



You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From: Penelope Davis [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 January 2017 14:02
To: Craig, Tessa
Subject: 2015/6734/P: 10 Clorane Gardens objection: follow-up comment

Following the recently submitted revised arboricultural assessment, I would like to add a further objection to the felling of trees proposed in this application.

Eucalyptus Tree

The report and survey which has been conducted by Kyson on behalf of the developers does not justify the felling of this tree, which provides visual amenity to neighbours. The report suggests that

The impact of the development (above and below ground) does not automatically require the removal of T1

Instead the reasons for removal are given as the tree will continue to grow and will die within 20 years. If this is accepted as a justification for felling a tree all the trees within the Redington and Froggnal Conservation Area will be vulnerable to being felled.

Retaining the Eucalyptus tree is important both to the water environment (Eucalyptus are often used to drain water from soil) and to biodiversity (bats, bees and other insects). The tree will be absorbing noise from the Finchley Road, one of the most congested and busiest roads in London. It is unlikely that the developers will replace the tree with one of equal maturity and bio-diversity value or one which will have such a beneficial impact on the hydrology of the site.

Plum, Apple and Unidentified Dead Trees

These trees should also be retained. The dead trees in particular have high bio-diversity value this will not be replicated by immature replacement trees.

Please refer this application to the Tree Officers. The current proposals should be refused.

Yours sincerely

Penny Davis
29 Briardale Gardens
London NW3 7PN