

Ref: 2016/4959/P.

Flat 2, 122^B Findley Road,
Hampstead,
London. NW3 5HT.
7. 2. '17.

Dear Mr. Hoze,

Thank you for allowing me to hand deliver this objection. The world of email is difficult for me.

1. The plans are incorrect. There is a door to the left of the glass shop frontage, which was created by the applicant about two years ago, without, to my knowledge, planning permission. Further, the position of the door, being on the gable end of the building, (and the removal of a 16 inch thick, weight-bearing wall in order to create it), has substantially weakened both the structure and stability of the whole building. This is causing the building to rattle and shake every time traffic passes ie 24/7.

Points: no planning permission; no declaration of its existence on the plans and no enforcement. In fact, I'm told that the applicant has failed to comply with several building regulations since he started his refurbishment in Jan 2013. It begs the question why Camden keeps granting him planning permission?

2. The dustbin area. The proposed new position is inappropriate and inaccessible. The bin area was behind the right garden gate (on the plan) onto the driveway. Both the residents and the dustbin men have expressed the view that it is logistically unmanageable in its proposed new position. It needs to face onto the driveway.

3. Adverse impact. All five flats will be adversely impacted by this (those at the back will effectively

be unable to open their windows because of the smells from the restaurant. (b) The increase in noise — there is only traffic hum at present — will substantially and negatively affect all five flats. Such disturbance to my residential amenity is unacceptable. (c) Lastly, there will be an increased security risk to me from "inquisitive footfall" up the open driveway. As it is, people don't really know we exist; this can be corroborated by the fact that all new postmen have to be told where we are.

Considering my windows are open constantly, this possible risk is only feet away from me eg. 8ft to the base of my kitchen window; 12ft to my bedroom window. Further, the new flat roof of the extension will only be a few feet from Flat 1's kitchen window and the common parts landing.

4. Lastly, any further drain on the water pressure to the building (as would certainly be caused by a restaurant AND a beauty salon), would completely negate my, Flat 2's, Water Supply! As it is, I am last on the "122A, Flat 1, Flat 3, Flat 4, then Flat 2" system. By the applicants own admission, 122A has managed just with the salon, to block the drains on a SIX monthly basis (on average) and since the salon opened, I have reduced water pressure as it is.

In all, I feel the whole application requires review. A salon or a restaurant. Both is overbearing commercial use of a predominantly residential building.

Yours sincerely,

Mary Nally.