

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/5754/P	Donald Mackinnon	Unit B 4 Park End, NW3 2SE NW6 1UN	03/12/2016 15:23:20	COMMEM AIL	<p>I would like to make the following comments about planning application 2016/5754/P</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The property is rundown, unused, and built in a style inappropriate for its surroundings. On that basis the proposals have merit. However the description of the works as "Residential Minor Alterations" is inaccurate as the excavation of the existing ground floor doubles the facilities available and should not, in context, be considered as "minor". • The effect of such excavations on the foundations of adjacent properties fronting South Hill Park will be significant. As the site is on a steep hill the level of the floor(s) in 12 Park End will be below neighbours on South Hill Park and so excavations in number 12 risk undermining adjacent South Hill Park foundations. • Existing drainage and utilities criss-cross the courtyard and entrance road. So any adaptations have to be carefully addressed as should the continuing safe disposal of surface water from the adjacent Heath. • My major reservation concerns the scale of the works on such a restricted site. <p>Removal of spoil and delivery of materials, for which there is very limited onsite storage, will considerably disrupt normal daily events on the access road, Park End, the Doctors' Surgery and all the other buildings, residential and business, leading off the courtyard. The effect on the Doctors' Surgery and their patient access will be most significant. Throughout the day there is a steady stream of patients using the narrow, steep access road. Many of these are elderly and frail. I would argue that no work should be allowed to proceed without cast iron mitigation measures for their safety being agreed and subsequently enforced throughout the duration of the project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effectively all the units in number 4 Park End will now be overlooked by a residential unit. Formerly a business unit, the current valuation list suggests a change of use on or about 20/10/15 to, probably, C3 residential. There are therefore questions of privacy arising from a new residential property, with a raised window line, overlooking existing mixed use properties across the courtyard. As one of the surrounding occupiers, I received no notifications either from LBC or the developers of these proposals.
2016/5754/P	R.H.Trew	8 Park End London NW3 2SE	02/12/2016 22:02:47	INT	<p>Since the Camden website is unable to show the related documents, I am referring to previous proposed elevations that I have seen. Those elevations showed the external finish to the building as "white render board". If this finish is still proposed, then I object to this finish. The external finish of every other building in Park End, apart from a temporary outhouse, is white painted fairfaced stock brickwork. Indeed every building within sight of Park End has a fairfaced stock brickwork exterior. It is very important, as an urban design issue and for visual integrity of the Mews, that the exterior of the proposed building should be consistent with the remainder of the mews, and must similarly be finished externally in white painted fairfaced brickwork, and not a white render board or any other sheet material.</p>