

**Regeneration and Planning Development Management** London Borough of Camden Town Hall Judd Street London WC1H 9JE

Tel 020 7974 4444

planning@camden.gov.uk www.camden.gov.uk/planning

Application Ref: 2016/4971/P Please ask for: Tessa Craig Telephone: 020 7974 6750

24 November 2016

Dear Sir/Madam

Rosenfelder Associates

10-12 Perrin's Court

London

**NW3 1QS** 

## DECISION

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

## **Full Planning Permission Refused**

Address: **Rear Workshop and Premises** 322 West End Lane London **NW6 1LN** 

Proposal:

Erection of two storey extension with balcony onto Crediton Hill to provide office accommodation at ground floor and 1 bedroom maisonette on upper two floors, including excavation to increase depth of ground floor.

Drawing Nos: WEL.886 DESIGN AND ACCESS STATEMENT, WEL.886.20, WEL.886.21, WEL.886.22.

The Council has considered your application and decided to refuse planning permission for the following reason(s):

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 The proposed development would result in an undue loss of light and outlook to neighbouring properties at 76 Crediton Hill and 324-326 West End Lane for those occupiers contrary to policy CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development) of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policy DP26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours) of the London



Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies and Policies 1 and 2 of the Fortune Green and West Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan 2015.

- 2 The applicant has failed to demonstrate that the proposed excavation would maintain the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties, avoid adversely affecting drainage and run-off, causing other damage to the water environment and cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area contrary to policy CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policies DP23 (Water) and DP27 (Basements and lightwells) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies.
- 3 The proposal would fail to provide 1no. policy compliant secure, covered cycle parking space in accordance with CPG7 Transport due to the lack of manoeuvring space, contrary to CS11 (Promoting sustainable and efficient travel) and CS19 (Delivering and monitoring the Core Strategy) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policies DP18 (Parking standards and the availability of car parking) and DP19 (Managing the impact of parking) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies 5 and 7 of the Fortune Green and West Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan 2015.
- 4 The proposed development, by reason of its layout and the poor provision of light and outlook to the residential unit would result in substandard living accommodation and would be detrimental to the living conditions of prospective occupiers contrary to the policies CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development) and CS6 (Providing quality homes) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policies DP24 (securing high quality design) and DP26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies, London Plan Policy 3.5 and Policy 1 Housing of the Fortune Green and West Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan 2015.
- 5 The proposed development, by reason of its design and materials would result in harm to the character and appearance of the building and the wider streetscene contrary to policy CS14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policy DP24 (Securing high quality design) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies and Policy 2 Design & Character of the Fortune Green and West Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan 2015.
- 6 The proposed development would result in the loss of B1 floorspace and would therefore fail to support economic activity in Camden and result in the loss of employment opportunities within the Borough contrary to Policy CS8 (Promoting a successful and inclusive economy) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and DP13 (Employment sites and premises) of the London Borough of Camden LDF Development Policies.
- 7 The proposed development, in the absence of a legal agreement securing car-free

2016/4971/P

housing, would be likely to contribute unacceptably to parking stress and congestion in the surrounding area, contrary to policies CS11 (Promoting sustainable and efficient travel) and CS19 (Delivering and monitoring the Core Strategy) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policies DP18 (Parking standards and the availability of car parking) and DP19 (Managing the impact of parking) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies 5 and 7 of the Fortune Green and West Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan 2015.

8 The proposal, in the absence of a legal agreement to secure highway contributions to undertake external works outside the application site, would fail to secure adequate provision for the safety of pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles, contrary to policies CS11 (Promoting sustainable and efficient travel), CS19 (Delivering and monitoring the Core Strategy), DP17 (Walking, cycling and public transport) and DP21 (Development connecting to the highway network) of the London Borough of Camden Core Strategy and Development Policies 2010 and Policy 9 of the Fortune Green and West Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan 2015.

Informative(s):

- 1 Without prejudice to any future application or appeal, the applicant is advised that reason for refusal numbers 7 and 8 could be overcome by entering into a legal agreement with the Council.
- 2 Without prejudice to any future application or appeal, the applicant is advised that reason for refusal number 2 could be overcome by submitting a Basement Impact Assessment to be externally audited and to confirm the excavation works would be acceptable in terms of structural stability and flooding.

In dealing with the application, the Council has sought to work with the applicant in a positive and proactive way in accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

You can find advice about your rights of appeal at:

http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/planning/appeals/guidance/guidancecontent

Yours faithfully

favid T. Joyce

David Joyce Executive Director Supporting Communities