

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/5966/P	Ms Miller	51 Rochester Road NW1 9JL	27/11/2016 18:03:31	OBJNOT	<p>40 Rochester Road is a fine example of the distinctive Victorian semi detached villas that typify the Rochester Conservation Area. It is largely in its original form, particularly in regards to facade and roof form. The area is also typified by single family dwellings.</p> <p>I object to the proposal on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1, loss of a single family dwelling; family sized dwellings need to be protected. 2, height, bulk, detailed design of mansard roof extension. 3, bulk, design and detailing of first floor and rear extension. 4, intensifying occupancy of the site and associated parking, noise and amenity concerns. 5, overlooking: the mansard extension will look directly into our bedroom <p>Parking: this is already a stress area for parking, increasing the number of units will lead to further stress on parking.</p> <p>Design:the existing roof is in its original form, as such its loss is unacceptable in principle. The proposed mansard is poorly designed, particularly in relation to the windows front and back , sheer rear elevation. In detailed design terms the proposed mansard and rear extension relates poorly to the architecture, character and appearance of the host building as well as the character and appearance of the Conservation Area.</p> <p>It is noted that there is a poorly designed roof extension adjacent, however this was installed before the area became a Conservation Area and cannot be considered a suitable precedent.</p>
2016/5966/P	Mr John Souster	44B Rochester Road Camden London NW19JJ	28/11/2016 13:25:11	COMMEMP ER	<p>I have no objections to the works on the grounds that should the work be granted it would allow my family to re submit plans 2005/3973/P first floor rear extension- refused, because it would be unduly dominant and visually intrusive to the detriment of the character and appearance of the host building and both the Rochester & Bartholomew estate conservation areas etc. So if the proposed works do not cause the above intrusion and are not going to be detrimental to the conservation area, I do not object.</p>