

Reference No:-

2016/5784/P.

74, Haverstock Hill,
LONDON,
NW3 2BE.
22/11/16.

Development Management, Ageneration and Planning,
Culture and Environment Directorate,

Camden Town Hall,

Todd Street,

LONDON.

WC1H 8ND.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to you to lodge an objection to the variation of condition 3 (approved plans) for the creation of a roof terrace at the rear third floor level at 76, Haverstock Hill, NW3 2BE.

This building has been under total renovation since February 2016 (2 months prior to my neighbours and myself being notified that a planning application had been lodged) and has proved a problem almost ever since. What began as reasonable work soon became intolerable and illegal and I fear that

Further planning application is approved. Many months ago the building contractors began working out of hours—for example, up to 9.30 p.m. weekdays and Sunday afternoons. I had to involve both Camden Council (Laura Hazelton—Planning) and Iain Pendlegh—out of hours officer) and my local M.P. (Keir Starmer, Holborn and St. Pancras) in order to rectify this matter. Both parties were very helpful, and indeed, the out of hours noise stopped; however the volume of noise seriously increased immediately. Secondly, however, out of hours work has recommenced, so far up to 8.30 p.m. weekdays and Saturday afternoons.

My first point for objection, therefore, is that these contractors are known to work illegally and to, after time, ignore the Council after being warned about it. Will they not just do the same if you grant them more planning permission?

Secondly, my flat looks out the back

I am also lucky enough to usually
(prior to February 2016) enjoy mainly peace
and quiet here. I fear the new addition
asked for will damage these factors for
myself, my neighbours at No. 74 Havers-
tock Hill and those at the back of No. 78,
Haverstock Hill. Once again, the landlord
and/or contractors of No. 76 have not waited
for planning permission to come through and
have already erected plants and scaffolding
at rear third floor level. This is almost
level to my own lounge and small Juliette
balcony. The building and end result will,
at best, be intrusive and unnecessarily
noisy for me; for No. 78, I believe it will
deprive them of serious light and views
as well.

Finally, I am registered disabled and
my health has been negatively affected by
the situation at No. 76 so far (e.g. My
epileptic seizures have significantly increased
due to noise - particularly out of hours - and
stress). This is not acceptable and I fear

if further planning permission is granted, work done and the effects of that work in the future.

I hope very much that you will take my comments on board. This is not an appropriate planning addition and the landlord/contractors have already proven themselves to act dishonestly and are not to be trusted.

Yours faithfully

A black rectangular box redacting the signature of Ruth Hibbert.

(RUTH HIBBERT.)