

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 November 2016 11:39
To: Constantinescu, Nora-Andreea
Cc: Allott, Dawn
Subject: [Fwd: Re: Consultee letter for PlanningApplication Application: 2016/5758/P]
Attachments: Mews (10) Cross section.pdf
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged
Categories: Red Category

Re : 20 England's Lane 2016/5758/P

Object

There is no indication of the proximity of the rear windows in Elizabeth Mews, other than confirming that it would be about 2 m from the rear of England's Lane; information which is vital in highlighting a proposed extension which if granted would affect adversely the access to light and air of its residents.

Of the two rear bedrooms at first floor level in Elizabeth Mews, one is already affected by an early mid 30's building of a staircase extension at the rear of half the 5.6 m facade of England's Lane: one of only two properties [nos 4 to 22 England's Lane, 1 to 10 Elizabeth Mews] to have this feature. This early extension is approx. 2 m raising vertically and prominently blocking light and air. The second window is currently approx 5 m [the original standard width of the well between the rear of Elizabeth Mews and the rear of England's lane. If this space is to be built over, albeit by a "cage" to enclose an additional staircase, it would feature prominently and oppressively on this second first floor rear bedroom window of No 3 Elizabeth Mews.

Furthermore via an "open" cage enveloping the additional staircase, noise and fumes would be within 2 m of this second window and if the "cage" was cladded to prevent this, it would make the situation even more oppressive.

Most other properties in this parade, apart from two, have extended to the rear of Elizabeth Mews at basement level by means of a sloped construction leaving ample space for light and air to the rear of Elizabeth Mews. If this application is granted it would created a precedent for all the other properties to equally extend the rear of England's Lane to within 2 m of the rear windows of Elizabeth Mews for this reason it should be refused.

Gian
for
BCAAC

PS: Once more we were unable to submit our comments by the use of our PIN number [445684] as the access page for Conservation Groups has been unresponsive for the last few weeks!

On Wed, November 2, 2016 2:53 pm, Constantinescu, Nora-Andreea wrote:

> Please find attached Consultee letter for PlanningApplication

> application 2016/5758/P

>

>

> Y445684

>

>

> This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally

> privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for

> the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the

> sender and delete the material from your computer.

>