

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/6661/P	Redington Frogmal Association	c/o 12A Holycroft Avenue	05/01/2017 02:34:54	OBJ	<p>Redington Frogmal Association objects to the design of the proposed rear extension and the proposed felling of the cherry tree.</p> <p>We object to the light pollution from the excessive glazing and roof lights and the resultant harm to biodiversity, which is contrary to Camden's Core Strategies and Development Policies.</p> <p>The cherry tree is an important asset, which is visible from upper floor flats and from neighbouring flats. It is also of significance to birds and bees, in a section of the street with relatively few trees and little greenery. It should not be felled to make way for development.</p> <p>Rather, the proposed extension should be redesigned to significantly reduce the amount of glazing and to ensure that the cherry tree can be preserved. Such changes would also benefit the neighbouring flat, which will suffer a sense of enclosure and loss of light, as a result of the current development proposal.</p>
2016/6661/P	Redington Frogmal Association	c/o 12A Holycroft Avenue	05/01/2017 02:35:10	OBJ	
2016/6661/P	Redington Frogmal Association	c/o 12A Holycroft Avenue	05/01/2017 02:35:26	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/6661/P	Monica Comyns	Garden Flat 28 Frognal NW3 6AG NW3 6AG	31/12/2016 16:03:23	OBJNOT	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I am the owner of the adjoining property, Garden Flat, 28 Frognal, NW3 6AG and wish to object to the proposed plans as submitted.</p> <p>Whilst I acknowledge Mr and Mrs Borjanovic"s desire to extend their property, there are however a number of important considerations which adversely impact the enjoyment of my home should their planning application be approved unamended.</p> <p>Party Wall</p> <p>Mr and Mrs Borjanovic are proposing to raise the height of the party wall between my garden flat at no. 28 and theirs at 30 Frognal from a present height of 250cm to 300cm. Quite apart from the legal formalities concerning party walls, the very close proximity of my bay window (80cm) to their 300cm x300cm side wall extension would not only result in the loss of natural light to my living space but would also completely block the view from this window and leave my patio in permanent shade in sunny weather.</p> <p>Furthermore, the perimeter boundary is currently comprised of a combination of brick wall and wooden fencing or just wooden fencing and when I re-modelled my own garden barely 12 months ago, I used a complementary design so as to preserve the status quo and aesthetics of the neighbouring gardens. Therefore a "random" 3 metre high brick wall would look unsightly and serve to my detriment alone. For these reasons, I believe that permission to build such a large and obtrusive structure so close to my adjoining property would irreversibly result in the loss of natural light, outlook, privacy and give rise to an added sense of enclosure. I firmly believe that the proposal will harm my future enjoyment and amenity as an adjoining residential occupier.</p> <p>Mr and Mrs Borjanovic"s architect have suggested that there is precedent for this type of work. However with respect, the planning application for numbers 34, 28 and more recently, 24 Frognal have been for a substantially smaller footprint, typically 9 sqm rather than the 20 sqm proposed. Moreover, one important aspect of the Decision Notice of my own application in January 2015 was the preservation of the traditional feature of the bay window which is prevalent not only throughout this stretch of Frognal but also in Netherhall Gardens whose properties back onto our gardens.</p> <p>For the reasons outlined above, I hope the planning officers will take account of my objection.</p> <p>Should you have any questions or queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Mrs Monica Comyns</p>