

Regeneration and Planning Development Management London Borough of Camden Town Hall Judd Street London WC1H 9JE

Tel 020 7974 4444

planning@camden.gov.uk www.camden.gov.uk/planning

City of London FAO: Sonia Williams (16/01150/FULEIA) PO Box 270 Guildhall London EC2P 2EJ

Our Ref: 2016/6622/P Your Ref: 16/01150/FULEIA Please ask for: Tony Young Telephone: 020 7974 2687

3 January 2017

Dear Sir/Madam

## **DECISION**

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

## Request for Observations to Adjoining Borough - No objection

Address:

22 Bishopsgate London EC2N 4BQ

## Proposal:

Observations to the City of London for the construction of a building arranged on 3 basement floors, ground and 58 upper floors plus mezzanines and plant comprising floorspace for use within Classes A and B1 of the Use Classes Order and a publicly accessible viewing gallery and facilities (sui generis); hard and soft landscaping works; the provision of ancillary servicing and other works incidental to the development. (201,449 sq.m. gea).

Drawing Nos: As shown on the City of London website.

The Council, as a neighbouring planning authority, has considered your request for observations on the application referred to above and hereby raises no objection.

## Informative(s):

Reasons for decision.

The London Borough of Camden were consulted on the original proposal for the



Bishopsgate Tower in August 2005, and on several occasions since, including most recently in August 2016 (2016/4787/P). On each occasion, no objections were raised.

Following the latest revisions, the essential design of the new building in terms of its height, bulk and massing remains materially unaltered. It is considered therefore that the proposal would not cause any direct impact on views of St Paul's Cathedral from the 3 relevant London Borough of Camden strategic viewpoints, namely Parliament Hill, Primrose Hill and Kenwood. Although the proposal will be highly prominent upon the London skyline from these views, it is in the context of an already established cluster of high buildings, and as such, it is not considered to be inappropriate nor affect the borough in terms of further impact on these views. There is also considered to be sufficient distance between the site and Camden borough for there not to be any impacts in terms of transport, design, amenity or flood risk.

In light of the above, it is considered that the proposals would have no material impact on the local transport network or the amenity of Camden residents, and Camden therefore has no objections to the proposals and advises that the application should be determined in accordance with the City of London's policies.

Yours faithfully

Javid T. Joyce

David Joyce

**Executive Director Supporting Communities**