Delegated Report		Analysis s	sheet	Expiry Date: 12/01/2017			
		N/A / attac		Consultation Expiry Date:			
Officer Nick Bell		Application No 2016/6317/T	umber(s)				
Application Address 6 Hampstead Hill Garde London NW3 2PL	ns		Drawing Numl	oers			
PO 3/4 Area Tea	m Signature	C&UD	Authorised Of	ficer Signa	ature		
Proposal(s)							
(TPO REF 12H) FRONT GARDEN: 1 x Hornbeam - Remove							
Recommendation(s):							
Application Type: Applicatio		on for Works to Tree(s) covered by a TPO					
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:		raft Decision Notice					
Informatives:							
Consultations							
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	06	No. of responses		o. of objections	00	
Summary of consultation responses:	None receiv	ved	No. electronic	00			
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	None receiv	ved					

## **Site Description**

## **Relevant History**

TPO app. ref. 2015/3497/T (4B Hampstead hill Gardens, London NW3 2PL)

## **Relevant policies**

**LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies** 

## **Assessment**

The application is for the removal of an early mature hornbeam tree growing within the front garden of a residential property within the Hampstead Conservation Area. The tree appears to be in good condition both structurally and physiologically and is considered to provide a high level of visual amenity to the public. The tree is subject to tree preservation order ref. H12-T96 served in 1957.

The documents submitted with the application seek to implicate the application tree in ongoing vegetation-related property damage at no. 4B Hampstead Hill Gardens, a neighbouring property.

In 2015, an application was submitted to remove a similar companion hornbeam tree located within the front garden of no. 4B Hampstead Hill Gardens, ref. 2015/3497/T. The application was refused, the applicant then appealed the decision and the appeal was allowed. This tree was removed in April 2016.

Similar documents were submitted with this application to implicate the application tree in the property damage as were submitted with the appealed application. Further monitoring has been carried out until 07/10/2016 and this data has been included with the application. Addendums to the report were also submitted.

The application tree is approx. 3 metres from the previously removed tree and is larger in height, spread and stem diameter which results in a similar potential zone of influence in terms of soil moisture levels.

Live hornbeam roots were found in trail pit 1, close to the application tree and the previously removed tree. Given that the application tree and the previously removed tree are of the same species and were within 3 metres of each other, on the balance of probability it is likely roots of both trees were actively growing in this location and affecting soil moisture levels.

The documents submitted with the application are considered to demonstrate that the soil is highly shrinkable and is desiccated. The level monitoring was continued after the hornbeam tree at no. 4B Hampstead Hill Gardens was removed in April 2016. The pattern of movement since then is consistent with that of vegetation related movement. This is considered to demonstrate that the application tree is responsible for the continued movement and property damage.

While it is considered that the application tree provides a high level of visual amenity it is also considered that the application tree is a cause of the movement and therefore the property damage.				
It is recommended that the application is approved and a replacement tree secured via a replanting condition.				