

**Dempsey, Matthew**

---

**From:** Aviva Newman [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 December 2016 13:57  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Ref 2016/5923/P

**ref: 2016/5923/P**

**Planning application on top of Frogna Court/ Warwick Court - Erection of single storey roof extension to create 8 residential flats and new lift tower to the rear**

I am the joint owner of 3 Warwick Court. I am writing **to object** to the above planning application. The grounds of my objection are: -

1. The proposed design is not at all in keeping with the block. The block will be over-developed and overbearing. The finished article will have an adverse impact on the character of the block and building generally and is completely out of keeping with the character and existing design.
2. Have you been provided with a noise impact assessment report? I'm concerned about the continuous noise and nuisance that will emanate from the lift when in use . Furthermore there will be continued nuisance during continued maintenance and at some point refurbishment/ replacement. The roof development permitted to the rear of the same Estate is different in the sense that it is served by an existing internal lift.
3. The entire Estate consists of circa 50 flats. Consent has been granted for 8/9 flats to be built to the rear of the same development . Should planning consent be granted to the above there will be a further 8 flats comprising a total of 16/17 flats which is a considerable amount more units in such a development that already has major issues with refuse, parking, unloading and the like.
4. I have serious concerns regarding the structural impact of the proposed construction in relation to the rest of the building. Are the applicant's certain that the proposed design will not adversely affect the structural integrity of the building. In engineering terms can the building withstand the weight of the additional floor. What detailed engineering proposals have been supplied and are they sufficient. Have the applicants taken account of the fact that the underground runs beneath the building and the building is above a tunnel.
5. The new design including the roof is going to be an invasion of privacy into the flats in Warwick Court and they will also overlook the flats opposite to the rear of Frogna Court.
6. Are there enough provisions within Camden to meet the additional amenities that will be required to serve these flats in respect of schools, medical provisions to doctors, hospitals and parking? All these 3 are proving to be difficult. Its virtually impossible to park, even if the flats are served by parking spaces their guests / additional cars will be parking in the roads during the evenings when resident parking expires in the evening. Doctor surgeries are heavily over subscribed as are school places.

In all of the circumstances I object to the proposal and consider that it should be rejected.

For the avoidance of doubt can you please confirm safe receipt of this email.

Yours faithfully,

PA Brassey