



Dear Jenny,

Thank you very much for keeping an eye on this vexed Planning Application for the house adjoining our own Listed one and in a distinctive Georgian terrace.

It is quite true that the objectionable features of the original plans have been removed and that, as Patrick Marfleet says, the application is now only for the erection of a single storey side/rear infill extension at ground floor level. In our view this proposal differs so much from the original one that it should have been the basis for a fresh Application. However it appears on the website simply as a extra page added to the original drawings, still without adequate measurements, and the rest of the material has not been revised so it still contains the flagrant inaccuracies on which you comment in your original letter of objection. It would be difficult for anyone coming fresh to this cats-cradle of information to know exactly what is going on - the notices that have been posted on adjacent lampposts, though well-intentioned, do not make the situation at all clear.

As you may imagine, we ourselves are keeping an extremely beady eye on this, and have made sure that the owner of no.29 fully realises now that he has to deal with an adjoining Listed building and that he will be obliged to pay for our surveyor to keep an eye on his works. What his plans are, we do not know. Contrary to the specious claim in the application, the house is not, as you know, a 'single family home' but is occupied by five lodgers, and we know from conversation with these young people that they have signed a contract with him to rent the house till the end of August 2017.

With every good wish,  
Gillian