					Printed on:	05/12/2016	09:05:07
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2016/6351/P	K A Price	34 Cressy Road London NW32LY	03/12/2016 11:38:46	OBJ	We are opposed to the variation of Condition 3. The development of any wrap arou in our opinion, set an unfortunate precedent and be detrimental to preserving the cha- wonderful Victorian properties. Given the small size of the rear garden we believe to sanctioned rear extension is an unfortunate permitted development as, apart from its appearance, it will restrict enjoyment of what is already one of the smallest rear gard Road and adversely impact on the privacy of opposite neighbours in Cressy Road. V infilling the rear side space is an acceptable development provided it is done sympa- keeping with a number of similar such developments in the conservation area. We w Council to also reconsider the original application and allow either a rear extension both.	unfortunate precedent and be detrimental to preserving the character of these properties. Given the small size of the rear garden we believe that the already sion is an unfortunate permitted development as, apart from its unsightly trict enjoyment of what is already one of the smallest rear gardens in Mackeson mpact on the privacy of opposite neighbours in Cressy Road. We accept that space is an acceptable development provided it is done sympathetically and is in rr of similar such developments in the conservation area. We would urge the	