| Application No: | Consultees Name:    | Consultees Addr:               | Received:           | Comment: | Printed on: 15/02/2017 09:05:06  Response:   |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2017/0414/P     | Aonghus<br>Rathbone | 70 Cleveland<br>Street W1T 6LY | 14/02/2017 20:21:36 |          | writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:  |
|                 |                     |                                |                     |          | - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.   |
|                 |                     |                                |                     |          | - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.   |
|                 |                     |                                |                     |          | - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.           |
|                 |                     |                                |                     |          | - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.  |
|                 |                     |                                |                     |          | - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!   |
|                 |                     |                                |                     |          | FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood. |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr:          | Received:           | Comment: | Printed on: 15/02/2017 09:05:06 <b>Response:</b>  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2017/0414/P     | Hayley Crawford  | 2 Foley Street<br>W1W 6DL | 14/02/2017 22:08:42 |          | I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L.Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.  |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place ofthousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.          |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear asthe proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whomCamden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood. |
| 2017/0414/P     | Rohan            | 2 Foley Street<br>W1W 6DL | 14/02/2017 21:28:52 | OBJ      |   |
| 2017/0414/P     | Rohan            | 2 Foley Street<br>W1W 6DL | 14/02/2017 21:29:07 | OBJ      |   |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:  | Consultees Addr:                     | Received:           | Comment: | Printed on: 15/02/2017 09:05:06  Response:   |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2017/0414/P     | Cassandra Lincoln | 3 Tottenham Street<br>London W1T 2AF | 14/02/2017 13:39:57 |          | I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:   |
|                 |                   |                                      |                     |          | - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.   |
|                 |                   |                                      |                     |          | - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.   |
|                 |                   |                                      |                     |          | - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.           |
|                 |                   |                                      |                     |          | - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.  |
|                 |                   |                                      |                     |          | - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!   |
|                 |                   |                                      |                     |          | FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood. |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr:          | Received:           | Comment: | Printed on: 15/02/2017 09:05:06  Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2017/0414/P     | Jennifer McGrath | 1 Foley Street<br>W1W 6DL | 14/02/2017 20:14:49 |          | writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:  |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.           |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.  |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood. |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr:          | Received:           | Comment: | Printed on: 15/02/2017 09:05:06  Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2017/0414/P     | Jo Williams      | 44 Cleveland St<br>London | 14/02/2017 14:42:03 | OBJ      | I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L. Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place of thousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.           |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.  |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear as the proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood. |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr:          | Received:           | Comment: | Printed on: 15/02/2017 09:05:06 <b>Response:</b>  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2017/0414/P     | Rebecca Woolston | 2 Foley Street<br>W1W 6DL | 14/02/2017 21:20:04 |          | I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L.Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.  |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place ofthousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated.   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.          |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear asthe proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!   |
|                 |                  |                           |                     |          | FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whomCamden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood. |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:   | Consultees Addr:   | Received:           | Comment:  | Printed on: 15/02/2017 09:05:06 <b>Response:</b>   |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|---------------------|---|--|
| • •             | Laura overy        | 2 Foley St<br>Use 2 Foley Street<br>W1W 6DL as your<br>address and<br>02076365619 as<br>your phone | 15/02/2017 08:28:45 | ОВЈ   | I am writing to oppose planning applications numbers 2017/0414/P and 2017/0415/L.Neither of these developments should be allowed to go ahead for these reasons:  |
|                 |                    |  |                     |   | - 38 affordable units is well below the policy-stipulated required number the owners were asked to provide. As this is a priority for Camden, we cannot accept to lose even one unit from what they are required to provide.   |
|                 | number.<br>W1w 6dl |  |                     | - There is a deep graveyard in the back of the building, yet the planning application glosses over it entirely. The proposed development will obliterate the resting place ofthousands of poor souls who have had their permanent resting place for centuries in the Workhouse ground! This should never be allowed. It would be another profoundly disrespectful act towards paupers, already so maltreated. |  |
|                 |                    |  |                     |   | - The proposed development seems to put cars above the importance of the graveyard: its deep basement and car park will effectively displace the dead. Camden does not need more private parking, and this area of Fitzrovia is already horribly congested as it is. No car park should be provided on the premises. This parking will dislodge thousands of burials: this is disrespectful, uncivil and should not be allowed; it does not represent the sensibility of our citizens or of our times.           |
|                 |                    |  |                     |   | - the proposed 8 floor development behind the Workhouse is totally disproportionate to the size of the listed building, which is less than half its size?? Such a jarring contrast should not be allowed as, by law, a listed building should be preserved in its environment. An 8 storey block more than twice the height of the Workhouse will loom over it, dwarf it, and overwhelm it.  |
|                 |                    |  |                     |   | - The proposed development deletes the fact that the workhouse building has always had two wings attached at the rear, even in the 18th century. To flatten it at the rear asthe proposal suggests is effectively a historical falsehood which should not be allowed. Similarly, images survive of the original front porch which should be reinstated. We have lost enough heritage in this country! It's time for it to stop!  |
|                 |                    |  |                     |   | FINALLY, it is disgraceful that the listed Workhouse could be transformed into luxury flats: it is a true abomination that what was once the only home for the poorest of the poor, will become more empty homes for the super rich. Camden should protect this building, especially in light of what it means for the history of the poor, for whom Camden Council is famous for proving help and support. Allowing the proposed development would mean a negation of values for which Camden has always stood. |