

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0164/P	SARAH LIEBERSON	11 ST MARKS CRESCENT LONDON NW1 7TS	08/02/2017 00:01:26	OBJNOT	<p>We have lived at No. 11 St Mark's Crescent for over 33 years. Our neighbours at No. 12 have submitted a planning application proposing to reconstruct their existing single story rear extension extending further into the garden?The planning application also proposes significant alterations to the existing glazing over the front basement area.</p> <p>We wish to register our strong objection to both of these proposals for the following reasons -</p> <p>Loss of daylight and privacy arising from the reconstruction of the rear extension extending at a greater depth into the garden</p> <p>The existing single story extension (which was built with no planning permission) is admittedly unattractive and out of character with the original design of these Victorian buildings. The existing extension already reduces our source of light and sense of privacy. Persons standing on the balcony of the extension can look backwards into our ground floor rear study room, which is used daily as an office by my husband.</p> <p>The current extension extends approximately 2.3 m from the main wall of the building into the garden. The railings to the terrace above extend a similar 2.3 m from the rear of the building.</p> <p>The proposal is to demolish the existing extension and to reconstruct a single story extension (extending a further approximately 1.3 m into the rear garden area than the original 2.3 m of the existing extension.</p> <p>The railings to the terrace above will extend a further 300 mm approximately than the existing position of the railings.</p> <p>We have been in communication with the owners of No. 12 and appreciate that the height of the extension (as previously proposed) has now been lowered (by reducing the internal floor level) so that the vertical height of the extension is approximately the same as the existing. This is appreciated. Similarly it is appreciated that the increased depth of the proposed extension (approximately 1.3 m) has been 'set back' from the party garden wall line by approximately 600 mm .</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0164/P	sarah lieberson	11 ST MARKS CRESCENT LONDON NW1 7TS	07/02/2017 18:28:01	OBJNOT	<p>We have lived at No. 11 St Mark's Crescent for over 33 years. Our neighbours at No. 12 have submitted a planning application proposing to reconstruct their existing single story rear extension extending further into the garden?The planning application also proposes significant alterations to the existing glazing over the front basement area .</p> <p>We wish to register our strong objection to both of these proposals for the following reasons -</p> <p>Loss of daylight and privacy arising from the reconstruction of the rear extension extending at a greater depth into the garden</p> <p>The existing single story extension (which was built with no planning permission) is admittedly unattractive and out of character with the original design of these Victorian buildings. The existing extension already reduces our source of light and sense of privacy. Persons standing on the balcony of the extension can look backwards into our ground floor rear study room, which is used daily as an office by my husband.</p> <p>The current extension extends approximately 2.3 m from the main wall of the building into the garden. The railings to the terrace above extend a similar 2.3 m from the rear of the building.</p> <p>The proposal is to demolish the existing extension and to reconstruct a single story extension (extending a further approximately 1.3 m into the rear garden area than the original 2.3 m of the existing extension.</p> <p>The railings to the terrace above will extend a further 300 mm approximately than the existing position of the railings.</p> <p>We have been in communication with the owners of No. 12 and appreciate that the height of the extension (as previously proposed) has now been lowered (by reducing the internal floor level) so that the vertical height of the extension is approximately the same as the existing. This is appreciated. Similarly it is appreciated that the increased depth of the proposed extension (approximately 1.3 m) has been 'set back' from the party garden wall line by approximately 600 mm</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0164/P	sarah lieberson	11 ST MARKS CRESCENT LONDON NW1 7TS	07/02/2017 18:55:21	OBJNOT	<p>We have lived at No. 11 St Mark's Crescent for over 33 years. Our neighbours at No. 12 have submitted a planning application proposing to reconstruct their existing single story rear extension extending further into the garden?The planning application also proposes significant alterations to the existing glazing over the front basement area.</p> <p>We wish to register our strong objection to both of these proposals for the following reasons -</p> <p>Loss of daylight and privacy arising from the reconstruction of the rear extension extending at a greater depth into the garden</p> <p>The existing single story extension (which was built with no planning permission) is admittedly unattractive and out of character with the original design of these Victorian buildings. The existing extension already reduces our source of light and sense of privacy. Persons standing on the balcony of the extension can look backwards into our ground floor rear study room, which is used daily as an office by my husband.</p> <p>The current extension extends approximately 2.3 m from the main wall of the building into the garden. The railings to the terrace above extend a similar 2.3 m from the rear of the building.</p> <p>The proposal is to demolish the existing extension and to reconstruct a single story extension (extending a further approximately 1.3 m into the rear garden area than the original 2.3 m of the existing extension.