FLAT 7, BURGHLEY COURT, 16-18 INGESTER ROAD, LONDON NW5 1UF

7th February 2017

Ms Laura Hazelton London Borough of Camden Planning Services Judd Street Kings Cross London WC1H 9J

Dear Ms Hazelton

PROPOSED ADDITIONAL STOREY AT BURGHLEY COURT, 16-18 INGESTRE ROAD LONDON NW5 1UF – REFERENCE NUMBER 2016/7054P

I write as the joint owner (with my wife Sue Fyvel) of a top-floor flat in Burghley Court to submit my objections to the proposed development.

Although the Government is committed to building more homes, the priority in London is now for building more homes to rent. Although the developers have not made their intentions clear, we are assuming that the new flats will be for sale.

The height of the existing building in line with the height of the adjacent houses in Burghley Road and this will have been viewed favourably by the Council when the original planning permission was granted. If this had not been the case, planning permission would probably have been refused.

The addition of a further floor will cast a shadow on the maisonettes in Wardlow, which is adjacent to Burghley Court.

The granting of planning permission for the proposed development would set a dangerous precedent for applications to extend other properties in the area by building an additional floor.

There is already insufficient storage space in the building for bicycles. There is no space for storing bicycles in the narrow stairwell or in the shed containing refuse bins and gas meters to the right of the building. As a result, several occupants have to keep their bicycles in their flats. The addition of two more flats is bound to make this unsatisfactory situation worse.

We note that the plans and drawings we have seen do not appear to take into account the skylights in the ceiling of our flat. The proposed development will necessitate the removal of these skylights, the filling in of the large cavities beneath them, extensive renovations to the ceiling and considerable redecoration. We would like some assurances that, if the development does go ahead, we will not be required to vacate the flat and that all the work referred to will be carried out

Yours sincerely

Michael Adler