

# CONSULTATION SUMMARY

## Case reference number(s)

2016/1889/P

## Case Officer:

Charles Thuaire

## Application Address:

Tybalds Estate  
New North Street  
London  
WC1N 3PH

## Proposal(s)

Amendments to planning permission dated 13.5.14 ref 2013/1014/P (for Mixed use development to provide 93 mixed tenure residential units, alterations to existing dwellings and entrances, 249 sqm of new/replacement community facilities, etc), namely to allow various minor changes throughout estate to fenestration, facade elevations, cladding, materials, rooflights, landscape, entrances, refuse stores, terraces, etc.

## Representations

<b>Consultations:</b>	No. notified	0	No. of responses	0	No. of objections	1
					No of comments	0
					No of support	0

## Summary of representations

*(Officer response(s) in italics)*

### Objection from Windmill Residents Association-

'The design of the roof extensions is not in keeping with the estate. The roof extensions look like sheds on the roof and make the estate look like some downtown slum in the far east. These extensions should have a brick face all over to avoid looking some s\*\*\* hole. The appearance of the book end extensions are equally as bad, they give the impression that some idiot could not remember the size of the window and went and purchased the wrong size. Or some war damaged make good with whatever came to hand'.

Officer's response- the application is for Non-Material Amendments to the

	planning permission and only a legal determination can be made as to whether the scheme is materially different from the approved one. The proposed amendments are assessed for materiality, not on the basis of their planning merits, thus planning policies do not apply and no account can be made of local opinions and objections.
--	--

**Recommendation:-**

**Approve variation**