</p> <p>The railings to the terrace above will extend a further 300 mm approximately than the existing position of the railings.</p> <p>We have been in communication with the owners of No. 12 and appreciate that the height of the extension (as previously proposed) has now been lowered (by reducing the internal floor level) so that the vertical height of the extension is approximately the same as the existing. This is appreciated. Similarly it is appreciated that the increased depth of the proposed extension (approximately 1.3 m) has been 'set back' from the party garden wall line by approximately 600 mm .</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0164/P	sarah lieberson	11 ST MARKS CRESCENT LONDON NW1 7TS	07/02/2017 18:30:45	OBJNOT	<p>We have lived at No. 11 St Mark's Crescent for over 33 years.</p> <p>Our neighbours at No. 12 have submitted a planning application proposing to reconstruct their existing single story rear extension extending further into the garden?The planning application also proposes significant alterations to the existing glazing over the front basement area .</p> <p>We wish to register our strong objection to both of these proposals for the following reasons -</p> <p>Loss of daylight and privacy arising from the reconstruction of the rear extension extending at a greater depth into the garden</p> <p>The existing single story extension (which was built with no planning permission) is admittedly unattractive and out of character with the original design of these Victorian buildings. The existing extension already reduces our source of light and sense of privacy. Persons standing on the balcony of the extension can look backwards into our ground floor rear study room, which is used daily as an office by my husband.</p> <p>The current extension extends approximately 2.3 m from the main wall of the building into the garden. The railings to the terrace above extend a similar 2.3 m from the rear of the building.</p> <p>The proposal is to demolish the existing extension and to reconstruct a single story extension (extending a further approximately 1.3 m into the rear garden area than the original 2.3 m of the existing extension.</p> <p>The railings to the terrace above will extend a further 300 mm approximately than the existing position of the railings.</p> <p>We have been in communication with the owners of No. 12 and appreciate that the height of the extension (as previously proposed) has now been lowered (by reducing the internal floor level) so that the vertical height of the extension is approximately the same as the existing. This is appreciated.</p> <p>Similarly it is appreciated that the increased depth of the proposed extension (approximately 1.3 m) has been 'set back' from the party garden wall line by approximately 600 mm</p>
2017/0164/P	sarah lieberson	11 ST MARKS CRESCENT LONDON NW1 7TS	07/02/2017 18:31:09	OBJNOT	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0164/P	SARAH LIEBERSON	11 ST MARKS CRESCENT LONDON NW1 7TS	08/02/2017 12:01:10	OBJNOT	<p>We have lived at No. 11 St Mark's Crescent for over 33 years.</p> <p>Our neighbours at No. 12 have submitted a planning application proposing to reconstruct their existing single story rear extension extending further into the garden?The planning application also proposes significant alterations to the existing glazing over the front basement area.</p> <p>We wish to register our strong objection to both of these proposals for the following reasons -</p> <p>Loss of daylight and privacy arising from the reconstruction of the rear extension extending at a greater depth into the garden</p> <p>The existing single story extension (which was built with no planning permission) is admittedly unattractive and out of character with the original design of these Victorian buildings. The existing extension already reduces our source of light and sense of privacy. Persons standing on the balcony of the extension can look backwards into our ground floor rear study room, which is used daily as an office by my husband.</p> <p>The current extension extends approximately 2.3 m from the main wall of the building into the garden. The railings to the terrace above extend a similar 2.3 m from the rear of the building.</p> <p>The proposal is to demolish the existing extension and to reconstruct a single story extension (extending a further approximately 1.3 m into the rear garden area than the original 2.3 m of the existing extension.</p> <p>The railings to the terrace above will extend a further 300 mm approximately than the existing position of the railings.</p> <p>We have been in communication with the owners of No. 12 and appreciate that the height of the extension (as previously proposed) has now been lowered (by reducing the internal floor level) so that the vertical height of the extension is approximately the same as the existing. This is appreciated.</p> <p>Similarly it is appreciated that the increased depth of the proposed extension (approximately 1.3 m) has been 'set back' from the party garden wall line by approximately 600 mm .</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0164/P	sarah and sandy lieberson	11 st marks crescent,london nw1 7TS	07/02/2017 18:13:27	OBJ	<p>We have lived at No. 11 St Mark's Crescent for over 33 years.</p> <p>Our neighbours at No. 12 have submitted a planning application proposing to reconstruct their existing single story rear extension extending further into the garden?The planning application also proposes significant alterations to the existing glazing over the front basement area .</p> <p>We wish to register our strong objection to both of these proposals for the following reasons -</p> <p>Loss of daylight and privacy arising from the reconstruction of the rear extension extending at a greater depth into the garden</p> <p>The existing single story extension (which was built with no planning permission) is admittedly unattractive and out of character with the original design of these Victorian buildings. The existing extension already reduces our source of light and sense of privacy. Persons standing on the balcony of the extension can look backwards into our ground floor rear study room, which is used daily as an office by my husband.