A P C N	C k N	C k 411	D ' 1	<b>C</b>	Printed on: 21/11/2016 09:05:08
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/5490/P	Mr Wes Armstrong	29C Kylemore Road (upper & top floor flat) NW6 2PS NW6 2PS	20/11/2016 11:45:58	OBJ	Hi there.  As a share of freehold to 29 Kylemore Road, I strongly object to the planning proposal.
					As a share of freehold to 29 Kylemore Road, I strongly object to the planning proposal.
					Reasons / questions as follows.
					1. Structural instability & access: In 2012, we had to perform substantial structural repairs to the side wall of our building - the same wall that this develop is planned to build against. Due to subsidence and shallow foundations of the property, the sidewall had experienced substantial lateral movement an required specialist structural repair.
					Digging further foundations at the foot of the side wall would be of huge risk to our property.
					Additionally, if ever we needed to make further structural repairs to the wall, we would have no access (as a new structure would be an obstruction).
					2. The choice of location is rather strange. The developer is attempting to build an extension not onto his own property, but onto our property. Why is the development not being planned onto the main property of 36 Hemstal road? I'm sure that anyone in our situation would feel this way if a person planned to extend their property onto yours rather than onto their own building.
					I would like to discuss this further with the committee or planning officer (Charlotte Meynell). I will call before the deadline of the 25th.
					Overall, I feel extremely concerned by this development to the structural safeguard of my property.
					I do not understand why the developer is not choosing to build against his own property and choosing our property instead?
					I am not against the principle of the developer wanting to build into his garden - it is a normal thing to do. I would have little objection the developer building at a safer distance from our property (potentially along the pavement side or more logically against the main building of 36 Hemstal).
					We would need circa 2m access if ever more stabilising rods we required to be entered into our wall.
					Kindest regards. Wes Armstrong