

S Minnis  
Flat b, 1 Regents Park Road  
Primrose Hill  
Camden  
London, NW1 7TL

Planning Solutions Team  
London Borough of Camden  
2nd Floor, 5 Pancras Square  
London WC1H 9JE

9th December 2016

For the attention of Kristina Smith, case officer.

**Application Nu: 2016/5615/P**

Dear Kristina

I'm writing in objection to the Revised Plans 9th December 2016.

In particular the plan 2532 PL002.2-PL1 Proposed Section CC which shows the Proposed street front elevation.

There are many points I have brought up before in my previous objection letter the main point being they DO NOT OWN THE LAND that they are proposing to change.

The house itself is situated in a conservation area. Built in 1846 and is also considered to be a BUILDINGS WHICH MAKE A POSITIVE CONTRIBUTION as well as being the first house on Regents park road.

The plans show an enlarged wall and gate as well as the top part of the side extension. This is the vision of the owners of 3 Regents park road who bought the flat 1a earlier this year but do not live in the flat. The plans do not represent mine or the other residence of the building who have all made objections to the plans.

It neither enhances or compliment the age and style of the house. Instead of being open so the full architectural character, styles and aesthetic look can be seen from street level as it presently does, it turns the house into a gated area blocking all views from street level.

This is a clear attempt to convince planning to approve the build by

matching the wall, gate and side area to number 3 which is not in keeping with the street style and age of the building and blocks all views of the architectural style and character of number 3 from street level.

In hindsight myself and the other residents would have objected to the plans to number 3 if we had realised the extent of how much they would change the character and style of the building.

The residence of number 3 changed their house which used to match the open feel this property has, because their house has changed this does not mean in any way that this property should be changed especially seeing the plans do not compliment and undermines the aesthetic look of the building.

The wall will also block all of the residents view onto street level and would considerably enclose and block light into the communal garden.

As a community in Primrose Hill we often talk to neighbours as they pass by as we garden, the wall and gate would completely block all communication and interaction and would not enhance community interaction and communication.

We will essentially be confined in our own garden if the wishes of the leaseholders of 1a are permitted, this is against the wishes of all the other residents of the building. The leaseholders of 1a do not live in the property they live next door in number 3.

The extension itself would also block all the residents through access to the side of the house this is unlawful and a breach of our tenancy agreements, and again they do not own the land that they propose to build the extension on. The extension will also compromise the gap between the buildings and through which a view into the mews road Regal Lane will now be blocked from street level.

I feel the plans do not meet the requirements for the alterations of the property as specified in the Article 4 Direction.

Yours faithfully,

S. Minnis