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood.</p>
2017/0415/L	Jo Williams	44 Cleveland st London	14/02/2017 14:44:26	OBJ	