

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/6918/P	Josephine Gordon	29 Goldhurst Terrace NW6 3HB NW6 3HB	08/02/2017 17:09:03	COMMCO MPAP	<p>I write to oppose the application.</p> <p>It is entirely out of keeping with the rear aspect of the terrace - which is, of course, in a conservation area.</p> <p>The application explains that it will match the extension at number 25. The extension that currently exists at 25 is entirely out of keeping with the back of the other houses on the terrace and it is extremely surprising that this was ever allowed. It would certainly never pass under today's planning restrictions. I do not believe that it should now be considered to set a standard for permissible encroachment onto green-space along the rear of this run of properties.</p> <p>The proposed design will transform, in an ugly way, the rear of the terrace and seems precisely the sort of application planning and conservation regulations are designed to restrict.</p>
2016/6918/P	Jeremy Gordon	29 goldhurst terrace london NW6 3HB NW6 3HB	08/02/2017 15:03:55	OBJ	<p>I write to oppose the application.</p> <p>It is entirely out of keeping with the rear aspect of the terrace - which is, of course, in a conservation area.</p> <p>The application notes the extension at number 25. That is a carbuncle and should not be considered to set a standard for permissible encroachment onto green-space along the rear of this run of properties.</p> <p>The proposed design will transform, in an ugly way, the rear of the terrace and seems precisely the sort of application planning and conservation regulations are designed to restrict.</p>