

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/6113/T	Alasdair Graham	23 Rochester Sq NW1 9SA NW1 9SA	30/11/2016 11:50:58	OBJ	<p>This plan is detailed, for the most part, so thank you for the clarity.</p> <p>The intention needs to be for only removing part of the whole of the tree if and when there is a safety issue.</p> <p>The trees protect us from the visual &amp; noise pollution (a camden council commitment) beyond them: which is the broken industrial greenhouses (no planning permission given for these, back in their day) and the broken concrete-covered ground.</p> <p>Looking at the proposals in detail and keeping in mind the dramatic effect they will make to the level of visual and noise pollution:</p> <p>No practical reason for changing or removing T29.</p> <p>The tree T26 reduces visual &amp; noise pollution, with no obstruction or danger to the adjacent pavement or roads.</p> <p>The tree at T24 is unmentioned: please confirm there is no plan to touch this.</p> <p>Likewise the tree at T18 causes no obstruction or danger to adjacent pavement or road.</p> <p>The specific branches at T17 that obstruct the phone line could at some point in the future be pruned if it can be shown that there is a genuine obstruction. At present, there is no obstruction.</p> <p>The tree marked T16 should not be crowned. A minor pruning to safeguard the phoneline is sufficient when required. And, as in previous examples, this gives all of us a consistent screen round the square.</p> <p>The tree at 14 should not be crowned because it, like the others, gives us all a protection from visual &amp; noise pollution.</p> <p>The tree at T12 is, in the proposal, to be removed: there is no current plan to replace it.</p> <p>This basic problem with the current proposals applies to everyone who lives around the square.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/6113/T	Piers Mahon	20 Rochester Square NW1 9JH NW1 9JH	30/11/2016 10:05:11	COMMNT	<p>While it is good that the site is being brought back under control, most of the amenity in the perimeter trees lies in screening the derelict buildings and creating a sense of green space, especially from the 1st, 2nd, 3rd floors of the houses around the square</p> <p>This is the main amenity in keeping with the london squares act.</p> <p>Too many of the trees are being crown reduced on the north side, and I would especially draw attention to T16, the sliver maple, which is by far the prettiest tree around the square when in leaf, with enough space to mature well and into a good shape.</p> <p>It does not need to be crown reduced to protect the phone lines. At most, removing two lower south facing branches would achieve the same effect while maintaining shape.</p> <p>I would also suggest that the leaning Eucalypt T20 would do better not with the leaning branch reduced, but removed at the fork. If reduced, the eucalypt will resprout promiscuously, and over time the weight will create more risk than currently.</p> <p>Finally, if high value trees such as the silver birch are to be removed given telegraph wire congestion, the owner should commit to in-filling the screen with similar high value trees elsewhere.</p>

---