



Dear Mr Remmington

Pls find attached photos which I took today of the retaining wall showing the side of 6 Gladys Rd. There is only very minor bulging. Damaged brickwork can clearly be seen near the top of the wall, mostly where Ivy and Buddleya have been spreading roots for many years.

It's unfair to blame tree roots for th. Overall the wall looks relatively sound. The section above the level where it retains soil is obviously leaning towards my shed, which was the main problem at the time Camden Council started writing letters to my landlord. There is obviously some soil movement. However the location of where soil movement is causing the wall to lean towards my shed is so far from the tree it cannot reasonably be attributed to roots interference from the TPO'd Sycamore

Owners of Gladys Rd properties owe a duty of care to prevent any such damage, especially since the retaining wall is supporting the higher ground.

Shortly after construction Gladys Rd properties cut a wedge into the uphill slope. This took place several years before Hilltop Road properties were constructed. Land registry data of title No 282530 also includes restrictive covenant for 6 Gladys Rd which mentions a wall height of min 5 ft and max 6 ft. The present wall is almost twice that height.

To attach the photos will require multiple emails.

Yours faithfully

Roland Grimm