



Dear Mr Remmington,

Attached are the final 4 out of 9 photos. I'm hoping that anyone looking at these photos can see that I have done everything possible to look after this garden and also that Genesis couldn't care less about it. Somebody is clearly intent on making a profit out of literally destroying as much nature as possible in the process. Profit is listed and obscured several times in the works schedule from the long dissolved architects firm. For me, knowing Genesis, it's easy to understand why they couldn't care less about any tree, protected or not. Accommodating for the tree might eat into somebody's profit, but loss of profit does not make the tree dangerous to anyone other than those few who want this profit in their pocket.

Christopher G.P Grey, a highly qualified Chartered Engineer BEng CEng MStructE, MIEI offered a friendly suggestion over the phone to Genesis' engineer to possibly spend some of the profit on measures to preserve the tree. His goodwill and expertise was rejected outright. So were sincere professional suggestions by Marcel Maag, my neighbour from 24 Hilltop Rd. which he made very recently to Genesis' surveyor Nigel O'Doherty. Mr Maag is a seasoned architect who has closely inspected the tree and the wall just a few weeks ago.

Genesis' surveyor Nigel O' Doherty has only inspected the wall from my garden during a short visit 3 years ago. As far as I'm aware Genesis engineer has never been in the garden of 22 Hilltop Rd.

It would be a very sad day if Camden Council allowed profit motives or vaguely substantiated threats of financial penalties to override reason and the wishes of the local community who greatly appreciate the tremendous amenity value the tree provides 365 days a year.

Yours faithfully

Roland Grimm

On Friday, 6 January 2017, 4:49, Rol <rolg1@btinternet.com> wrote:

Dear Mr Remmington,

Attached are the final 4 out of 9 photos. I'm hoping that anyone looking at these photos can see that I have done everything possible to look after this garden and also that Genesis couldn't care less about it. Somebody is clearly intent on making a profit out of literally destroying as much nature as possible in the process. Profit is listed and obscured several times in the works schedule from the long dissolved architects firm. For me, knowing Genesis, it's easy to understand why they couldn't care less about any tree, protected or not. Accommodating for the tree might eat into somebody's profit, but loss of profit does not make the tree dangerous to anyone other than those few who want this profit in their pocket.

Christopher G.P Grey, a highly qualified Chartered Engineer BEng CEng MStructE, MIEI offered a friendly suggestion over the phone to Genesis' engineer to possibly spend some of the profit on measures to preserve the tree. His goodwill and expertise was rejected outright. So were sincere professional suggestions by Marcel Maag, my neighbour from 24 Hilltop Rd. which he made very recently to Genesis' surveyor Nigel O'Doherty. Mr Maag is a seasoned architect who has closely inspected the tree and the wall just a few weeks ago.

Genesis' surveyor Nigel O' Doherty has only inspected the wall from my garden during a short visit 3 years ago. As far as I'm aware Genesis engineer has never been in the garden of 22 Hilltop Rd.

It would be a very sad day if Camden Council allowed profit motives or vaguely substantiated threats of financial penalties to override reason and the wishes of the local community who greatly appreciate the tremendous amenity value the tree provides 365 days a year.

Yours faithfully

Roland Grimm

**22 Hilltop Rd Garden as it was handed over
by PCHA/Genesis**



**22 Hilltop Rd Garden:
One of the many huge
lumps of concrete
inherited
from PCHA's contractors
in 1986.**



**22 Hilltop Rd Garden:
Virgin lawn after sifting many tons
of very contaminated
soil through a fine strainer.
Not the easiest labour of love.**





22 Hilltop Rd Garden 2004

Total destruction of many delicate Alpine plants and all other vegetation for wall rebuilding of extended height.

Built without planning permission despite extending original height to well over 2.5 m. The original wall was not pushed over by a fallen tree as wrongly claimed by Genesis' surveyor!