

Robert Stuart-Smith Design Ltd
8 Brampton Grove
London
NW4 4AG

Application Ref: **2016/6438/P**
Please ask for: **Kate Phillips**
Telephone: 020 7974 **2521**

4 January 2017

Dear Sir/Madam

DECISION

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Certificate of Lawfulness (Proposed) Granted

The Council hereby certifies that the development described in the First Schedule below, on the land specified in the Second Schedule below, would be lawful within the meaning of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended.

First Schedule:
Use as office (Class B1a)

Drawing Nos: LDC-1; LDC-2; LDC-3; LDC-4; LDC-5

Second Schedule:
16A St Pancras Way
London
NW1 0QG

Reason for the Decision:

- 1 The proposed change of use constitutes permitted development pursuant to The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 3, Class I.

You can find advice about your rights of appeal at:

<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/planning/appeals/guidance/guidancecontent>

Yours faithfully



David T. Joyce

David Joyce
Executive Director Supporting Communities

Notes

1. This certificate is issued solely for the purpose of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
2. It certifies that the use specified in the First Schedule taking place on the land described in the Second Schedule would have been lawful on the specified date and thus, was would not have been liable to enforcement action under Section 172 of the 1990 Act on that date.
3. This Certificate applies only to the extent of the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule and identified on the attached plan. Any matter which is materially different from that described or which relates to other land may render the owner or occupier liable to enforcement action.
4. The effect of the Certificate is also qualified by the provision in Section 192(4) of the 1990 Act, as amended, which states that the lawfulness of a described use or operation is only conclusively presumed where there has been no material change, before the use is instituted or the operations begun, in any of the matters relevant to determining such lawfulness.