</p> <p>The current extension extends approximately 2.3 m from the main wall of the building into the garden. The railings to the terrace above extend a similar 2.3 m from the rear of the building.</p> <p>The proposal is to demolish the existing extension and to reconstruct a single story extension (extending a further approximately 1.3 m into the rear garden area than the original 2.3 m of the existing extension.</p> <p>The railings to the terrace above will extend a further 300 mm approximately than the existing position of the railings.</p> <p>We have been in communication with the owners of No. 12 and appreciate that the height of the extension (as previously proposed) has now been lowered (by reducing the internal floor level) so that the vertical height of the extension is approximately the same as the existing. This is appreciated. Similarly it is appreciated that the increased depth of the proposed extension (approximately 1.3 m) has been 'set back' from the party garden wall line by approximately 600 mm</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0164/P	SARAH LIEBERSON	11 ST MARKS CRESCENT LONDON NW1 7TS	08/02/2017 11:56:12	OBJNOT	<p>We have lived at No. 11 St Mark's Crescent for over 33 years.</p> <p>Our neighbours at No. 12 have submitted a planning application proposing to reconstruct their existing single story rear extension extending further into the garden?The planning application also proposes significant alterations to the existing glazing over the front basement area.</p> <p>We wish to register our strong objection to both of these proposals for the following reasons -</p> <p>Loss of daylight and privacy arising from the reconstruction of the rear extension extending at a greater depth into the garden</p> <p>The existing single story extension (which was built with no planning permission) is admittedly unattractive and out of character with the original design of these Victorian buildings. The existing extension already reduces our source of light and sense of privacy. Persons standing on the balcony of the extension can look backwards into our ground floor rear study room, which is used daily as an office by my husband.</p> <p>The current extension extends approximately 2.3 m from the main wall of the building into the garden. The railings to the terrace above extend a similar 2.3 m from the rear of the building.</p> <p>The proposal is to demolish the existing extension and to reconstruct a single story extension (extending a further approximately 1.3 m into the rear garden area than the original 2.3 m of the existing extension.</p> <p>The railings to the terrace above will extend a further 300 mm approximately than the existing position of the railings.</p> <p>We have been in communication with the owners of No. 12 and appreciate that the height of the extension (as previously proposed) has now been lowered (by reducing the internal floor level) so that the vertical height of the extension is approximately the same as the existing. This is appreciated.</p> <p>Similarly it is appreciated that the increased depth of the proposed extension (approximately 1.3 m) has been 'set back' from the party garden wall line by approximately 600 mm .</p>
2017/0164/P	SARAH LIEBERSON	11 ST MARKS CRESCENT LONDON NW1 7TS	08/02/2017 11:58:59	OBJNOT	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0164/P	sarah and sandy lieberson	11 ST MARKS CRESCENT london NW1 7TS	07/02/2017 18:19:49	OBJNOT	<p>We have lived at No. 11 St Mark's Crescent for over 33 years.</p> <p>Our neighbours at No. 12 have submitted a planning application proposing to reconstruct their existing single story rear extension extending further into the garden?The planning application also proposes significant alterations to the existing glazing over the front basement area .</p> <p>We wish to register our strong objection to both of these proposals for the following reasons -</p> <p>Loss of daylight and privacy arising from the reconstruction of the rear extension extending at a greater depth into the garden</p> <p>The existing single story extension (which was built with no planning permission) is admittedly unattractive and out of character with the original design of these Victorian buildings. The existing extension already reduces our source of light and sense of privacy. Persons standing on the balcony of the extension can look backwards into our ground floor rear study room, which is used daily as an office by my husband.</p> <p>The current extension extends approximately 2.3 m from the main wall of the building into the garden. The railings to the terrace above extend a similar 2.3 m from the rear of the building.</p> <p>The proposal is to demolish the existing extension and to reconstruct a single story extension (extending a further approximately 1.3 m into the rear garden area than the original 2.3 m of the existing extension.</p> <p>The railings to the terrace above will extend a further 300 mm approximately than the existing position of the railings.</p> <p>We have been in communication with the owners of No. 12 and appreciate that the height of the extension (as previously proposed) has now been lowered (by reducing the internal floor level) so that the vertical height of the extension is approximately the same as the existing. This is appreciated.</p> <p>Similarly it is appreciated that the increased depth of the proposed extension (approximately 1.3 m) has been 'set back' from the party garden wall line by approximately 600 mm</p>
2017/0164/P	sarah and sandy lieberson	11 st marks crescent,london nw1 7TS	07/02/2017 18:13:00	OBJ	