Basement Impact Assessment AUDIT: Instruction Section A (Site Summary) – to be completed by Case Officer Date of audit 05/10/2016

Case officer contact details:	Charlotte Meynell	Date of audit request:	05/12/2016		
Camden Reference:	2016/5846/P	Statutory consultation end date:	30/12/2016		
Site Address:	Flat A, 5 Mornington Terrace, London, NW1 7RR				
Reason for Audit:	Planning application	Basement Extension			
Proposal description Extension of existing lower ground floor re and reconfiguration of	basement to rear and ar extension. Alterati	ons to lower grou			
Relevant planning b No planning applicati					
Do the basement prop building or does the s listed buildings?		No			
		Slope stability	Yes		
Is the site in an area of constraints? (check site constraints	Surface Water and flooding	No No			
		Subterranean (groundwater)	low No		
Does the application to by Development Con accordance fall the Te	trol Committee in	No	·		
	No/Does the scope of the submitted BIA extend beyond the screening stage?		No		

Recommendations for approval of certain types of application require determination by Development Control Committee (DCC). From time to time applications which would normally be determined by officers under delegated authority are referred by the Director of Culture and Environment to DCC for decision. Where the Auditor makes representations at DCC on behalf of an application the fees for attendance will be passed to the applicant.

	Section B: BIA components for Audit (t		
Item	s provided for Basement Impact Assessmen	t (BIA)	,1
Item provided		Yes/ No/ NA ²	Name of BIA document/appendix in which information is contained.
1	Description of proposed development.	Yes	Basement Impact Assessment pg.3
2	Plan showing boundary of development including any land required temporarily during construction.	Yes/ NA	Planning Drawings – no additional land is required
3	Plans, maps and or photographs to show location of basement relative to surrounding structures.	Yes	Planning Drawings
4	Plans, maps and or photographs to show topography of surrounding area with any nearby watercourses/waterbodies including consideration of the relevant maps in the Strategic FRA by URS (2014)		No nearby watercourses – not relevant site constraint
5	Plans and sections to show foundation details of adjacent structures.	Yes	BIA Drawings
6	Plans and sections to show layout and dimensions of proposed basement.	Yes	Planning drawings
7	Programme for enabling works, construction and restoration.	Yes	BIA Drawings
8	Identification of potential risks to land stability (including surrounding structures and infrastructure), and surface and groundwater flooding.	Yes	Ground conditions identified by BGS borehole – BIA page 4
9	Assessment of impact of potential risks on neighbouring properties and surface and groundwater.	No/ Na	Surface Water flow and flooding/ Subterranean (groundwater) flow not identified as issue
10	Identification of significant adverse impacts.	No	
11	Evidence of consultation with neighbours.	No	
12	Ground Investigation Report and Conceptual Site Model including - Desktop study - exploratory hole records - results from monitoring the local groundwater regime - confirmation of baseline conditions - factual site investigation report	No	
13	Ground Movement Assessment (GMA).		
14	Plans, drawings, reports to show extent of	No	

	affect	ed area.		
15	Specific mitigation measures to reduce, avoid or offset significant adverse impacts.		Yes	BIA page 7/8
16	Construction Sequence Methodology (CSM) referring to site investigation and containing basement, floor and roof plans, sections (all views), sequence of construction and temporary works.		Yes	BIA drawings
17	construction.		Yes	Basement Impact Assessment pg. 12
18	identi	rmatory and reasoned statement fying likely damage to nearby rties according to Burland Scale	No	
19	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties will be maintained (by reference to BIA, Ground Movement Assessment and Construction Sequence Methodology), including consideration of cumulative effects.		Yes	Basement Impact Assessment pg. 12
20	suppo advers no dai refere CSM)	rmatory and reasoned statement with rting evidence that there will be no se effects on drainage or run-off and mage to the water environment (by nee to ground investigation, BIA and including consideration of ative effects.	Yes	BIA
21		fication of areas that require further igation.	No	
22	Non-technical summary for each stage of BIA.		Yes	BIA
Add Aud		BIA components (added during		
Item prov	ı	Yes/No/NA ²		Comment

Notes:

¹ NB DP27 also requires consideration of architectural character, impacts on archaeology, amenity and other matters which are not covered by this checklist.

² Where response is 'no' or 'NA', an explanation is required in the Comment section.

Section C: Audit proposal (to be completed by the Auditor)

Categorisation (A/B/C) and costs (£ ex VAT)	for initial report	for completion of Initial Report)
,		
Category B - £3,045	Approx 4 weeks from instruction	Additional fees may be required for site attendance reviewing revised/resubmitted documentation reviewing third part consultation comment attending DCC.

Note: Where changes to the fee categorisation are required during the audit process, this will require an update to the above table, with justification provided by the auditor. These changes shall be agreed with the planning officer and the applicant, in writing before the work is undertaken.

Section D: Audit Agreement (to be completed by Applicant)
For data protection reasons this section should NOT be published on the Public website.

