Dike, Darlene

Dear Sir/Madam

As a resident of a neighbouring property I wish to raise the following
additional objection to the planning application for 156 West End Lane
ref: 2015/6455/P

At point 13.26 of the planning officer's report it is stated that
'Windows 258 and 259 serve a bathroom' and indicates that the windows
fail the BRE minimums for sunlight and daylight.

In fact, as the planning officer is aware as he has visited my property,
these windows also serve to light my kitchen via glass panels above the
door between the kitchen and bathroom. Yet he fails to mention this in
the report.

My home as with many others on Lymington Road have been built and
designed on the basis that there has never been any development on the
small piece of land to the south restricting the daylight and sunlight
which we receive. This has resulted in some rooms within properties
receiving light from glass panels above doors. This feature is not noted
by the developer due to ignoring the pre-application advice given by
Camden's planners to visit all homes on Lymington Road.

Nor is the passive solar gain that all homes receive, especially during
the winter months, considered for neighbouring properties although it is
noticeably a feature considered for 'within development'. As noted
within the Camden Council document "Energy Efficiency Planning for
Conservation Areas", "passive energy efficiency measures at the top of
the energy hierarchy tend also to have the best carbon cost
effectiveness" and the proposed development would eradicate this key
principle of green energy efficiency.

This development will have the effect of my home requiring the increased
use of electric lighting and heating, thus increasing my utility bills
whilst decreasing my passive energy efficiency.

Yours Sincerely

Ian Ferrie

14 a Lymington RD

South Side Lymington Road Resident since 1961