

*Sandra Wheen, on behalf of Chenies Mews Working Group, c/o 56 Gordon Mansions, Torrington Place,  
London WC1E 7HG*

To: Members of the Briefing Panel of Monday 13.02.17  
FAO: Robert Lester, Planning Officer

13 February 2017

Dear Robert Lester

### **Planning App: 2016/3701/P 69-75 Chenies Mews - Request that it go to Full Planning Committee**

I am writing on behalf of the Chenies Mews Working Group which promotes the interests of residents of Chenies Mews (including the Gordon Mansions flats which overlook the Mews). I would be grateful if this letter could be put before the Members' Briefing Panel of Monday 13.02.17, today.

We are concerned about the above Planning Application being passed in its present form without any further amendments. And so we would like to ask for it to be referred to the Full Planning Committee. The amendments we would like to request are:

1. **Request that it is a condition of this Permission that it should not be used as a precedent** for raising the height of other buildings in the Mews.
2. **Request for quiet in the Mews on Saturdays from 14.00 hours and not as in Draft Planning Permission** where Condition 7 permits air conditioning on Saturdays until 20.00 hours and Condition 9 permits deliveries and servicing until 18.00 hours.
3. **Request that the Travel Plan for visitors should not include Hospital Dispensations to Blue Badge Holders** that would enable them to park in Huntley Street or Chenies Mews. Residents are already getting squeezed out of parking (in Residents Parking Bays and on single yellow line) by blue badge holders with Dispensations visiting the Cancer Centre (some staying on in town) and we cannot afford to lose any more.
4. **Request for more thought to be given to the air conditioning screening.** (a) We think it is unacceptable that this large ugly plant will not be boxed in and will become noisy and an eyesore and difficult to repaint. (b) We are concerned that the screening is lowest on the South East side which faces into Chenies Mews (see Members Briefing 3.10 and 4.5). It seems that the noise will be directed southwards and upwards so as to affect the houses in Chenies Mews and the higher flats in Gordon Mansions.

We would also like to say that we found the planning process flawed in the following ways:

1. **No notice of Second Consultation.** Those who had commented at the first consultation were not contacted to let them know there was a second consultation. We only knew because of the street notice.
2. **No Construction Management Plan.** Work began on site in September. It is now February and the work is due to end in March and to my knowledge there has been no Construction Management Plan.
3. **Inaccuracies noticed in Members Briefing.**  
**4.4 lists 86 Chenies Mews among 'other residential properties'.** 86 Chenies Mews is non-residential. 68-84 Chenies Mews is the residential. 84 is nearest to 69-75 and is flats, housing 8 adults and 5 young children.  
**5.2 Suggests that the footway runs the full length of the residential.** This is incorrect. There is no footpath in front of the residential at 80-84 Chenies Mews.

Many thanks. Yours sincerely

Sandra Wheen  
On behalf of Chenies Mews Working Group (Residents)