From:

**Sent:** 16 February 2017 17:09

To: Henry, Kate

Subject: Darlene 17/2/17 logged - CLEVELAND STREET WORKHOUSE - 2017/0415/L and

2017/0414/P

### Dear Kate Henry

I am writing in regard to 2017/0415/L and 2017/0414/P, relating to the Cleveland Street Warehouse and would urge that both applications should be refused.

The historic Workhouse should NOT be gutted for costly apartments for the rich and the remainder of the land should NOT be cleared for building as if it were any ordinary brownfield site - this site is part of London's rich historic heritage and embodies London's health care history since the 1770s.

The assemblage of buildings and the graveyard are like a time-capsule, sealed in 2006 when the hospital closed. There are also likely to be significant elements of industrial heritage, for example relating to the pin-making manufactory employing children there in the early 19th century.

The whole site, including the Workhouse and the subsidiary buildings on the site, deserves a full forensic archaeological investigation before any new plans are made for it.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Clarke

From: Rebecca King

 Sent:
 16 February 2017 17:20

 To:
 Henry, Kate

Subject: CLEVELAND STREET WORKHOUSE SITE

Dear Ms. Henry,

I am writing to express hope that your organization can find a way to preserve the historical and cultural treasures represented by the CLEVELAND STREET WORKHOUSE SITE. I am an American Victorianist and understand the importance of preserving cultural history, especially in the UK.

Best, Becky King Rebecca B. King, Associate Professor English Department Box 70 Middle Tennessee State University Murfreesboro, TN 37132

From: Daniel Albert

**Sent:** 16 February 2017 20:20

To: Henry, Kate

**Subject:** Cleveland Street Workhouse site

Dear Ms. Henry,

RE: Cleveland Street Workhouse site –BOTH applications should be rejected. The Workhouse has great historical significance and should be maintained!

Sincerely,

Daniel M. Albert, MD, MS Professor of Ophthalmology OHSU, Casey Eye Institute

#### **Confidentiality Notice follows:**

The information in this message (and the documents attached to it, if any) is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this message by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken, or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this message in error, please delete all electronic copies of this message (and the documents attached to it, if any), destroy any hard copies you may have created and notify me immediately by replying to this email. Thank you.

From: D

**Sent:** 16 February 2017 21:07

To: Henry, Kate

Subject: CLEVELAND STREET WORKHOUSE SITE

RE: Cleveland Street Workhouse site –BOTH applications should be rejected. Historic sites like this need to be preserved.

Dr Donald Blanchard MD

Clinical Associate Professor

Oregon Health Sciences and University

From: Robert Patten

**Sent:** 16 February 2017 22:12

To: Henry, Kate

Subject: Strand Union Workhouse / Middlesex Hospital Annexe, 44 Cleveland Street, London

W1

### Dear Kate Henry,

I am currently a Senior Research Fellow at the Institute of English Studies, School of Advanced Study, University of London, and have been a resident of the Borough of Camden many times. (I even have a granddaughter named Camden—her parents' choice, not mine.) I was the first Scholar in Residence at the Charles Dickens Museum during the Dickens bicentenary year, 2011-2012, and have published or will be publishing five books on Dickens with Oxford and Cambridge University Presses.

The idea of destroying the historical buildings associated so intimately with the formative years of Britain's greatest novelist must be a non-starter. Greed should never prevail over outstanding historical edifices. Moreover, to dig up a graveyard strikes me as the ultimate in disrespect for religion in general and those deceased in particular. It violates the very promise made when those grounds were consecrated in the eighteenth century.

These proposals are not in keeping with Britain's vital tradition of preserving memorials. It goes against everything the nation has accomplished in the way of curating its heritage for Camden Council to countenance such ruthless, uncaring, and in the case of the burial ground sacrilegious devastation.

Please stand up for England's enormously rich and moving history that brings so many to its shores.

Robert L. Patten, B.A., M. A., Ph.D.

Lynette S. Autrey Professor Emeritus in Humanities and Emeritus Professor of English, Rice University

From: Mills, Tim

**Sent:** 17 February 2017 07:42

To: Henry, Kate

**Subject:** Cleveland Street workhouse site

Dear Kate,

I am appalled that plans are afoot to redevelop the Cleveland Street workhouse site. The site constitutes an enormously important part of our cultural and economic history. It must be saved.

Yours

Dr Timothy Mills 45-46 Newman Street

W1T 1QF

From: Henry, Kate

**Sent:** 17 February 2017 13:31

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Cleveland Street Workhouse Sight.

Please log as objection to 2017/0414/P.

**Thanks** 

Kate Henry Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 0207 974 2521



From: Rai Hatter

**Sent:** 17 February 2017 13:30

To: Henry, Kate

Subject: Cleveland Street Workhouse Sight.

I ABSOLUTELY AGREE THAT THE CLEVELAND STREET WORKHOUSE SITE SHOULD NOT BE DEMOLISHED IN FAVOUR OF

REDEVELOPING THE SITE INTO LUXURY APARTMENTS.

WE MUST FIGHT TO RETAIN THE HISTORY OF OUR PRECIOUS LONDON.