

Gentet, Matthias

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 January 2017 15:21
To: Glasgow, David; Planning
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Hall School Redevelopment Program Application No. 2016/6319/P
Attachments: Objection DK.doc

Hi David, I have now had time to review the planning application submitted by the Hall School noted above and would like to formally object to this application. I have written a detailed letter of objection and attach it to this email. Are you the correct person within the Planning Department to take note of this?

I have also copied Planning@camden.gov.uk and copied the contents of my letter below.

Please confirm receipt of this letter.

Kind Regards,
Des

09 January 2017

RE: Application 2016/6319/P

To Whom it May Concern:

My Wife Olivia, my three sons aged 1 through 5 and I live at Flat 1, 10 Strathray Gardens, NW3 4NY. I am writing this letter to the Camden Planning Department to object to The Hall School's Application noted above. I believe the development as currently envisaged is an overdevelopment of the site under Camden's own rules and is of an appearance that is unsuited to the conservation area. Moreover, we believe the addition to the height of the building, which is west-south west of us and several others on Strathray Gardens will impinge on the light we enjoy in our home, particularly in the afternoon and evening. We also object to the extra double basement.

I was present at the various attempts the Hall School made at local engagement. While I appreciated the effort the school went to, I was disappointed to learn that much of the specifics of the planning application that are present on your website were not disclosed in these meetings. Were I to have been to the Development Management Forum and not read the planning application on the Camden site as currently envisaged, and the planning went through, I would feel rather misled and betrayed by the public consultation process. As such, I object to the way the process has been conducted as potentially undermining the trust in public consultations as part of the Camden planning process.

I have serious concerns that the development will result in a much higher level of traffic flow in an already congested residential neighbourhood where many of the streets are effectively one way given the narrowness of the streets and parking arrangements. Specifically, the top section of Lancaster Grove, Crossfield Road and Adamson Road. Finally, I believe that the development is in the interests of the Hall School at the detriment of other local students, namely at Hereward House, Trevor Roberts, Sarum Hall and St Paul's C of E students

Sincerely,

Desmond Kingsford
Flat 1, 10 Strathray Gardens
London

NW3 4NY

NOTE: The contents of this e-mail including any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are to be read solely by the intended recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient of this e-mail or have received this e-mail in error, please note that any dissemination, distribution, copying or retention of this e-mail without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you believe that you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and then delete the message; do not read, save, forward or otherwise preserve or disseminate it. The sender is unaware of any virus or similar defect that might affect a computer system on which this message or any attachment is opened, but MSD Partners, L.P. does not assume liability by reason of sending this message for damages that may result from its access. Please satisfy yourself as to the safety of any attachments before opening.

09 January 2017

RE: Application 2016/6319/P

To Whom it May Concern:

My Wife Olivia, my three sons aged 1 through 5 and I live at Flat 1, 10 Strathray Gardens, NW3 4NY. I am writing this letter to the Camden Planning Department to object to The Hall School's Application noted above. I believe the development as currently envisaged is an overdevelopment of the site under Camden's own rules and is of an appearance that is unsuited to the conservation area. Moreover, we believe the addition to the height of the building, which is west-south west of us and several others on Strathray Gardens will impinge on the light we enjoy in our home, particularly in the afternoon and evening. We also object to the extra double basement.

I was present at the various attempts the Hall School made at local engagement. While I appreciated the effort the school went to, I was disappointed to learn that much of the specifics of the planning application that are present on your website were not disclosed in these meetings. Were I to have been to the Development Management Forum and not read the planning application on the Camden site as currently envisaged, and the planning went through, I would feel rather misled and betrayed by the public consultation process. As such, I object to the way the process has been conducted as potentially undermining the trust in public consultations as part of the Camden planning process.

I have serious concerns that the development will result in a much higher level of traffic flow in an already congested residential neighbourhood where many of the streets are effectively one way given the narrowness of the streets and parking arrangements. Specifically, the top section of Lancaster Grove, Crossfield Road and Adamson Road. Finally, I believe that the development is in the interests of the Hall School at the detriment of other local students, namely at Hereward House, Trevor Roberts, Sarum Hall and St Paul's C of E students

Sincerely,

Desmond Kingsford
Flat 1, 10 Strathray Gardens
London
NW3 4NY