



From: [Redacted]
Sent: 14 February 2017 08:09
To: Planning
Subject: FW: 2016/6495/P



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Madlani, Rishi (Councillor)
Sent: 13 February 2017 21:15
To: Planning and Public protection
Cc: Francis, Sabrina (Councillor); Skelli-Yaoz, Tania
Subject: REF: 2016/6495/P

Dear Planning Officers,

Regarding application: REF: 2016/6495/P.

There are a number of problems with this application. Firstly, overlooking - this applies in a number of instance including overlooking from the proposed rear second floor terrace into the kitchen of 1 Colville Place. The proposal states that barriers will be erected to enable maintenance of this space however, there can be little reason for such barriers if the real intention is not to use this as amenity space. Additionally, the proposed barriers create a distinct sense of enclosure into the kitchen of 1 Colville Place. In spite of the fact that this room would not be considered "a habitable room" at just 2m from the window the sense of inclosure and possible use of the amenity space would be excessive. Additional overlooking is likely into the top floor balcony space of 1 Colville Place. Again we have the same problem of barriers erected allegedly to permit maintenance but which may in fact allow amenity use. Again, connected to this, is the proposal for amenity space at the north part of the site - who is to regulate use of this space whereby noise and smoking may impact upon 1 Colville Place?

Secondly, the visual impact of barriers at the roof level of the proposed building is detrimental. Most flat roofed buildings in the area do not have such barriers because they are not in fact needed. In addition the top level floors proposed for the north part of the site impact detrimentally on the view of the Colville Place terrace (of houses). This terrace should be read right to the end of the Place including the proposal site. The existence of a roof extension on this building positioned at the north end of the site sits uncomfortably with

the reading of the complete terrace. The proposed building belongs to the terrace, not to Whitfield Street as such.

Please confirm receipt of this objection.

Kind regards,

Rishi & Sabrina - Bloomsbury ward councillors

Rishi Madlani
Labour Councillor for Bloomsbury Ward
Alongside Cllr Adam Harrison & Cllr Sabrina Francis
Chair - London Borough of Camden Pensions Committee

rishi.madlani@camden.gov.uk



Surgery details: <http://democracy.camden.gov.uk/mgUserInfo.aspx?UID=1850>

Sent from a mobile device - please excuse typos/shorthand