

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/7054/P	philip matthewman	82 Burghley Road kentish town london nw5 1un	27/01/2017 21:41:48	OBJLETTE R	<p>25th Jan 2017</p> <p>Re: Planning Application: 2016/7054/P Previous Application 2003/3420/P</p> <p>Additional 5th storey to Burghley Court</p> <p>Dear Laura Hazelton / Camden Planning,</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposed addition of a 5th floor to Burghley Court.</p> <p>I was living here at 82 Burghley Road when the original development was proposed in 2003. At that time, I strongly objected to the visual impact of the building as seen from Burghley Road. The proposed height was such as to significantly disturb the outlook from our house up Ingestre Road towards Highgate. I recall the plans were amended to reduce the visual impact. The final result was satisfactory, in that the 4 storey development was eventually sympathetic to the height of the three storey victorian houses it adjoins.</p> <p>We are now faced with having to fight the same battle again.</p> <p>The latest proposal, to add another floor to the block, will make it a full 5 stories high at the rear. Even though the slope of the land partially conceals the lower ground floor at the front, the proposed additional storey will make the block quite out of proportion to the surrounding Victorian terraces. I will endeavour to attach photos to this email to demonstrate the visual impact of the development as it is already; the additional floor will make it far worse. The proposed front elevation is way higher than the eaves of the nearby houses, and comes level with the tops of their roofs.</p> <p>The loss of light and privacy, where numerous properties are overlooked , esp 85-91, is already difficult, and will be made much worse. The council blocks of 88-98 and Wardlow will suffer significantly, and the “Daylight Sunlight” report that the developer has commissioned simply sets arbitrary levels of “acceptable” loss of sunlight, when none is acceptable in these circumstances , when so much has been lost already.</p> <p>I cannot see that any modifications to the proposed additional floor would make it any more acceptable, and I therefore ask the Council to reject this application, and resist any appeal that may follow. I am willing to attend a public meeting to make a statement to this effect.</p> <p>NB – It is a legal requirement that a notice be placed on the street adjacent to the proposed development; there is no such notice outside or anywhere at all that I can see, at the time of writing. (25th Jan)</p> <p>NB – The junction of Ingestre Road and Burghley Road is an annual gathering spot for migrating swifts, which many residents have enjoyed watching , as they circle around on a summers evening. This flight pattern will inevitably be disturbed by increasing the height of Burghley Court to 5 stories.</p> <p>NB - Burghley Court was supposed to be a car-free development, however this is difficult to enforce, and additional vehicles have already added to the difficulty in parking for Burghley Road residents.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely Dr Philip Matthewman</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/7054/P	Shirley Skrentny	84 Burghley Rd London NW5 1UN	25/01/2017 17:15:11	OBJ	Think it will block light from neighbours on Burthley Road
