					Printed on: 0//12/2016 09:
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/5966/P	Maureen Taylor	39 Rochester Road Lodnon NW1 9JJ	06/12/2016 17:40:38	OBJ	Further to my comments of yesterday 5.12.16, I have had a useful meeting today with my neighbour at No 40 together with my architect.
					My neighbour said he would be prepared to amend his drawings of the ground floor rear extension to overcome my objection. He would extend his proposed kitchen on his west wall to the line of his existing store and remove the section on my boundary. His new patio doors would be on the east wall of the extension.
					If these amendments were to be made I would have no objection to the rear extension.
2016/5966/P	Maureen Taylor	39 Rochester Road London NW1 9JJ	05/12/2016 17:56:51	OBJ	I live adjacent to No 40 at 39 Rochester Road. I woulld like to object to the proposed scheme on the grounds that the proposed rear extension will have an adverse impact on my amenities and that the loss of a single family dwelling and intensification of residential use will have a detrimental effect on the character of the Rochester Conservation Area.
					The rear extension will extend about one metre beyond my rear wall and will be abour 2.10m high. This will appear oppressive and reduce light and daylight reaching my kitchen window and adversely affect the enjoyment of my rear patio area.
					My neighbour informs me that this depth is needed in order to provide adequate height for the new internal staircase linking the ground and first flirst floor rooms. I have consulted an architect who says that a satisfactory height could be obtained by an alternative scheme which would not extend so far along my boundary. I am going to discuss this with my neighbour tomorrow.
					The plans show that there could be easy access from the staircase onto the proposed flat roof which could be used as a roof terrace for occupants of the upper flat. If this were the case such use would permit direct overlooking of my kitchen window and rear patio and garden area from very close quarters which would result in serious loss of privacy and amenity.
					The Rochester Conservation area is characterised by single family dwellings and is a very pleasant, quiet and attractive residential area. The subdivision of this family house into two self contained flats would result in the loss of one family house and intensify the residential use of the property.
					No 41 Rochester Road has already been subdivided into two flats and no 38 has recently gained permission for a wheelchair access flat on the ground floor which in effect results in the subdivision of this dwelling into two units. If this application is approved three out of 4 adjoining residential properties will have lost their single family status and become subdivided flats/maisonettes
					This will exacerbate the material intensification of use that has already occurred and impact on the character and appearance of the Conservation area. It will set a precedent which if repeated too often will significantly change the character of the residential area. leading to increased comings and goings, increased noise and disturbance and pressure on the limited number of on street parking spaces etc.